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Abstract. Asthma is a chronic lung disorder of which the number of sufferers estimated to be between 1.4-27.1% of the population in different areas of the world. Results of various studies show that asthma is usually under-diagnosed, especially in developing countries, because of limited access to medical specialist and laboratory data. The purpose of this paper is to design a fuzzy rule-based expert system to alleviate this hazard by diagnosing asthma at initial stages. A knowledge representation of this system is provided from a high level, based on patient perception, and organized into two different structures called Type A and Type B. Type A is composed of six modules, including symptoms, allergic rhinitis, genetic factors, symptoms hyper-responsiveness, medical factors and environmental factors. Type B is composed of 8 modules including symptoms, allergic rhinitis, genetic factors, response to short-term drug use, bronchodilator tests, challenge tests, PEF tests and exhaled nitric oxide. The final result of every system is de-fuzzified in order to provide the assessment of the possibility of asthma for the patient. Verification and validations criteria are considered throughout a life-cycle; the system was developed by the participation of general physicians, experienced asthma physicians and asthmatic patients.
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INTRODUCTION

Asthma is a chronic disease with three signs: chronic inflammation, hyper-responsiveness and reversible airflow obstruction [1-5]. In spite of improvements in understanding the pathologies of this disease, and the growth level of hygiene in the world, there is remarkably a considerable difference between the prevalence of physician diagnosed asthma and the prevalence of asthma related symptoms showing that asthma has usually been under-diagnosed [6-11]. The major causes of this under-diagnosing could be classified into two categories:

1. Disregard of respiratory symptoms, especially at the first stages of this disease by patients; a survey shows that 10% of asthmatic children are not aware of their disease [12].
2. Insufficient experience of general physicians, the inability to perform spirometry in young children, the lack of spirometry tests at most of the primary care settings [13-15], the complexity of the diagnosis [16,17] and the frequent remission and exacerbation of symptoms. In developing countries, other conditions, such as an inadequate number of asthma physicians, elevates the problem of diagnosing asthma. The consequences of this under-diagnosing, such as the increasing severity of the asthma, create considerable restrictions on the physical, emotional, and environmental aspects of the patient’s lives and could finally increase the morbidity and mortality rate of asthma [18-21].

In diagnosing asthma, physicians make decisions about the type of disease based on the symptoms and by studying the history of the patients. The uncertainty about the possibility of this disease may be represented by linguistic variables.

The purpose of this paper is to deal with asthma under-diagnosing by designing a Fuzzy Expert System...
(FES). The designed FES gives patients with respiratory symptoms the ability to check their disease for asthma, based on the status of their respiratory symptoms and historical data. In addition, it helps physicians to expedite asthma diagnosing at initial stages.

This paper is organized as follows: A brief outline of the fuzzy expert system is presented, the material and method of the fuzzy model for diagnosing asthma will be discussed, system validation and verification considers the evaluation of the system performance, and finally the discussion and conclusion are presented.

FUZZY TECHNOLOGY

The knowledge required for building expert systems; knowledge represented in a knowledge-base and information that is available in working memory are not always definite and explicit [22]. The principle task of a knowledge engineer in the development of expert systems is representing uncertainty in a knowledge-base and designing an inference engine providing conclusions from uncertain conditions. Uncertainty may arise from several sources in an expert system during the process of knowledge acquisition, knowledge representation and incomplete information.

The uncertainty in the knowledge-base is usually represented as linguistic variables or vague numeric values in the rule’s antecedents, consequences, or both [23,24]. For example, consider the following rule:

**If** forced expiration followed in one second (FEV1) is greater than 15%,

**then** the possibility of asthma is high.

In this rule, values greater than 15% (called B) in Figure 1 seem to be crisp (without vagueness), as compared to high (with vagueness). High is a linguistic variable, and different physicians do not have the same opinion about its value. It may be expanded in the domain of [5-9]. Although a physician may accept all numbers in the domain as high, every number has a different partial degree. This set, with the characteristic of partial degree membership for every member, is called fuzzy. The schematic view of a fuzzy set of high is shown in Figure 2. X is a universe of discourse that contains fuzzy sets: very high, medium, low and very low. In this figure, the membership degree of elements in a fuzzy set of high is reduced with the increasing transparency of colors.

