Split Rib Cranioplasty for Frontal Osteoma: A Case Report and Review of the Literature
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Abstract

Introduction: Osteomas are benign bone tumors. They generally lead to a local thickness on the frontal bone in calvarium. When they occur on the forehead, they often cause a cosmetic disorder without any neurological symptoms. The significant problem is the method of repair of the cranial defect.

Case Presentation: The rib of a 34-year-old female was split and used for a small cranial defect of 3.5 cm. The preferred method and the obtained results were presented under the guidance of the literature.

Conclusions: Along with the technological advancement, different materials are employed according to the size of the cranial defect and the age of the case. The application of split costa cranioplasty for the small cranial defects in the region of patient’s face is the method with the least possibility of complications, and its cosmetic and functional results are quite promising.

Keywords: Autografts, Cranial Vault, Cranioplasty, Osteoma, Rib, Defect

1. Introduction

Osteomas are benign, slow-growing and generally asymptomatic bone tumors. They are observed at varying rates 0.01% - 3% within the whole population and are mostly observed in the third-fourth decades (1, 2). It is reported that osteoma could develop from the outer tabula of the calvarium at a rate of 69% and from within the paranasal sinuses at 23% (2). Of the paranasal sinuses, they often form within the frontal ones (1, 2). A surgical resection is performed on the ones becoming symptomatic due to closure of the paranasal sinus lumen or the ones that cosmetically affect the appearance (2, 3). It is important to select the right material to repair the postoperative defects on young persons’ foreheads. Although the case was a frequently-encountered pathology, it was presented under the guidance of the literature.

2. Case Presentation

A 34-year-old female patient referred to the Recep Tayyip Erdogan University Neurosurgery clinic with the complaint of a swelling that cosmetically distorted her facial appearance by growing on the right corner of her forehead in two years. A hard, motionless and tumorous lesion with a diameter of 3 × 3.5 cm and almost 1 cm depth was determined during her physical examination. There was no neurological findings over the mass. Radiologically, the lesion was found to be adjacent to the right frontal sinus and had a hypodense appearance in its direct X-ray (Figure 1A, C).

In the computerized tomography (CT) scan images, it was determined that it did not harm the dura but eroded the inner tabula slightly (Figure 1B). Only due to the cosmetic discomfort and upon the patient’s request, it was decided to perform a surgical operation. The defect that occurred after the complete resection of the mass along with the calvarium was repaired by the rib (costa) of the patient herself. (Figure 1D and Figure 2A - C). The pathology of the resected tissue was evaluated as a typical osteoma (Figure 3).

2.1. Surgical Technique

The lesion was 4 cm to the right side of the midline on the edge of the forehead and 3 cm below the scalp. A narrow pterional skin incision on the scalp was performed. When the skin flap was turned over, the tumor was approached. The lesion macroscopically appeared in the form of a porous bone and was well-demarcated. A burr hole was opened just by the side of the lesion via a high-speed touring device of Midas-Rex. Craniotomy was performed by incising its extremity from a 2 - 3 mm distance from the strong boundary of the bone. The dura was strong and the tumor did not proceed beyond the inner tabula.
The tumor along with craniotomy was totally resected. At the end of the intervention, a defect of approximately $3.2 \times 3.7 \text{ cm} = 11.84 \text{ cm}^2$ occurred in the cranium. About 4 - 5 cm of the 8th costa from the thorax right side wall was resected with the help of the physician from the Department of Thoracic Surgery. The rib was transversally split into two equal pieces by preserving its length on the horizontal axis. The two pieces were attached via titanium miniplaques in a way that the trabecular bone surface would overlook the dura and the cortical surfaces would overlook outside. The final shape was given to the graft considering the defect in question. The sides of the graft were fixed by four pieces of mini plaques in a way that they would thoroughly contact the sides of the defect. Following the operation, it was observed that the shape of the case’s forehead formed its natural form. At the end of the one-year-follow-up, in the bone window images of the brain CT, it was observed that a complete fusion had occurred on the sides of the defect (Figure 2D). At the end of the patient’s three-year-follow-up she had no more complaints about the disorder; the integrity of the cranium was maintained through the physical and radiological examinations performed and no cosmetic problem was encountered. No infection, disruption or resorption occurred over the costa graft used.
3. Discussion

