

# SID



ابزارهای  
پژوهش



سرویس ترجمه  
تخصصی



کارگاه های  
آموزشی



بلاگ  
مرکز اطلاعات علمی



سامانه ویراستاری  
STES



فیلم های  
آموزشی

## کارگاه های آموزشی مرکز اطلاعات علمی



آموزش مهارت های کاربردی در تدوین و چاپ مقالات ISI

آموزش مهارت های کاربردی  
در تدوین و چاپ مقالات ISI



روش تحقیق کمی

روش تحقیق کمی



آموزش نرم افزار Word برای پژوهشگران

آموزش نرم افزار Word  
برای پژوهشگران

## APPLICATIONS OF EPI-RETRACTABLE AND CO-EPI-RETRACTABLE MODULES

H. MOSTAFANASAB

Communicated by Bernhard Keller

**ABSTRACT.** A module  $M$  is called epi-retractable if every submodule of  $M$  is a homomorphic image of  $M$ . Dually, a module  $M$  is called co-epi-retractable if it contains a copy of each of its factor modules. In special case, a ring  $R$  is called co-pri (respectively, co-pri) if  ${}_R R$  (respectively,  $R_R$ ) is co-epi-retractable. It is proved that if  $R$  is a left principal right duo ring, then every left ideal of  $R$  is an epi-retractable  $R$ -module. A co-pri strongly prime ring  $R$  is a simple ring. A left self-injective co-pri ring  $R$  is left Noetherian if and only if  $R$  is a left perfect ring. It is shown that a cogenerator ring  $R$  is a pli ring if and only if it is a co-pri ring. Moreover, if  $R$  is a left perfect ring such that every projective  $R$ -module is co-epi-retractable, then  $R$  is a quasi-Frobenius ring.

### 1. Introduction

Throughout the paper all rings are associative with non-zero identity elements and modules are unitary left modules. Let  $R$  be a ring. The ring  $R$  is said to be a *pli* (respectively, *pri*) if each left (respectively, right) ideal of  $R$  is principal. Ghorbani and Vedadi [5] generalized this concept to modules, an  $R$ -module  $M$  is called *epi-retractable* if every submodule of  $M$  is a homomorphic image of  $M$ . Therefore,  $R$  is a

---

MSC(2010): Primary: 16D10, 16S50; Secondary: 16D40, 16E60.

Keywords: Epi-retractable, co-epi-retractable modules, hereditary ring.

Received: 4 June 2012, Accepted: 16 August 2012.

© 2013 Iranian Mathematical Society.

pli (respectively, pri) ring if and only if  ${}_R R$  (respectively,  $R_R$ ) is epi-retractable. Ghorbani [4] introduced dual notions: An  $R$ -module  $M$  is called *co-epi-retractable* if it contains a copy of any of its factor modules. It is clear that a left  $R$ -module  $M$  is co-epi-retractable if and only if for each submodule  $N \subseteq M$ , there exists an endomorphism  $f : M \rightarrow M$  such that  $N = \text{Ker } f$ . A ring  $R$  is called *co-pli* (respectively, *co-pri*) if  ${}_R R$  (respectively,  $R_R$ ) is a co-epi-retractable module. It was shown that a ring  $R$  is co-pli (respectively, co-pri) if and only if each of its left (respectively, right) ideals is the left (respectively, right) annihilator of an element of  $R$  (see [4, Proposition 1.6]).

In section 2, conditions are found under which an epi-retractable module  $M$  is Hopfian and uniform. Also we show that a self-generator module  ${}_R M$  with principal left ideal endomorphism ring  $\text{End}_R(M)$  is an epi-retractable module.

In section 3, we prove that a self-injective co-epi-retractable module  ${}_R M$  is a Noetherian module if and only if its endomorphism ring,  $\text{End}_R(M)$ , is a left perfect ring. A co-epi-retractable strongly prime module  $M$  is a strongly coprime module. In particular, a co-pli strongly prime ring  $R$  is a simple ring. In [4], Ghorbani shows that if  $R$  is a pli ring such that  $R_R$  is self-cogenerator, then  $R$  is a co-pri ring. We show that if  $R$  is a cogenerator ring, then  $R$  is a pli ring if and only if it is a co-pri ring. In [5], Ghorbani and Vedadi proved that a right (respectively, left) hereditary ring  $R$  is a pri (respectively, pli) ring if and only if every free right (respectively, left)  $R$ -module is epi-retractable. We prove that over a left hereditary ring  $R$  the following statements are equivalent:

- (a)  $R$  is a semisimple ring.
- (b)  $R$  is a pli ring.
- (c)  $R$  is a co-pli ring.
- (d) Every injective  $R$ -module is epi-retractable.
- (e) Every free  $R$ -module is epi-retractable.
- (f) Every free  $R$ -module is co-epi-retractable.