The premise of the above rule, the value of greater than 15%, brings about the question as to whether a patient with FEV1 14.75 belongs to a fuzzy set high or not. It seems that B in the antecedent has an internal uncertainty, so it could be presented as a fuzzy set.

Figure 1 shows the schematic view of the fuzzy set of B. In this figure, the membership degree of elements in set B (value of > 15%) reduced with the increasing transparency of colors.

The combination of the fuzzy technique with an expert system provides a suitable intelligent system for eliciting the asthma expert’s knowledge and their mental algorithm, in order to generate cost-effective intelligence systems with the ability of diagnosing [25-31].

MARTIAL AND METHOD

In diagnosing asthma, the knowledge-base contains declarative and procedural knowledge collected by methods of interviewing, task performance and protocols (the reasoning mechanism) from active human expertise (physicians and patients). In addition, latent expertise (text books and papers) is used for completing the knowledge-base.

![Figure 1. Schematic view of fuzzy set of FEV1 > 15](image-url)
Graphical Representations

The graphical representation of the knowledge acquisition process is represented as semantic networks (Figures 3 and 4) which are made of nodes providing the conditions of asthma (cause nodes) and nodes representing the effects of asthma (effect nodes).

The semantic network of Model 1 in Figure 3 is represented as low level, i.e. the physician’s perception of asthma, and contains seven major nodes. These nodes are divided into two parts. The cause nodes include atopy, airway hyper-reactivity, genetic factors and lung development disorders. The effect nodes include the response to short-term drugs, lab data and symptoms. Every major node includes other nodes that are connected to them by specific labels. For example, a symptom node is characterized by symptom type, pattern and intensity.

The semantic network of Model 2 represented in Figure 4 is a modification of the semantic network of Model 1, which represents cause and effect nodes from the high level (patient’s perception). Major cause nodes include allergic rhinitis, genetic factors, environmental factors, and medical factors. Major
effect nodes include lung functions, the effects of short term drugs and symptoms characterized by symptom appearance, symptom hyper-responsiveness, symptom intensity and symptom pattern.

The approach of a representation of disease knowledge (asthma), based on the situation surrounding a patient, provides understandable knowledge for patients and makes an intelligent system more applicable to them.

**Representation of Production Rule**

In this section, the knowledge of semantic network Model 2 is represented as production rules.

Medical diagnosing is performed based on three classes of data: symptom class history class and lab data class. Every node of Model 2 belongs to one of these classes. For representing knowledge as production rules, every major node is considered as a module that contains related variables (sub-nodes). The priority of considering these modules by a system inference engine is matched with the sequence of considering the medical class by physicians. Variables of the module sub-module may be represented as a statement of positive and negative relationships with the degree of uncertainty as linguistic variables, such as never, sometimes, and always.

The usual form of the casual relationship of these modules is represented as follows:

- **Form 1**: Condition A causes asthma with a degree of uncertainty.
- **Form 2**: Asthma causes consequence B with a degree of uncertainty, which is modified, as consequence B is an indicator of asthma with a degree of uncertainty.

The reason for this modification is the assimilation of knowledge in the knowledge-base.

A casual statement could be extended by adding deeper knowledge and presenting it in the form of production rules. The conditions of these production rules are variables in defined modules, and their consequence is the degree of asthma possibility. This possibility is calculated using local experience knowledge and global knowledge. Local knowledge involves inductive, deductive and constraint satisfaction knowledge that physicians use in their diagnosis. Global knowledge includes consideration of the Youden Index (evaluated the diagnostic efficacy of a test by the use of specificity and sensitivity) and the Positive Predictive Value (PPV) for certain symptom(s)/condition(s) [33] obtained from various studies in different countries.

