Effects of the Residual Stress and Bias Voltage on the Phase Diagram and Frequency Response of a Capacitive Micro-Structure
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ABSTRACT
In this paper, static and dynamic behavior of a varactor of a micro-phase shifter under DC, step DC and AC voltages and effects of the residual stress on the phase diagram have been studied. By presenting a mathematical modeling, Galerkin-based step by step linearization method (SSLM) and Galerkin-based reduced order model have been used to solve the governing static and dynamic equations, respectively. The calculated static and dynamic pull-in voltages have been validated by previous experimental and theoretical results and a good agreement has been achieved. Then the frequency response and phase diagram of the system have been studied. It has been shown that increasing the bias voltage shifts down the phase diagram and left the frequency response. Also increasing the damping ratio shifts up the phase diagram. Finally, the effect of residual stress on the phase diagram has been studied.
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1 INTRODUCTION
THANKS to recent advances in the technology of micro electromechanical systems (MEMS), it is known that Micro electromechanical systems (MEMS) play an important role in modern technologies such as atomic force microscope (AFM), sensing sequence-specific DNA, and detection of single electron spin, mass sensors, chemical sensors, and pressure sensors [1,2]. MEMS devices are generally classified according to their actuation mechanisms. Actuation mechanisms for MEMS vary depending on the suitability to the application at hand. The most common actuation mechanisms are electrostatic, pneumatic, thermal, and piezoelectric [3]. Electro statically actuated devices form a broad class of MEMS devices due to their simplicity, as they require few mechanical components and small voltage levels for actuation [3], which the electrostatic actuation is inherently non-linear. Micro beams (e.g., fixed-fixed and cantilever micro beams) under voltage driving are widely used in many MEMS devices such as capacitive micro-switches, micro phase shifters and resonant micro-sensors. These devices are fabricated, to some extent, in a more mature stage than some other MEMS devices. One of the most important issues in the electro statically-actuated micro-devices is the pull-in instability. The pull-in instability is a discontinuity related to the interplay of the elastic and electrostatic forces. When a potential difference is applied between a conducting structure and a ground level, the structure deforms due to electrostatic forces. The elastic forces grow about linearly with displacement whereas the electrostatic forces grow inversely proportional to the square of the distance. When the voltage is increased the displacement grows until at one point the growth rate of the electrostatic force exceeds than the elastic force and the system cannot reach a force balance without a physical contact, thus pull-in instability
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Phase shifters are key components of many communication and sensor systems. Most of existing phase shifters are based on semiconductor or ferrites technologies. High material and fabrication expenses, as well as high RF losses associated with the materials, hinder their applications [6]. Distributed MEMS transmission line (DMTL) phase shifter was first proposed by Barker and Rebeiz [7] using a quartz substrate. A series of MEMS air gap bridge varactors are placed over a coplanar waveguide (CPW) transmission line. Phase shifts are created by phase velocity changes induced by altering bridge parallel-plate capacitances. There are two classes of RF MEMS phase shifters namely analog and digital. The analog phase shifters provide a continuous variable phase shift from 0 to 360° using varactor capacitive switches [7]; whereas the digital phase shifters provide a discrete or quantized set of phase delays with 1 bit 180°, 2 bit 180°/90° set of delay networks which allow phase shifts of 0, 90, 180 and 270° depending on the combination of bits used [8]. When comparing to the other topologies, the distributed MEMS transmission line (DMTL) phase shifter on silicon wafer has the advantage of low cost, low loss and small size. In addition, the DMTL phase shifters demonstrated in this work have better performance [9] on simple coplanar waveguide (CPW) transmission lines because CPW based phase shifters are uniplanar. This is one of the main advantages as only one side of the substrate is used; eliminating the need for via-hole process and simplifying the fabrication and integration process with other components [10]. Though the phase shifting technique has many advantages, it is marred by a few inaccuracies due to the vibration and mechanical movement of the phase shifter itself. Much of the work reported to compensate these errors, to our knowledge, is on the theoretical side of the process. Not much work has been done to eliminate these errors [11]. One method to eliminate these errors was first conceived by Smith and more in their work of instantaneous phase shifting interferometry (IPSI) [12]. In spite of the many researches about the phase shifters, the mechanical behavior of the phase shifters had not been studied generally yet.