As before,  ${}_R M$  is a non-zero left module over the ring  $R$ , its endomorphism ring  $\text{End}_R(M)$  will act on the right side of  ${}_R M$ , in other words,  ${}_R M_{\text{End}_R(M)}$  will be studied mainly. For the convenience of the readers, we recall in this section some definitions of modules that will be used in the sequel. Let  $M$  be a left  $R$ -module. we say that  $N \in R\text{-Mod}$  is *subgenerated* by  $M$  if  $N$  is a submodule of an  $M$ -generated module (see

the [13]). The category of  $M$ -subgenerated modules is denoted by  $\sigma[M]$ . When  $N$  is a submodule of  $M$ , we write  $N \ll M$  and  $N \trianglelefteq M$  to denote respectively the condition that  $N$  is a superfluous (or small) submodule or that  $N$  is an essential submodule in  $M$ . Let  $K$  be a submodule of  $M$ . If for any  $f \in \text{End}_R(M)$ ,  $(K)f \subseteq K$ ,  $K$  is called a *fully invariant submodule* of  $M$ . An  $R$ -module  $M$  is called a *duo module* provided that every submodule of  $M$  is fully invariant. A ring  $R$  is called *left (right) duo ring* if every left (right) ideal of  $R$  is an ideal of  $R$ . A left or right self-injective ring  $R$  is called *quasi-Frobenius ring* if it is left or right Noetherian, (see Nicholson and Yousif [9]).

An  $R$ -module  $M$  is said to satisfy the  $(*)$ -property if every non-zero endomorphism of  $M$  is a monomorphism (see [12]). Note that a ring  $R$  is domain if and only if  ${}_R R$  satisfies the  $(*)$ -property. An  $R$ -module  $M$  is said to satisfy the  $(**)$ -property if every non-zero endomorphism of  $M$  is an epimorphism (see [14]). In special case,  ${}_R R$  is simple if and only if  ${}_R R$  satisfies the  $(**)$ -property.

## 2. Epi-retractable modules

We begin our investigation of epi-retractable modules by recalling an important Lemma from 28.1 part (2) and (4) of [13]:

**Lemma 2.1.** *Let  $M$  be an  $R$ -module and  $S = \text{End}_R(M)$ .*

(1) *For any submodule  $K \subseteq M$ ,*

$$\text{Ker}(r.\text{ann}_S(K)) = K$$

*if and only if  $M$  is a self-cogenerator module.*

(2) *If  $M$  is self-injective, then for every finitely generated right ideal  $I \subseteq S$ ,*

$$r.\text{ann}_S(\text{Ker } I) = I.$$

**Definition 2.2.** Recall that  ${}_R M$  is

- *Hopfian* (respectively, *co-Hopfian*) if every surjective (respectively, injective) homomorphism of  $M$  is an isomorphism.
- *co-compressible* if  $M$  is an epimorphic image of each of its non-zero factor modules.
- *uniform* if each of its non-zero submodules is essential in  $M$ .

Recall that  $R$  is called *reversible* if for  $a, b \in R$ ,  $ab = 0$  implies that  $ba = 0$ , see Cohn [3].

**Proposition 2.3.** *Let  $M$  be an epi-retractable module with  $S = \text{End}_R(M)$ . Then the following statements hold:*

- (1) *If  $S$  is reversible, then  $M$  is Hopfian.*
- (2) *If  ${}_R M$  is a self-injective module and  $S$  is a right Noetherian ring, then  $S$  is a co-pri ring.*
- (3) *If  $M$  is co-compressible, then every factor module of  $M$  is epi-retractable.*
- (4) *If  ${}_R M$  is a duo module with the  $(*)$ -property, then  $M$  is uniform.*

*Proof.* (1) Let  $f : M \rightarrow M$  be an epimorphism. Since  $M$  is epi-retractable, there exists  $g \in \text{End}_R(M)$  such that  $\text{Ker } f = (M)g$ . Hence  $gf = 0$ . By reversibility of  $S$ ,  $fg = 0$ . Since  $f$  is epimorphism, we have

$$\text{Ker } f = (M)g = (M)fg = 0.$$

So the proof is complete.

(2) Let  $I$  be a right ideal of  $S$ . Since  $M$  is epi-retractable, there exists  $f \in S$  such that  $\text{Ker } I = (M)f$ . Thus  $I = \text{r.ann}_S(\text{Ker } I) = \text{r.ann}_S(f)$ , by part 2 of Lemma 2.1. Consequently  $S$  is a co-pri ring.

(3) Let  $N \subseteq L$  be submodules of  $M$ . We show that there exists an epimorphism from  $M/N$  to  $L/N$ . Since  $M$  is co-compressible there exists epimorphism  $f : M/N \rightarrow M$ . On the other hand there exists an epimorphism  $g : M \rightarrow L$ , because  $M$  is epi-retractable. Consequently  $fg\pi_N : M/N \rightarrow L/N$  is an epimorphism, where  $\pi_N : L \rightarrow L/N$  denotes the canonical projection.

(4) Let  $A$  and  $B$  be two non-zero submodules of  $M$  with  $A \cap B = 0$ . Since  $M$  is epi-retractable, there exist  $f, g \in S$  such that  $(M)f = A$  and  $(M)g = B$ . Then  $(M)gf = (B)f \subseteq A \cap B = 0$ . Consequently  $B = \text{Im } g \subseteq \text{Ker } f = 0$ , a contradiction.  $\square$

**Remark 2.4.** Recall that the endomorphism rings of the quasi-cyclic group  $\mathbb{Z}(p^\infty)$  and the group of  $p$ -adic integers  $\mathbb{Q}_p^*$  are isomorphic commutative rings. On the other hand  $\mathbb{Z}(p^\infty)$  is not Hopfian, so by part (1) of Proposition 2.3, we can see that  $\mathbb{Z}(p^\infty)$  cannot be an epi-retractable  $\mathbb{Z}$ -module.