The domain of the degree of asthma possibility in all modules expect the lung function test to be considered between 0-10, which is subdivided into ten fuzzy interval categories represented as linguistic variables (Table 1).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Categories</th>
<th>Fuzzy Interval</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>EL (Extremely Low)</td>
<td>0-1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VVL (Very Very Low)</td>
<td>0-2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VL (Very Low)</td>
<td>1-3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L (Low)</td>
<td>2-4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ML (Medium-Low)</td>
<td>3-5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M (Medium)</td>
<td>4-6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MH (Medium-High)</td>
<td>5-7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H (High)</td>
<td>6-8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VH (Very High)</td>
<td>7-9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VVH (Very Very High)</td>
<td>8-10</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Triangular and trapezoidal membership functions are used for representing fuzzy sets because of computational efficiency (Figure 5).

**Representing Knowledge of Every Module**

Knowledge of every module is represented as production rules that are explained briefly here.

- **Symptoms module belonging to symptoms class.**
  Production rules in this module were generated using a combination of symptom appearance, pattern and intensity, as antecedents, and the degree of possibility of asthma as a consequence. The combination of antecedents is considered based on the stages of this disease (mild, moderate and severe) [33].

![Figure 5](https://www.SID.ir)

**Figure 5.** Membership functions related to fuzzy categories of possibility of asthma.
An example of rules at the moderate stage is as follows:

Rule of stage 2:
If the patient has dry coughing without a cold and the patient has dyspnoea each time and coughing is more severe in the early morning (after midnight) then the degree of asthma is high

- **Allergic rhinitis module belonging to symptoms class.**

Antecedents of rules related to allergic rhinitis [34, 35] are composed of the type and severity of rhinitis, body mass index and the result of diagnosing the allergy (yes vs. no). Values of the type and severity of allergy rhinitis usually are represented with linguistic variables. As a result, these variables cannot be precisely measured and inherently contain uncertainty. Values of body mass index [36] are stated in three categories, as BMI \( \leq 25 \), \( 25 < \text{BMI} < 30 \), \( \text{BMI} \geq 30 \), which contain uncertainty, especially for values on the border line, such as 25 or 30. Table 2 represents these variables, linguistic values and related fuzzy intervals. The input variable of the diagnosed allergy is considered a crisp value.

Figure 6 depicts the degrees of membership function of the type and severity of rhinitis and BMI, respectively.

An example of rules of allergic rhinitis is as follows:

If the patient has allergic rhinitis and the allergy rhinitis is moderate to severe and the diagnosing of the allergy is yes and the type of rhinitis is persistent and the body of mass index is \( > 25 \) and \( < 30 \) then the degree of possibility of asthma is very high

**Table 2.** Linguistic values and fuzzy intervals related to variables of allergic rhinitis.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variables</th>
<th>Linguistic Values</th>
<th>Fuzzy Interval</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Types</td>
<td>Intermittent</td>
<td>1-7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Persistent</td>
<td>4-10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Severity</td>
<td>Mild</td>
<td>0-4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Moderate</td>
<td>3-7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Severe</td>
<td>6-10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Body mass index</td>
<td>( \text{BMI} \leq 25 )</td>
<td>10-25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>( 25 &lt; \text{BMI} &lt; 30 )</td>
<td>22-33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>( \text{BMI} \geq 30 )</td>
<td>28-70</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Figure 6.** (a) Membership functions of type of allergic rhinitis. (b) Membership functions of severity of asthma. (c) Membership functions of body mass index.

- **Genetic factor module belonging to history class.**

The production rules of this module are represented as a single input-single output. All variables in this module are considered as crisp variables.

An example of these rules is as follows:
If mother or father has asthma/allergy/hay fever
then the degree of possibility of asthma is medium.

- Symptoms hyper-responsiveness module belonging to history class and lab data class.
The antecedents of production rules in this module are type of allergens, irritants and the data of atopy tests. Asthmatic patients react to allergens/irritants with different intensity, based on the stages of asthma, so the positive/negative response to allergens or irritants could confirm/reject the existence of asthma. All variables in this module are considered crisp variables.

An example of rules of genetic factor module is as follows:
If the patient has severe respiratory symptoms (cough / dyspnoea / wheezing / tightness of chest) during exposure or after 6-12 minutes or 1-2 hours of exposure to dust especially in bed
and/or pollen from trees
and/or grass
and/or a cooling system (water cooler) or heating (gas heater, wood stove)
then the degree of possibility of asthma is high.