In this paper, theoretically, the mechanical behavior of the micro-capacitor used as a varactor is studied. By applying a mathematical modeling and numerical solution, the static and dynamic response of the system to the DC, AC and a combination of these voltages is investigated. The effects of residual stresses on the dynamic and static instability of a micro-varactor is investigated. Also, the frequency response of the system for various applied DC voltage and the phase diagram for the first natural frequency and different damping ratios is studied. Then the effect of the assumed DC voltage on the phase shifting is investigated. Finally, the effect of residual stress on the phase diagram has been studied.

2 MATHEMATICAL MODELING

Fig. 1 shows the schematic view of the circuit of the phase shifter given in reference of [6]. In this paper, it is focused on the mechanical behavior of the varactor of Fig. 1 shown clearly in Fig. 2. The governing equation of motion for the transverse displacement of the beam w(x, t) actuated by an electrostatic load of voltage V is written as [5]:

![Fig. 1](image1.png)

A schematic view of the phase shifter circuit [6].

![Fig. 2](image2.png)

Schematic view of an electro-statically actuated fixed-fixed micro beam.
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\[
\ddot{E} \frac{\partial^4 w}{\partial x^4} + \rho bh \frac{\partial^2 w}{\partial t^2} + c \frac{\partial w}{\partial t} = \varepsilon b \left( \frac{V}{2 d - w(x,t)} \right)^2
\]

where \( \ddot{E} \) is dependent on the beam width \( b \) and film thickness \( h \). A beam is considered wide when \( b \geq 5h \). Wide beams exhibit plane-strain conditions, and therefore, \( \ddot{E} \) becomes the plate modulus \( E / (1 - \nu^2) \), where \( E \) and \( \nu \) are the Young’s modulus and Poisson’s ratio, respectively. A beam is considered narrow when \( b < 5h \). In this case, \( \ddot{E} \) simply becomes the Young’s modulus, \( E \). \( I = (bh^3 / 12) \) is the effective moment of inertia of the cross-section which is wide relative to thickness and width, \( \rho \) is density, \( \varepsilon \) and \( d \) are the dielectric constant of the gap medium and initial gap, respectively. The micro beam is subject to a viscous damping, which can be due to squeeze-film damping. This effect is approximated by an equivalent damping coefficient \( c \) per unit length [5]. The boundary conditions of the micro beam are written as follow:

\[
w(0,t) = w(L,t) = 0, \quad \frac{\partial w}{\partial x}(0,t) = \frac{\partial w}{\partial x}(L,t) = 0
\]

\[\text{(2)}\]

2.1 Residual stress effects

Residual stress, due to the inconsistency of both the thermal expansion coefficient and the crystal lattice period between the substrate and thin film, is unavoidable in surface micromachining techniques. Accurate and reliable data for residual stress are crucial to the proper design of MEMS devices that are related to these techniques [13, 14]. Considering the fabrication sequence of MEMS devices, residual force can be expressed as [15]:

\[
N_r = \sigma_r (1 - \nu) bh
\]

where \( \sigma_r \) is the biaxial residual stress [16], and \( \nu \) is the Poisson’s ratio. Assuming the stretching and residual stresses effects, the governing differential equation takes the following form:

\[
\ddot{E} \frac{\partial^4 w}{\partial x^4} + \rho bh \frac{\partial^2 w}{\partial t^2} + c \frac{\partial w}{\partial t} - \left[ N_r \right] \frac{\partial^2 w}{\partial x^2} = \frac{\varepsilon b}{2} \left( \frac{V(t)}{d - w(x,t)} \right)^2
\]

\[\text{(4)}\]