Cranioplasty is the repair procedure performed to maintain the function and the structural support of the lost cranium bone. In order to close the cranium defect, a number of different natural or synthetic materials are tried (4, 5). At the end of this process, descriptions of the ideal material showed up. The right cranium repair material should be resistant to infection, aesthetically suitable, durable and protective, easy-to-shape as desired, should not expand due to the effect of the heat, should not conduct the heat to the brain, should not biologically break down, and should be sterile and ready to use, with the least possibility to cause a tissue rejection and allergic reaction (4-6).

Besides the fact that the defects occurring in the cranium frequently appear in the current of traumas, they may also occur after the resection of the bone-based tumors as observed in the current case. No matter how they occur, the size of the cranium defect is the main determinant in the selection of the appropriate material (7). However, in the literature, no classification could be found to select suitable repair tool considering the sizes of the cranium defects. Yet, according to the current case literature review, the defects, the areas larger than 25 cm$^2$ are classified as large defects; whereas the ones with the areas between 12-25 cm$^2$ are classified as medium-sized defects and areas smaller than 12 cm$^2$ (and according to some sources those with areas smaller than 9 cm$^2$) can be classified as small cranium defects (7, 8). With the technological advancements, it is recommended to use metal grafts such as titanium and the materials of plastic origin comprised of...
the combination of polymethylmethacrylate and hydroxypatite for cranioplasty in cases that the defect size is so large that it is almost impossible to close it through autograft (9).

In particular, the most frequently used substance, methyl methacrylate (acrylic) has superior qualities. The most important of all is that any shape can be given by hand before the defects get hardened. Although it seems to be the proper material with this characteristic, it is known that it can never get fused with the bones. Separately, due to the fact that it is a foreign object, they have to be disposed in case of contamination by the infectious agents. The use of titanium has increased in recent years (8).

However, since the cost of such materials specifically prepared for the defect is rather high, their application in the developing countries are restricted. There may be relaxation in the process of time as observed in other medical materials. It is emphasized that autografts could be insufficient to cover the large defects or that it could be difficult to give the appropriate shape in terms of aesthetics (7). For this reason, it is reported that it would be healthier to try autografts on small and medium-sized defects (6, 7). However, there are reports indicating successful results when split ribs were used in very large and even expanding cranium defects that occurred in the patients with aplasia cutis (10). Since a post-repair fusion is targeted in the case of a small cranium defect in young adults and adolescents, the application of autograft is still the most appropriate method (5). So far, tibia, outer tabula of the cranium, scapula, iliac crest, sternum and the bone pieces incised from the ribs are used in cranioplasty (5). According to the current study, the split costa cranioplasty selected by the authors has a number of advantages. Since the costa belonged to the patient’s own body, no reaction of a foreign matter was seen. Due to the low rates of infection, it is also preferred for revision in the cases that infection occurs through the synthetic grafts (11). It is reported that the donor costa was fully regenerated and easily adapted to the sides/edges of the defect, allowing the fusion to form well. In particular, allowing for the release of the osteoblasts that will maintain the bone fusion with the costa splitting in two increases the efficiency of the process more and more (11). It is known that covering the expandable defects through the use of costa in the cases such as adolescents is a more successful method (12). Besides, it can be obtained more easily than the outer tabula of calvarium which is one of the other autografts (12). In fact, the only potential disadvantage of the costa cranioplasty is the prolongation of the surgical process rather than the concern about its effects on the lungs (13, 14). On the other hand, the desired cosmetic slope may not be provided in rather large defects. Since the convexity of the cranium in a defect smaller than 12 cm\(^2\) is not visible, it was observed to have covered the region smoothly. The case had no additional problem that affected the lungs.