- Medical factor module belonging to history class.
Production rules of this module are composed of variables that consider the medical history of asthmatic patient [37]. All variables of this module are considered as crisp variables, except BMI, which is presented as a fuzzy variable with membership functions represented in Figure 6c.

An example of rules of this module is as follows:
If the patient has BMI $\geq 30$
and the patient has emotional coughing/ dyspnoea/ wheezing
and the existence of eczema is positive
and/or the existence of food allergy is positive (early beginning of food during infancy)
then the degree of possibility of asthma is medium/high.

- Environmental factors module belonging to history class.
This module is divided into two main sub-modules, based on the patient environment, as a sub-module of factors, specifically of cities [38], and a sub-module of common factors in cities and villages.
- Module of factors specifically of a city: In this module, places that are sources of mites, fungi/ mild allergens, and the existence of pets and cockroaches are defined as crisp because of their clear definition.
- Module of common factors: variables of air pollution, childhood exposure to chemical substances, and the existence of current chemical substances are defined as crisp variables. However, every place could be interpreted as having partial degrees of pollution or chemicals. Other variables, such as the mother smoking during pregnancy, the existence of passive smoking and exposure to passive smoking during infancy are considered crisp variables, because the responses (yes, no) do not contain any uncertainty.

An example of rules of this sub-module is as follows:
If the patient has been exposed to passive smoking during infancy
and/or there has been exposure to chemical substances during infancy
and/or the mother smoked during pregnancy
and air pollution is high
and/or passive smoking is high
and/or the existence of chemical substances is high
then the degree of asthma is high.

- Response to short term drug module.
This module includes only the variable of the degree of improvement of symptoms by taking asthma inhalation drugs such as salbutamol.

- Lung function module belonging to lab data class.
This module contains four sub-modules including bronchodilator tests, challenge tests, exhaled nitric oxide tests and peak expiratory tests. Lung function tests [39] are applied in diagnosing when physicians cannot confirm or reject asthma when using symptoms and history data.

This module is applied to the process of diagnosing in this system, if the data for the lung function test be available. Using the results of the laboratory data, the system presents the final result of diagnosing (a patient with respiratory symptoms has asthma or not), otherwise, confirmation of the obtained results of the system need confirmation by the physician.

Rules of this module are presented in the form of single input-single output. Antecedents contain indicators of lung function tests. Antecedents of the sub-module of the bronchodilator test include Forced Expiratory Volume in one second (FEV1), and the ratio of FEV1: FVC and wheezing. The consequence of these rules is the degree of possibility of asthma. Table 3 represents these linguistic variables and values, and associated fuzzy intervals related to variables of the bronchodilator test.

An example of the rule of the module of the bronchodilator test is as follows:
If the increase in FEV1 after using a bronchodilator is $\geq 10\%$ and $\leq 15\%$
**Table 3.** Input and output of bronchodilator test.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Bronchodilator Test’s Variable</th>
<th>Linguistic Variables</th>
<th>Linguistic Values</th>
<th>Fuzzy Sets</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Inputs</td>
<td>FEV1</td>
<td>VL (Very Low)</td>
<td>0-10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>L (Low)</td>
<td>6-12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>M (Medium)</td>
<td>10-15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>H (High)</td>
<td>12-22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>VH (Very High)</td>
<td>18-50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FVC: FEV1</td>
<td></td>
<td>L (Low)</td>
<td>80-100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>M (Medium)</td>
<td>74-81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>H (High)</td>
<td>0-70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wheeze</td>
<td></td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>No</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Output</td>
<td>Possibility of asthma</td>
<td>Mild</td>
<td>0-4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Mild-Mod (Mild to Moderate)</td>
<td>2.5-5.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Moderate</td>
<td>5.5-7.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Mod-More (Moderate to More)</td>
<td>6.5-8.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>More</td>
<td>7.5-10</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**then** the degree of possibility of asthma is medium.

Figure 7 depicts the membership functions of linguistic values related to FEV1. FEV1: FVC and the degree of possibility of asthma, respectively. The values of the variable of wheezing are considered as crisp values.