**Corollary 2.5.** *Let  $R$  be a pli ring. Then the following statements hold:*

- (1) *If  ${}_R R$  is co-compressible, then every factor ring of  $R$  is a pli ring.*
- (2) *If  $R$  is a left duo domain, then  $R$  is a uniform ring.*

The following Lemma is needed.

**Lemma 2.6.** *If  ${}_R M$  is a self-generator module, then for any  $f \in \text{End}_R(M)$ ,  $Ml.\text{ann}_S(f) = \text{Ker } f$ .*

*Proof.* We can easily see that  $Ml.\text{ann}_S(f) \subseteq \text{Ker } f$ . Conversely, consider an arbitrary element  $x \in \text{Ker } f$ . Since  $M$  is self-generator,  $\text{Ker } f = \text{Tr}(M, \text{Ker } f)$ . Thus  $x = \sum_{i=1}^n (m_i)g_i$  for some elements  $m_i \in M$  and  $g_i \in \text{Hom}_R(M, \text{Ker } f)$ . But  $g_i \in l.\text{ann}_S(f)$  for each  $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ . Then  $x \in Ml.\text{ann}_S(f)$ . This shows that  $Ml.\text{ann}_S(f) = \text{Ker } f$ .  $\square$

**Proposition 2.7.** *Let  ${}_R M$  be a self-generator module and  $S = \text{End}_R(M)$ .*

- (1) *If  $S$  is a pli ring, then  $M$  is epi-retractable.*
- (2) *If  $S$  is a co-pli ring, then  $M$  is co-epi-retractable.*

*Proof.* (1) Let  $K$  be an  $R$ -submodule of  $M$ . Since  $S$  is a pli ring, there exists  $f \in S$  such that  $\text{Hom}_R(M, K) = Sf$ . Now since  $M$  is self-generator, we have  $K = \text{Tr}(M, K) = M\text{Hom}_R(M, K) = (M)f$ . Consequently  $M$  is epi-retractable.

(2) Let  $K$  be an  $R$ -submodule of  $M$ . Then there exists  $f \in S$  such that  $\text{Hom}_R(M, K) = l.\text{ann}_S(f)$ , because  $S$  is a co-pli ring. Since  $M$  is self-generator and by Lemma 2.6, we have  $K = M\text{Hom}_R(M, K) = Ml.\text{ann}_S(f) = \text{Ker } f$ , which implies that  $M$  is co-epi-retractable.  $\square$

**Proposition 2.8.** *If  $R$  is a left principal right duo ring, then any left ideal of  $R$  is an epi-retractable  $R$ -module.*

*Proof.* Let  $J \leq I$  be left ideals of  $R$ . If  $I = Rx$  and  $J = Ry$ , then  $y \in Rx \subseteq xR$ , because  $R$  is right duo. Hence there exists  $z \in R$  such that  $y = xz$ . Define  $f : I \rightarrow J$ , by  $(x)f = xz$ . Obviously  $f$  is epimorphism, and so  $I$  is epi-retractable.  $\square$

We need the following Lemma.

**Lemma 2.9.** [10, Lemma 2.1] *Let  $M = \oplus_{i \in I} M_i$  be a direct sum of submodules  $M_i$  ( $i \in I$ ) and let  $N$  be a fully invariant submodule of  $M$ . Then  $N = \oplus_{i \in I} (N \cap M_i)$ .*

It was shown in [5] that a direct summand of an epi-retractable module need not be epi-retractable.

**Proposition 2.10.** *Let  $M = \oplus_{i \in I} M_i$  be a duo module. Then  $M$  is epi-retractable if and only if each  $M_i$  is epi-retractable.*

*Proof.* ( $\Rightarrow$ ). By [5, Proposition 2.11 part (i)].

( $\Leftarrow$ ). Let each  $M_i$  be epi-retractable and  $N$  be a submodule of  $M$ . Then for any  $i \in I$  there exists  $f_i \in \text{End}_R(M_i)$  such that  $(M_i)f_i = N \cap M_i$ . Thus  $(M) \oplus_{i \in I} f_i = (\oplus_{i \in I} M_i) \oplus_{i \in I} f_i = \oplus_{i \in I} (N \cap M_i) = N$ .  $\square$

**Proposition 2.11.** *Let  $M$  be an epi-retractable module with  $S = \text{End}_R(M)$ . Then the following statements are equivalent:*

- (a)  $M$  is a simple module.
- (b)  $S$  is a division ring.
- (c)  $M$  satisfies the  $(**)$ -property.
- (d)  $M$  satisfies the  $(*)$ -property and  $\text{Soc}(M) \neq 0$ .

*Proof.* (a)  $\Rightarrow$  (b). By Schur's Lemma,  $M$  is simple implies  $S$  is a division ring.

(b)  $\Rightarrow$  (c). This is clear.

(c)  $\Rightarrow$  (a). Let  $K$  be a non-zero submodule of  $M$ . Since  $M$  is epi-retractable, there exists a homomorphism  $f : M \rightarrow M$  such that  $\text{Im } f = K$ . Because  $K$  is non-zero and  $M$  satisfies the  $(**)$ -property,  $K = \text{Im } f = M$ . Therefore  $M$  is simple.

(a)  $\Rightarrow$  (d) holds trivially.