The ribs were first used in cranioplasty by Dobrotnworski in 1911 (5). Longacre and Destefano, for the first time, used the costa that is popular today by splitting it into two (5). Despite the fact that it dates back to a long time ago, there are few studies regarding the subject in the medical literature. In the research on the use of split costa to perform cranioplasty, only 17 publications were found in PubMed. Yet, the plastic surgeons preferred split costa more than any other method in the face construction procedures. In these studies, the magnitudes of the defects on which costas were applied and their localizations are given in Table 1. The data in Table 1 indicated that split costas were preferred more by plastic surgeons, since they were used on the frontal region; however, their application decreased in recent years and their complications gradually diminished throughout the chronological process.

In the presented case, osteomas with a diameter of more than 3 cm or weighing more than 110 g are considered “giant” or “large” osteomas (27). Thus, there is a big defect after the removal of large osteomas and it is not possible to close them properly by costa. In such cases, methyl-methacrylate or other synthetic materials may be preferred for good cosmetic appearance (27). Also, “Why the foreign materials were used for the fixation?” is an important question. The free edge of calvarial bones have to be kept motionless to contact for the fusion of bone tissue. Different materials are used to prevent movement on calvarium. For many years, non-absorbable sutures were used for this purpose. But, the actual-preferred is rigid fix-

Figure 3. The monitored Tumoral Tissue Comprised of Mature Bone Trabeculae (H&E, × 40)
The application of split costa cranioplasty for the small cranial defects formed in the region of patient's face is not provide by general health insurance in the country. But, authors did not use absorbable material, which is not provide by general health insurance in the country.

### 3.1. Conclusion

The application of split costa cranioplasty for the small cranial defects formed in the region of patient's face is the method with the least possibility of complications, and the cosmetic and functional results of it are quite promising.
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### Table 1. The Outcomes of Split Rib Cranioplasty in the Literaturea

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study</th>
<th>Number of Patients</th>
<th>Site</th>
<th>Defect Size</th>
<th>Complication(s)</th>
<th>Result</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Levy and Iovi (1970)</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>Frontal</td>
<td>Small, large</td>
<td>1 infection, 1 pneumothorax</td>
<td>Not bad</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Körlof et al. (1973)</td>
<td>23, 11, 12</td>
<td>Frontal parietal</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>1 infection, 1 pneumothorax</td>
<td>Good</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shaw and Tering (1975)</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>Cranium</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>1 pneumothorax</td>
<td>Not bad</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Munro and Guyuron (1981)</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>Frontal</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>1 pneumothorax</td>
<td>Good</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cabbabe et al. (1984)</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>Frontal</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>1 pneumothorax</td>
<td>Good</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Forte and de Souza (1985)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Frontal</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>1 pneumothorax</td>
<td>Good</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Edwards and Ousterhout (1987)</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Frontal</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>Good</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Guyuron et al. (1988)</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>Cranium</td>
<td>Extensive and large</td>
<td>Minor complication</td>
<td>Good</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kawakami et al. (1989)</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>Cranium</td>
<td>Medium, large</td>
<td>1 hemothorax</td>
<td>Good</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stal et al. (1992)</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Frontal</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>1 pneumothorax</td>
<td>Not bad</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Viterbo et al. (1995)</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Frontal</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>Good</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Taggard and Menezes (2001)</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>Frontal</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>1 revision</td>
<td>Good</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yano et al. (2006)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Frontal</td>
<td>Large</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>Good</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Beekmans et al. (2007)</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>Parietal</td>
<td>Large</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>Good</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Soyka et al. (2011)</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Frontal sinus</td>
<td>Middle, large</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>Good</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bharti et al. (2011)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Parietal</td>
<td>Large</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>Good</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The current case 2014</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Frontal</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>Good</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>The total number of cases</strong></td>
<td><strong>138</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*The results were evaluated based on the articles both on split rib graft fusion and cosmetic appearance.*