Variables of metacholine, histamine, cold air, and exercise tests are categorized in the sub-module of the challenge tests. The variable of FEV1 makes antecedents of the rules of this module, and the degree of possibility of asthma is represented as a consequence of these rules. The module of exhaled nitric oxide contains the variables of the exhaled nitric test as the antecedent and the possibility of asthma as a consequence of its related rule. Variables of the module of the challenge test and exhaled nitric oxide with their linguistic values and fuzzy intervals are represented in Table 4.

An example of the rule of challenge tests (metacholine test):

**If** the decrease in FEV1 after using 2.4 mg.ml⁻¹ of metacholine is ≥ 20

**then** the degree of possibility of asthma is high.

Figure 8a depicts the membership functions of FEV1 in Histamine. Metacholine and no tests. Figure 8b shows the membership functions of FEV1 in cold air and exercise tests, and Figure 8c represents the possibility of asthma.

The sub-module of the PEF test contains the antecedent of Peak Expiratory Follow (PEF) as the antecedent, and the possibility of asthma as a consequence. Table 5 represents these variables with linguistic values and fuzzy intervals. Figures 9a and 9b represent the membership function, PEF and the degree of possibility of asthma in this module, respectively.

An example of rules of this module is as follows:

**If** improvement is in PEF ≥ 20 and ≤ 30 after inhalation of a bronchodilator

**then** the degree of possibility of asthma is high.

**Method of Control**

The defined modules of the asthma knowledge-base are organized into two different types: Type A and Type B.

Type A (Figure 10a) is designed without a module of the lung function test and a response to short term drugs, in order to be applicable to patients with respiratory symptoms, or general physicians in primary care settings. The final result of this system is obtained by considering the Mamdani fuzzy inference mechanism. Aggregation of the fuzzy outputs of this system module is performed using s-norm max. The method of centroid is used for output de-fuzzification.

Type B (Figure 10b) focuses on the lung function module in calculating the output of the system, because of the importance of lung function tests in diagnosing asthma. The result of Type B is calculated based on equation \( Z = 0.2x + 0.8y \). In this equation, variable \( x \) is the de-fuzzification of fuzzy sets obtained by aggregating outputs of modules of symptoms, including allergic rhinitis, genetic factors and symptom hyper-
Figure 7. (a) Membership functions of FEV1. (b) Membership functions of FEV1/FVC. (c) Membership functions of degree of possibility of asthma in bronchodilator tests.

Figure 8. (a) Membership functions of FEV1 related to challenge tests of histamine, metacholine and NO measurement. (b) Membership functions of FEV1 related to challenge tests of cold air and exercise test. (c) Membership functions of degree of possibility of asthma in challenge tests and NO module.
 responsiveness. Variable $y$ is the de-fuzzification of fuzzy sets, which are the final result of the lung function module. Coefficients $x$ and $y$ are determined based on unanimity among experienced asthma physicians. In this structure, we withdraw modules of symptom hyper-responsiveness, medical factors and environmental factors, in order to increase the speed of inference of the system and decrease the number of questions asked of patients or physicians.

**Meta Rules of System**

The meta rules of this system are classified into three categories: directive, heuristic and strategic.

- **Directive meta rules** or demon rules are responsible for assigning suitable questions to patients or physicians and gathering information.

- **Heuristic meta rules** are responsible for managing constraints on modules. The structure of this rule is as follows:

  If $<\text{module} \ n>$ and $<\text{heuristic}>$

  then $<\text{action}>$

- **Strategic meta rules** are responsible for the accurate application of modules and actions defined in the system.

The stopping rule of the two structures (Type A and Type B) of the system is defined as follows:

**If** the degree of possibility of asthma in the system (Type A or Type B) is higher than 75

**then** stop the process of reasoning of the system.

The value of $(0.75)$ is defined as the cut-off of the system. It is obtained based on the opinion of asthma physicians. If the structure (Type A) is considered for diagnosis, and the patient has asthma (the output of the system is more than $(0.75)$), the patient is referred to an asthma physician. Otherwise, the structure (Type B) is used for diagnosis; in this structure, the value of more than $(0.75)$ equals patient having asthma.