For convenience in analysis, this equation must be non-dimensionalized. In particular, both the transverse displacement, \( w \), and the spatial coordinate, \( x \), are normalized by characteristic lengths of the system and the gap size and beam length, respectively, according to: \( \hat{w} = w / d \) and \( \hat{x} = x / L \). Time is non-dimensionalized by a characteristic period of the system according to: \( \hat{t} = t / t^* \) with \( t^* = (\rho bhL^4 / \ddot{E}L) \). Substituting these parameters into Eq. (4), the following non dimensional equation is obtained:

\[
\frac{\partial^4 \hat{w}}{\partial \hat{x}^4} + \frac{\partial^2 \hat{w}}{\partial \hat{t}^2} + \frac{\partial \hat{w}}{\partial \hat{t}} - \left[ N_r \right] \frac{\partial \hat{w}}{\partial \hat{x}^2} = a_1 \left( \frac{\hat{V}(t)}{1 - \hat{w}(\hat{x}, \hat{t})} \right)^2
\]

\[\text{(5)}\]

The non-dimensional parameters appeared in Eq. (5) are:

\[
a_1 = \frac{6 \varepsilon L^4}{\ddot{E}h d} \\
\hat{c} = \frac{12 \varepsilon L^4}{\ddot{E}bh^4} \\
\hat{N}_r = \frac{12 N_r L^2}{\ddot{E}bh^3}
\]
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3 NUMERICAL SOLUTION

3.1 Static analysis

In the static analysis there is no exist time derivatives, so using Eq. 5 the governed equation describing the static deflection of the micro beam can be obtained as follow:

\[ L(\ddot{w}, V) = \frac{d^4}{dx^4} \left( \ddot{w} - \frac{N}{E} \frac{d^2}{dx^2} \ddot{w} - \alpha \left( \frac{V}{1 - \ddot{w}} \right)^2 \right) = 0 \]  

(7)

where the \( \ddot{w} \) for fixed-fixed end micro beam must be satisfied same boundary condition as mentioned in Eq. (2). Due to the nonlinearity of derived equation, the solution is complicated and time consuming. Direct applying Galerkin based reduced order model create a set of nonlinear algebraic equation. In this paper, we use a method to solve it which consists of two steps. In the first step, we use step by step linearization method (SSLM), and in the second, Galerkin method for solving the linear obtained equation is used. Because of considerable value of \( \ddot{w} \) respect to initial gap especially when the applied voltage increases, the linearizing respect to \( \ddot{w} \), may cause some considerable errors, therefore, to minimize the value of errors, the method of step-by-step applied voltage increasing is proposed and the governing equation is linearized at each step [17]. To use SSLM, it is supposed that the \( \ddot{w} \) is the displacement of beam due to the applied voltage \( V \). Therefore, by increasing the applied voltage to a new value, the displacement can be written as:

\[ \ddot{w}^{k+1} = \ddot{w}^k + \delta w = \ddot{w}^k + \psi(\ddot{x}) \]  

(8)

when

\[ V^{k+1} = V^k + \delta V \]  

(9)

Therefore, Eq. (7) can be rewritten as follow:

\[ \frac{d^4}{dx^4} \left( \ddot{w}^{k+1} - \frac{N}{E} \frac{d^2}{dx^2} \ddot{w}^{k+1} - \alpha \left( \frac{V^{k+1}}{1 - \ddot{w}^{k+1}} \right)^2 \right) = 0 \]  

(10)

By considering small value of \( \delta V \), it is expected that \( \psi \) would be small enough, hence using of Calculus of Variation Theory and Taylor’s series expansion about \( \ddot{w}^k \), and applying the truncation to first order of it for suitable value of \( \delta V \), it is possible to obtain desired accuracy. The linearized equation to calculate \( \psi \) can be expressed as:

\[ L(\psi) = \frac{d^4}{dx^4} \psi - 2\alpha \frac{(V^k)^2}{(1 - \ddot{w}^k)^3} \psi - 2\alpha \frac{V^k \delta V}{(1 - \ddot{w}^k)} = 0 \]  