(d)  $\Rightarrow$  (a). Because  $\text{Soc}(M) \neq 0$ , there exists a simple submodule  $K \subseteq M$ . Since  $M$  is epi-retractable  $K = \text{Im } f$  for some homomorphism  $f : M \rightarrow M$ . By (d) we have  $M \simeq K$  that is simple.  $\square$

**Corollary 2.12.** *Let  $R$  be a pli ring. Then the following statements are equivalent:*

- (1)  ${}_R R$  is simple.
- (2)  $R$  is a division ring.
- (3)  $R$  is a domain and  $\text{Soc}({}_R R) \neq 0$ .

A submodule  $U$  of  $R$ -module  $N$  is called  $M$ -rational in  $N$  if for any  $U \subseteq V \subseteq N$ ,  $\text{Hom}_R(V/U, M) = 0$ .  $M$  is called *polyform* if any essential submodule is rational in  $M$ . The dual notions are: A submodule  $X$  of  $N$  is called  $M$ -corational in  $N$  if for any  $Y \subseteq X \subseteq N$ ,  $\text{Hom}_R(M, X/Y) = 0$ .  $M$  is called *copolyform* if any superfluous submodule is corational in  $M$ . A ring  $R$  is called *Von Neumann regular* if for any  $a \in R$  there is an element  $b \in R$  with  $aba = a$ . Note that  $R$  is Von Neumann regular if and only if every left principal ideal is a direct summand in  $R$  (see [13, 3.10]).

**Proposition 2.13.** *If  $M$  is a finitely cogenerated epi-retractable module with  $S = \text{End}_R(M)$ , then the following statements are equivalent:*

- (a)  $M$  is copolyform.
- (b)  $\text{Rad}(M) = 0$ .
- (c)  $M$  is semisimple.
- (d)  $S$  is a semisimple ring.
- (e)  $S$  is a Von Neumann regular ring.

*Proof.* (a)  $\Rightarrow$  (b). Let  $M$  be a copolyform module. Assume  $K$  be a non-zero superfluous submodule of  $M$ . Since  $M$  is epi-retractable, there exists an epimorphism  $f : M \rightarrow K$ . Thus  $\text{Hom}_R(M, K) \neq 0$ , a contradiction, because  $M$  is copolyform. Hence  $M$  has no non-zero superfluous submodule, i.e.,  $\text{Rad}(M) = 0$ .

(b)  $\Rightarrow$  (a). Since  $\text{Rad}(M) = 0$ ,  $M$  has no non-zero superfluous submodule. Thus  $M$  is copolyform.

(b)  $\Rightarrow$  (c) and (c)  $\Rightarrow$  (d). By [13, 21.6 part (6)] and [13, 20.8], respectively.

(c)  $\Rightarrow$  (b). See [1, Proposition 9.16].

(d)  $\Rightarrow$  (e). This is obvious.

(e)  $\Rightarrow$  (c). Let  $K$  be a submodule of  $M$ , then  $K = \text{Im } f$  for some  $f \in S$ . Now apply [13, 37.7 part (2)].  $\square$

### 3. Co-epi-retractable modules

A ring  $R$  is said to be *right Bezout* if every finitely generated right ideal of  $R$  is principal. Also, a ring  $R$  is *left strongly prime* if for every left ideal  $I \subseteq R$  there is a monomorphism  $R \hookrightarrow I^k$  for some  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  (Handelman-Lawrence [6]). This notion was extended to left modules in Beidar-Wisbauer [2]. A module  $M$  is called *strongly prime* if for any non-zero fully invariant submodule  $K \subseteq M$ ,  $M \in \sigma[K]$ . Dually,  $M$  is called *strongly coprime* if for any proper fully invariant submodule  $K$  of  $M$ ,  $M \in \sigma[M/K]$ .

An  $R$ -module  $M$  is called *hollow* if each of its proper submodules is superfluous in  $M$ .

**Proposition 3.1.** *Let  $M$  be a co-epi-retractable module with  $S = \text{End}_R(M)$ . Then:*

- (1) *If  $S$  is reversible, then  $M$  is co-Hopfian.*
- (2) *If  ${}_R M$  is a self-injective module, then  $S$  is a right Bezout ring.*
- (3) *If  ${}_R M$  is a strongly prime module, then  $M$  is a strongly coprime module.*
- (4) *If  ${}_R M$  is a duo module with the  $(**)$ -property, then  $M$  is hollow.*

*Proof.* (1) Let  $f : M \rightarrow M$  be a monomorphism. Since  $M$  is co-epi-retractable, there exists  $g \in \text{End}_R(M)$  such that  $\text{Im } f = \text{Ker } g$ . Hence  $fg = 0$ . By reversibility of  $S$ ,  $gf = 0$ . Since  $f$  is monomorphism, we have  $(M)g = 0$ . So  $\text{Im } f = \text{Ker } g = M$ . Consequently  $M$  is co-Hopfian.



(2) Let  $I$  be a finitely generated right ideal of  $S$ . Because  ${}_R M$  is co-epi-retractable, then there exists  $f \in S$  such that  $\text{Ker } I = \text{Ker } f = \text{Ker } fS$ . Since  $M$  is self-injective,

$$I = \text{r.ann}_S(\text{Ker } I) = \text{r.ann}_S(\text{Ker } fS) = fS,$$

by part (2) of Lemma 2.1. Then  $S$  is a right Bezout ring.

(3) Let  $K$  be a proper fully invariant submodule of  $M$ . Since  $M$  is co-epi-retractable, then there exists a non-zero submodule  $L$  of  $M$  such that,  $M/K \simeq L$ . Since  $M$  is strongly prime,  $M$  is subgenerated by  $L$ , i.e.,  $M \in \sigma[L]$ . Thus  $M \in \sigma[M/K]$  and then  $M$  is strongly coprime.