**VALIDATION AND VERIFICATION**

This system is tested based on methods of system verification and validation. The verification and validation of this system are performed during the five steps of its life-cycle, including problem definition, knowledge

---

**Table 4.** Input and output variables related to challenge tests and exhaled nitric oxide (ENO).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Challenge Test’s Variables</th>
<th>Indicators</th>
<th>Linguistic Variables</th>
<th>Fuzzy Sets</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Input of Metacholine, Histamine, and exhaled nitric oxide</td>
<td>FEV1</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>0-18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Medium</td>
<td>10-24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>High</td>
<td>18-50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Input of cold air and exercise test</td>
<td>FEV1</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>4-8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Medium</td>
<td>4-14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>High</td>
<td>8-50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Output</td>
<td>Possibility of asthma</td>
<td>Mild</td>
<td>0-4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Moderate</td>
<td>4-8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>More</td>
<td>6-10</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 5.** Input and output related to PEF test.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Peak Expiratory Follow Variable</th>
<th>Indicators</th>
<th>Linguistic Variables</th>
<th>Fuzzy set</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Input</td>
<td>PEF</td>
<td>High</td>
<td>25-60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Medium</td>
<td>15-33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>10-25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Very low</td>
<td>5-15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Output</td>
<td>Possibility of asthma</td>
<td>Very Mild</td>
<td>0-1.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Mild</td>
<td>3-6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Moderate</td>
<td>5-7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>More</td>
<td>6-10</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
acquisition, design and testing, by participants of three groups of system user: general physicians experienced asthma physicians and asthmatic patients.

The performance of this system is calculated by considering all modules of the knowledge-base, including symptoms, allergic rhinitis, genetic factors, symptoms hyper-responsiveness, medical factors, environmental factors, short term drug use and laboratory data.

In order to evaluate the final results obtained by these modules, 53 asthmatic patients and 53 non-asthmatic patients with respiratory symptoms were considered and referred to Imam Khomeini Hospital and Masih Daneshvari in Tehran, Iran. The output of this system (the degree of possibility of asthma) has been compared with the diagnosis of physicians. Analyzing the results, based on criteria of specificity and sensitivity in the cut-off value $\geq 0.7$, presented a sensitivity of 0.94% and specificity of 100%. Table 6 represents some result systems for 6 asthmatic and 4 non-asthmatic patients. In these tables, the primary diagnosis of physicians, the degree of possibility of asthma of the respiratory symptoms module, the final diagnosis of the system and the final diagnosis of physicians are represented.

In order to increase the accuracy of this evaluation, especially for calculating specificity, the testing of non-asthmatic patients with lung diseases having a high differential diagnosis of asthma is performed. Table 7 summarizes the types of lung disease of non-asthmatic patients who participated in this study.

To enhance system validity, this system is pro-
Table 6. Results of diagnosing system for asthmatic and nonasthmatic patients.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Number of Patients</th>
<th>Primary Diagnosis of Physicians</th>
<th>Diagnosis of System-Based on Respiratory Symptoms</th>
<th>Final Diagnosis of the System</th>
<th>Final Diagnosis of Physician</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Patient 1</td>
<td>Allergy</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>Asthma</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Patient 2</td>
<td>cold</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>7.83</td>
<td>Asthma</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Patient 3</td>
<td>Allergy</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>7.36</td>
<td>Asthma</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Patient 4</td>
<td>Allergy</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>7.8</td>
<td>Asthma</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Patient 5</td>
<td>sinusitis</td>
<td>7.25</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>Asthma</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Patient 6</td>
<td>Pneumonia unspecified</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>Asthma</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Patient 7</td>
<td>Pneumonia unspecified</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3.6</td>
<td>Pneumonia unspecified</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Patient 8</td>
<td>Allergy</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5.3</td>
<td>Allergy rhinitis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Patient 9</td>
<td>GER</td>
<td>No asthma</td>
<td>No asthma</td>
<td>Heart problem</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Patient 10</td>
<td>Cold</td>
<td>No asthma</td>
<td>No asthma</td>
<td>Cold</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 7. Lung disease of non-asthmatic patients participating in the sampling for calculating specificity.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Lung Diseases</th>
<th>Number of Patients</th>
<th>Lung Diseases</th>
<th>Number of Patients</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Allergy rhinitis</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>Upper airway noise</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cold</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>Chronic pneumonia</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gastroesophageal reflux</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>Laryngotracheal tumors</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Foreign body aspiration</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Tracheomalacia</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Immunodeficiency</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>Anxiety and hyperventilation</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bronchiectasis</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>Hyperthyroidism</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Congenital heart disease</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Pneumonia</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sinusitis</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>Vascular ring</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heart problem</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Cystic fibrosis</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