(4) Let  $A$  and  $B$  be two proper submodules of  $M$  with  $A + B = M$ . Since  $M$  is co-epi-retractable, there exist  $f, g \in S$  such that  $\text{Ker } f = A$  and  $\text{Ker } g = B$ . Then  $M = (M)f = (A + B)f = (\text{Ker } g)f \subseteq \text{Ker } g$ . Thus  $g = 0$ , a contradiction.  $\square$

A co-Hopfian module need not be co-epi-retractable:

**Remark 3.2.** We can easily see that there does not exist an endomorphism  $f \in \text{End}_{\mathbb{Z}}(\mathbb{Q})$  such that  $\mathbb{Z} = \text{Ker } f$ . Then  ${}_{\mathbb{Z}}\mathbb{Q}$  is not co-epi-retractable. But we know that  ${}_{\mathbb{Z}}\mathbb{Q}$  is co-Hopfian.

The following theorem gives some information about self-injective co-epi-retractable modules.

**Theorem 3.3.** *Let  ${}_R M$  be a self-injective co-epi-retractable module with  $S = \text{End}_R(M)$ . Then the following statements are equivalent:*

- (a)  ${}_R M$  is a Noetherian module.
- (b)  $S$  satisfies dcc for cyclic right ideals.
- (c)  $S$  is a left perfect ring.

*Proof.* (a)  $\Rightarrow$  (b). A descending chain of cyclic right ideals  $f_1 S \supseteq f_2 S \supseteq \dots$  yields an ascending chain of submodules  $\text{Ker } f_1 S \subseteq \text{Ker } f_2 S \subseteq \dots$ . By assumption, there is some  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $\text{Ker } f_i S = \text{Ker } f_n S$  for all  $i \geq n$ . With applying  $\text{r.ann}_S(-)$  to this module, and by part (2) of Lemma 2.1, we have  $f_i S = f_n S$  for all  $i \geq n$ . This shows that  $S$  satisfies dcc for cyclic right ideals.

(b)  $\Rightarrow$  (a). Let  $K_1 \subseteq K_2 \subseteq \dots$  be an ascending chain of submodules of  $M$ . Because  $M$  is co-epi-retractable, each  $K_i$  is of the form  $\text{Ker } f_i = \text{Ker } f_i S$  for some  $f_i \in S$ . With applying  $\text{r.ann}_S(-)$  to this chain, we see that  $f_1 S \supseteq f_2 S \supseteq \dots$ . But  $S$  satisfies dcc for cyclic right ideals, thus there is some  $n$  such that  $f_i S = f_n S$  for all  $i \geq n$ , and then  $K_i = K_n$  for all  $i \geq n$ . Therefore  $M$  is left Noetherian.

(b)  $\Leftrightarrow$  (c). This follows from [13, 43.9].  $\square$

**Corollary 3.4.** *Let  $R$  be a co-pri ring. Then the following statements hold:*

- (1) *If  $R$  is a reversible ring, then  ${}_R R$  is co-Hopfian.*
- (2) *If  $R$  is a left self-injective ring, then  $R$  is a right Bezout ring.*
- (3) *If  $R$  is a left self-injective, then the following are equivalent:*
  - (a)  *$R$  is a left Noetherian ring.*
  - (b)  *$R$  satisfies dcc for cyclic right ideals.*
  - (c)  *$R$  is a left perfect ring.*
- (4) *If  $R$  is a strongly prime ring, then  $R$  is a simple ring.*

We note that over a left perfect ring  $R$ , every left  $R$ -module has a projective cover.

**Proposition 3.5.** *Let  $R$  be a left perfect ring such that every projective  $R$ -module is co-epi-retractable. Then  $R$  is a quasi-Frobenius ring.*

*Proof.* By [8, Remark 15.10], we need to show that every injective  $R$ -module is projective. Now, let  $M$  be an injective  $R$ -module and let  $P$  be the projective cover of  ${}_R M$ . Then, by our assumption,  $M \hookrightarrow P$ . Because  ${}_R M$  is injective, then  $M$  is isomorphic to a direct summand of  $P$ , and so is projective.  $\square$

**Proposition 3.6.** *Let  ${}_R M$  be a self-cogenerator, and set  $S = \text{End}_R(M)$ .*

- (1) *If  $S$  is a co-pri ring, then:*
  - (i)  *$M$  is epi-retractable.*
  - (ii)  *$M$  is left Noetherian if and only if  $S$  is right Artinian.*
- (2) *If  $S$  is a pri ring, then  $M$  is co-epi-retractable.*

*Proof.* (1) (i). Let  $K$  be an  $R$ -submodule of  $M$ . Since  $S$  is a co-pri ring, there exists  $f \in S$  such that  $\text{r.ann}_S(K) = \text{r.ann}_S(f)$ . Since  $M$  is self-cogenerator, we have

$$K = \text{Ker}(\text{r.ann}_S(K)) = \text{Ker}(\text{r.ann}_S((M)f)) = (M)f,$$

by part (1) of Lemma 2.1. Thus  $M$  is epi-retractable.

(ii)( $\Rightarrow$ ). Consider the ascending chain  $I_1 \supseteq I_2 \supseteq \cdots$  of right ideals of  $S$ . Since  $S$  is co-pri, then for each  $i$  there exists  $f_i \in S$  such that  $I_i = \text{r.ann}_S(f_i) = \text{r.ann}_S((M)f_i)$ . With applying  $\text{Ker}(-)$  to this chain and by part (1) of Lemma 2.1 we get the descending chain  $(M)f_1 \supseteq (M)f_2 \supseteq \cdots$  of submodules of  $M$ . Because  $M$  is left Noetherian, there exists some  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $(M)f_i = (M)f_n$  for all  $i \geq n$ . So we have  $\text{r.ann}_S(f_i) = \text{r.ann}_S(f_n)$  for all  $i \geq n$ . Thus  $S$  satisfies dcc for its right ideals.

( $\Leftarrow$ ). Let  $K_1 \subseteq K_2 \subseteq \cdots$  be an ascending chain of submodules of  $M$ . Then  $\text{r.ann}_S(K_1) \supseteq \text{r.ann}_S(K_2) \supseteq \cdots$ . But  $S$  satisfies dcc on its right ideals, thus there is some  $n$  such that  $\text{r.ann}_S(K_i) = \text{r.ann}_S(K_n)$  for all  $i \geq n$ . With applying  $\text{Ker}(-)$  to this module, and by part (1) of Lemma 2.1, we have  $K_i = K_n$  for all  $i \geq n$ . Therefore  $M$  is left Noetherian.

(2) Let  $K$  be an  $R$ -submodule of  $M$ . Since  $S$  is pri ring, then there exists  $f \in S$  such that  $\text{r.ann}_S(K) = fS$ . Since  $M$  is self-cogenerator, we deduce that

$$K = \text{Ker}(\text{r.ann}_S(K)) = \text{Ker}(fS) = \text{Ker } f,$$

by part (1) of Lemma 2.1. Thus  $M$  is co-epi-retractable.  $\square$

**Example 3.7.** By Example 3.7 of [7],  $\text{End}_{\mathbb{Z}}(\mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}) \simeq \prod_p \mathbb{Q}_p^*$ . Since for any prime number  $p$ ,  $\mathbb{Q}_p^*$  is a commutative principal ideal domain, then  $\text{End}_{\mathbb{Z}}(\mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z})$  is a commutative principal ideal ring. Consequently by part (2) of Proposition 3.6, the cogenerator  $\mathbb{Z}$ -module  $\mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}$  is co-epi-retractable.

**Corollary 3.8.** *Let  ${}_R R$  be a self-cogenerator module.*

(1) *If  $R$  is a co-pri ring, then:*

(i)  *$R$  is a pli ring.*

(ii)  *$R$  is left Noetherian if and only if  $R$  is right Artinian.*

(2) *If  $R$  is a pri ring, then  $R$  is a co-pri ring.*

A cogenerator ring is a ring  $R$  for which both  ${}_R R$  and  $R_R$  are cogenerators. Quasi-Frobenius rings are examples of cogenerator rings (see Lam [8, 15.11 part (1)]).

**Theorem 3.9.** *A cogenerator ring  $R$  is a pli ring if and only if it is a co-pri ring.*

*Proof.* By Corollary 3.8 and [4, Corollary 2.6].  $\square$

**Proposition 3.10.** *Let  ${}_R M$  be a hollow copolyform module. Then  $M$  is co-epi-retractable if and only if  $M/N \simeq M$ , for all proper submodules  $N$  of  $M$ .*

*Proof.* ( $\Leftarrow$ ). By definition.

( $\Rightarrow$ ). Let  $N$  be a proper submodule of  $M$ . Then there exists a non-zero submodule  $K$  of  $M$  such that  $M/N \simeq K$ . If  $K$  is proper, then since  $M$  is hollow copolyform,  $\text{Hom}_R(M, M/N) = \text{Hom}_R(M, K) = 0$ , a contradiction. Thus  $M/N \simeq M$ .  $\square$

It is well known that a module  $M$  is semisimple if and only if each of its submodules is essentially closed.

**Proposition 3.11.** *The following are equivalent for a nonsingular  $R$ -module  $M$ :*

- (a)  ${}_R M$  is semisimple.
- (b)  ${}_R M$  is co-epi-retractable.

*Proof.* (a)  $\Rightarrow$  (b). This is clear.

(b)  $\Rightarrow$  (a). Let  $N$  be a submodule of  ${}_R M$ . By assumption, there exists a submodule  $K$  of  $M$  such that  $M/N \simeq K$ . Because  $M/N$  is nonsingular, then  $N$  is an essentially closed submodule of  $M$ . So  $M$  is semisimple.  $\square$

An  $R$ -module  $M$  is called subisomorphic to an  $R$ -module  $M'$  if there exist monomorphisms  $f : M \rightarrow M'$  and  $g : M' \rightarrow M$ .

**Proposition 3.12.** *Let  $M$  be an  $R$ -module. Then the following statements are equivalent:*

- (a)  $M$  is a co-epi-retractable.
- (b)  $M$  is subisomorphic to a co-epi-retractable module.
- (c) There exists a monomorphism  $\varphi : M \rightarrow K$  for some co-epi-retractable submodule  $K$  of  $M$ .

*Proof.* (a)  $\Rightarrow$  (b). This is clear.