posed to patients to be checked by it. The criteria of ease of use, clarity of questions, clarity of explanation and clarity of results are considered by physicians and patients, and have been improved to make it more efficient in diagnosing asthma.

DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSION

Regarding the high prevalence of asthma and its consequence of mortality and morbidity, some intelligence systems have been developed to manage asthma disease. These systems, based on purposes of application to hospitals or primary care settings (offline or online access) can be classified into three categories including:

1. Diagnosing or contributing to diagnosing.
2. Control management and training (such as presenting a web-based asthma tool for enhancing information and awareness of asthmatic patients [40]).
3. Prediction (such as predicting the number of pediatric asthma admissions, using neural networks, in a Barbados hospital [41]).

Considering the literature of the first category (diagnosing or contributing to diagnosing) shows that published articles have attempted to diagnose or contribute to diagnosing based on different approaches. Building knowledge models include the following:

- Neural networks, case-based reasoning and discriminative analysis for diagnosing asthma (presenting the result of a prediction rate (92%) for a neural network, a prediction rate (96%) for a discriminative analysis to evaluate asthmatic patients, and a prediction rate (80%) to evaluate non-asthmatic patients) [42].
• The use of neural networks for recognizing the degree of airway hyper-responsiveness (presenting that a deterministic relationship exists between sound spectra and lung function parameter FEV1) [43].

• A fuzzy rule-based system for analyzing the obtained results of spiracmetry tests (showing the result of system evaluation by physicians presents acceptable results) [44].

• An expert system for the interpretation of serial peak expiratory patterns follows measurements in occupational asthma (reinforcing a sensitivity of 75% and a specificity of 94%) [45].

• A computer-aided intelligent diagnostic system for bronchial asthma (presenting a result of 90.03% accuracy) [46].

• Diagnosing asthma: computer-assisted symptom-based diagnosis (reinforcing a sensitivity of 56.2%, and a specificity of 93.5%) [47].

• Systems developed for diagnosing lung diseases, such as a fuzzy expert system (reinforcing acceptable results in regard to physician evaluation) [48], which are some of the intelligence systems that belong to the first category.

The approach considered for generating the fuzzy expert system explained in this paper represents the knowledge of diagnosing asthma by including symptom data history data and lab data in the modular structure of the knowledge-base. The fuzzy inference engine designed for this system involves the modules of symptoms: allergic rhinitis, genetic factors, symptom hyper-responsiveness, medical factors, environmental factors, short term drug use and laboratory data, during the process of diagnosing, respectively. The knowledge in these modules is presented as production rules. Meta rules are considered in the knowledge-base, which presents relevant questions for patients in the user interface. To handle the uncertainty of laboratory data in the module of the lung function test and some vague variables in other modules such as allergic rhinitis, fuzzy techniques have been considered for inference of uncertain rules.

The efficiency of this system was analyzed using 53 asthmatic and 53 non-asthmatic patients for a cut-off value 0.7, reinforcing the specificity 100% and sensitivity 94%. Representing uncertain data and providing effective rules contribute to achieving this acceptable result.

Future work can focus on providing more data for evaluating the performance of this system. In addition, evaluating the results of the system without focusing on laboratory data can evaluate its efficiency for application to primary care settings.

Diagnosing asthma in children in age ranges ≤ 6 years is the main problem in the area of lung disease that can be considered as the subject of intelligence systems.
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