(b)  $\Rightarrow$  (c). Suppose that there exist a co-epi-retractable module  $M'$  and monomorphisms  $\alpha : M \rightarrow M'$ ,  $\beta : M' \rightarrow M$ . Set  $K := \text{Im } \beta \simeq M'$ . Then,  $\alpha\beta : M \rightarrow K$  is a monomorphism for co-epi-retractable submodule  $K$  of  $M$ .

(c)  $\Rightarrow$  (a). Let  $L$  be any submodule of  $M$ . By our assumption, for submodule  $K' := (L)\varphi$  of  $K$ , there exists a monomorphism  $\theta : K/K' \rightarrow K$ . Consider inclusion map  $i_K : K \rightarrow M$  and monomorphism  $\bar{\varphi} : M/L \rightarrow K/K'$  with  $(m + L)\bar{\varphi} = (m)\varphi + K'$ . Then  $\bar{\varphi}\theta i_K : M/L \rightarrow M$  is a monomorphism, proving that  $M$  is co-epi-retractable.  $\square$

**Proposition 3.13.** *Let  $M = \bigoplus_{i \in I} M_i$  be a duo module. Then  $M$  is co-epi-retractable if and only if each  $M_i$  is co-epi-retractable.*

*Proof.* ( $\Rightarrow$ ). By [4, Proposition 1.1 part (4)].

( $\Leftarrow$ ). Let each  $M_i$  be epi-retractable and  $N$  be a submodule of  $M$ . By Lemma 2.9, submodule  $N$  can be written as  $N = \bigoplus_{i \in I} (N \cap M_i)$ . On the other hand, for any  $i \in I$  there exists a monomorphism  $f_i : M_i/(N \cap M_i) \rightarrow M_i$ . Thus the homomorphism

$$f : \bigoplus_{i \in I} [M_i/(N \cap M_i)] \rightarrow \bigoplus_{i \in I} M_i, (m_i + N \cap M_i)_{i \in I} \mapsto \sum_{i \in I} (m_i + N \cap M_i) f_i$$

is injective. Moreover we have the monomorphism

$$g : M/N \rightarrow \bigoplus_{i \in I} [M_i / (N \cap M_i)], \quad \sum_{i \in I} m_i + N \mapsto (m_i + N \cap M_i)_{i \in I}.$$

Consequently the homomorphism  $gf : M/N \rightarrow M$  is injective, as desired.  $\square$

**Proposition 3.14.** *Let  $M$  be a co-epi-retractable module with  $S = \text{End}_R(M)$ . Then the following statements are equivalent:*

- (a)  $M$  is a simple module.
- (b)  $S$  is a division ring.
- (c)  $M$  satisfies the  $(*)$ -property.
- (d)  $M$  satisfies the  $(**)$ -property and  $\text{Rad}(M) = 0$ .

*Proof.* (a)  $\Rightarrow$  (b) and (b)  $\Rightarrow$  (c) are obvious.

(c)  $\Rightarrow$  (a). Let  $K$  be a proper submodule of  $M$ . Since  $M$  is co-epi-retractable, there exists a homomorphism  $f : M \rightarrow M$  such that  $\text{Ker } f = K$ . Because  $K$  is proper and  $M$  satisfies the  $(*)$ -property,  $K = \text{Ker } f = 0$ . Consequently  $M$  is simple.

(a)  $\Rightarrow$  (d). Straightforward.

(d)  $\Rightarrow$  (a). There exists a maximal submodule  $N \subset M$ , because  $\text{Rad}(M) \neq M$ . Since  $M$  is co-epi-retractable  $N = \text{Ker } f$  for some homomorphism  $f : M \rightarrow M$ . By the  $(**)$ -property we have  $M/N \simeq M$ , which implies that  $M$  is simple.  $\square$

**Corollary 3.15.** *Let  $R$  be a co-pli ring. Then the following statements are equivalent:*

- (1)  ${}_R R$  is simple.
- (2)  $R$  is a division ring.
- (3)  $R$  is a domain.

A ring  $R$  is called *left hereditary* if all of its left ideals are projective. Moreover,  $R$  is left hereditary if and only if every submodule of every projective  $R$ -module is projective if and only if quotients of injective  $R$ -modules are injective (see [8, Corollary 2.26] and [8, Theorem 3.22]).

**Proposition 3.16.** *Let  $R$  be a left hereditary ring. Then every projective co-epi-retractable  $R$ -module is semisimple.*

*Proof.* Assume that  $R$  is a left hereditary ring and  $K$  is a submodule of a co-epi-retractable projective  $R$ -module  $M$ . Since  $M$  is co-epi-retractable,  $M/K$  is isomorphic to a submodule of  $M$ . Thus  $M/K$  is projective, and we can lift  $I_{M/K}$  to  $f \in \text{Hom}_R(M/K, M)$  with  $f\pi_K = I_{M/K}$ .

Hence  $M = \text{Im } f \oplus \text{Ker } \pi_K = \text{Im } f \oplus K$ . Consequently  $M$  is semisimple.  $\square$

**Proposition 3.17.** *Let  $M$  be a projective module over a left hereditary ring  $R$ . Then the following statements are equivalent:*

- (a)  $M$  is semisimple.
- (b)  $M$  is epi-retractable.
- (c)  $M$  is co-epi-retractable.
- (d) In  $\sigma[M]$  every injective module is epi-retractable.

*Proof.* (a)  $\Rightarrow$  (b), (a)  $\Rightarrow$  (c) and (a)  $\Rightarrow$  (d) are trivial.

(b)  $\Rightarrow$  (a). By [11, 3.1 part (2)].

(c)  $\Rightarrow$  (a). See 3.16.

(d)  $\Rightarrow$  (a). According to [11, 3.1 part (1)], the  $M$ -injective hull  $\widehat{M}$  of  $M$  in  $\sigma[M]$  is semisimple. Then  $M$  is also semisimple.  $\square$

The following result generalizes [5, Proposition 2.5].

**Corollary 3.18.** *Let  $R$  be a left hereditary ring. Then the following statements are equivalent:*

- (a)  $R$  is a semisimple ring.
- (b)  $R$  is a pli ring.
- (c)  $R$  is a co-pli ring.
- (d) Every injective  $R$ -module is epi-retractable.
- (e) Every free  $R$ -module is epi-retractable.
- (f) Every free  $R$ -module is co-epi-retractable.

**Proposition 3.19.** *If  $M$  is a co-epi-retractable module with  $S = \text{End}_R(M)$ .*

*Then the following statements are equivalent:*

- (a)  $M$  is polyform.
- (b)  $M$  is semisimple.
- (c)  $S$  is a Von Neumann regular ring.

*Proof.* (a)  $\Rightarrow$  (b). Let  $L$  be an essential submodule of  $M$ . Since  $M$  is co-epi-retractable, there exists a monomorphism  $M/L \hookrightarrow M$ . Because  $M$  is polyform, we have  $\text{Hom}_R(M/L, M) = 0$ . Then  $L = M$ . Consequently  $\text{Soc}(M) = \bigcap_{L \triangleleft M} L = M$ , i.e.  $M$  is semisimple.

(b)  $\Rightarrow$  (a). Is trivial.

(b)  $\Rightarrow$  (c). By [13, 37.7 part (2)].

(c)  $\Rightarrow$  (b). Let  $K$  be a submodule of  $M$ , then  $K = \text{Ker } f$  for some  $f \in S$ . Now apply [13, 37.7 part (2)].  $\square$

**Corollary 3.20.** *If  $R$  is a co-pi ring, then the following statements are equivalent:*

- (a)  ${}_R R$  is polyform.
- (b)  $R$  is a semisimple ring.
- (c)  $R$  is a Von Neumann regular ring.

### Acknowledgments

The author thanks the referee for his/her useful suggestions.

### REFERENCES

- [1] F. W. Anderson and K. R. Fuller, Rings and Categories of Modules, Springer-Verlag, New York-Heidelberg, 1974.
- [2] K. Beidar and R. Wisbauer, Properly semiprime self-pp-modules, *Comm. Algebra* **23** (1995), no. 3, 841–861.
- [3] P. M. Cohn, Reversible rings, *Bull. London Math. Soc.* **31** (1999), no. 6, 641–648.
- [4] A. Ghorbani, Co-epi-retractable modules and co-pri-rings, *Comm. Algebra* **38** (2010), no. 10, 3589–3596.
- [5] A. Ghorbani and M. R. Vedadi, Epi-retractable modules and some applications, *Bull. Iranian Math. Soc.* **35** (2009), no. 1, 155–166.
- [6] D. Handelman and J. Lawrence, Strongly prime rings, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **211** (1975) 209–223.
- [7] P. A. Krylov, A. V. Mikhalev and A. A. Tuganbaev, Endomorphism rings of Abelian groups, Algebras and Applications, 2, Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht, 2003.
- [8] T. Y. Lam, Lectures on Modules and Rings, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1998.
- [9] W. K. Nicholson and M. F. Yousif, Quasi-Frobenius Rings, 158, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2003.
- [10] A. Ç. Özcan, A. Harmançi and P. F. Smith, Duo modules, *Glasg. Math. J.* **48** (2006) 533–545.
- [11] B. M. Pandeya, A. K. Chaturvedi and A. J. Gupta, Applications of epi-retractable modules, *Bull. Iranian Math. Soc.* **38** (2012), no. 2, 469–477.
- [12] A. K. Tiwary and B. M. Pandeya, Modules Whose Nonzero Endomorphisms are Monomorphisms, Algebra and its Applications, New Delhi, 1981, 199–203, Lect. Notes Pure Appl. Math. 91, Dekker, New York, 1984.
- [13] R. Wisbauer, Foundations of Module and Ring Theory, Gordon and Breach Science Publishers, Philadelphia, 1991.
- [14] W. Xue, On Morita Duality, *Bull. Austral. Math. Soc.* **49** (1994), no. 1, 35–45.

**H. Mostafanasab**

Department of Mathematical Sciences, Isfahan University of Technology, 84156-83111  
Isfahan, Iran

Email: [h.mostafanasab@math.iut.ac.ir](mailto:h.mostafanasab@math.iut.ac.ir)



# SID



ابزارهای  
پژوهش



سرویس ترجمه  
تخصصی



کارگاه های  
آموزشی



بلاگ  
مرکز اطلاعات علمی



سامانه ویراستاری  
STES



فیلم های  
آموزشی

## کارگاه های آموزشی مرکز اطلاعات علمی



تازه های آموزش  
آموزش مهارت های کاربردی در تدوین و چاپ مقالات ISI

آموزش مهارت های کاربردی  
در تدوین و چاپ مقالات ISI



تازه های آموزش  
روش تحقیق کمی

روش تحقیق کمی



تازه های آموزش  
آموزش نرم افزار Word برای پژوهشگران

آموزش نرم افزار Word  
برای پژوهشگران