(11)

The obtained linear differential equation is solved by Galerkin based reduced order model. \( \psi(\ddot{x}) \) based on function spaces can be expressed as:

\[ \psi(\ddot{x}) = \sum_{j=1}^{n} a_j \phi_j(\ddot{x}) \]  

(12)

where \( \phi_j(\ddot{x}) \) is the \( i \)th shape function that satisfies the boundary conditions. The unknown \( \psi(\ddot{x}), \) is approximated by truncating the summation series to a finite number, \( n \):

\[ \psi_n(\ddot{x}) = \sum_{j=1}^{n} a_j \phi_j(\ddot{x}) \]  

(13)
By substituting the Eq. (12) into Eq. (11), and multiplying by \( \phi_i(\hat{x}) \) as a weight function in Galerkin method and then integrating the outcome from \( \hat{x} = 0 \) to 1, the Galerkin based reduced-order model is generated.

### 3.2 Dynamic analysis

In the numerical solution it is considered that the micro beam is deflected by a DC voltage, \( V_{DC} \) and then the dynamic characteristics and forced response of the system considered about these conditions. So total deflection of the micro beam consists of two parts as:

\[
\hat{w}(\hat{x},\hat{t}) = \hat{w}_s(\hat{x}) + \hat{w}_d(\hat{x},\hat{t})
\]

where \( \hat{w}_s(\hat{x}) \) introduces the static deflection of the beam and \( \hat{w}_d(\hat{x},\hat{t}) \) denotes the dynamic deflection about \( \hat{w}_s(\hat{x}) \). Because of the applied AC voltage in the model is small enough than DC voltage \( V_{AC} \ll V_{DC} \) by linearizing Eq. (5) about calculated \( \hat{w}_s(\hat{x}) \) small linear vibrations are studied by following equation:

\[
\frac{\partial^4 \hat{w}}{\partial \hat{x}^4} + \frac{\partial^2 \hat{w}}{\partial \hat{t}^2} + \beta \frac{\partial \hat{w}}{\partial \hat{t}} = \frac{\alpha v^2}{(1-w_0)^2} + \frac{2\alpha v}{(1-w_0)^2} \delta v + \frac{2\alpha v^2}{(1-w_0)^2} \delta w
\]

where \( \delta V = V_{AC} \) and \( \delta w = w_d \). The \( V_{AC} \) is small AC voltage and equal to \( V_0 \sin(\omega t) \) and \( \omega \) is excitation frequency.

Subtracting Eq. (15), the linearized equation of motion about equilibrium position can be obtained in the following form:

\[
\frac{\partial^4 \hat{w}}{\partial \hat{x}^4} + \frac{\partial^2 \hat{w}}{\partial \hat{t}^2} + \cdot \frac{\partial \hat{w}}{\partial \hat{t}} - \frac{2\alpha v_{DC}^2}{(1-w_0)^2} w_d = \frac{2\alpha V_{DC}^2 V_0 \sin(\omega t)}{(1-w_0)^2}
\]

In order to solve this equation, a Galerkin-based reduced order model can be used [18]. So \( \hat{w}_d \) can be expressed as:

\[
\hat{w}_d(\hat{x},\hat{t}) = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} T_j(\hat{t}) \phi_j(\hat{x})
\]

where \( \phi_j(\hat{x}) \) is the \( j \)th shape function that satisfies the boundary conditions. The unknown \( \hat{w}_d(\hat{x},\hat{t}) \); can be approximated by truncating the summation series to a finite number, \( N \):

\[
\hat{w}_d(\hat{x},\hat{t}) = \sum_{j=1}^{N} T_j(\hat{t}) \phi_j(\hat{x})
\]

In this paper, \( \phi_j(\hat{x}) \) is selected as the \( j \)th undamped linear mode shape of the straight micro beam. By substituting the Eq. (18) into Eq. (20) and multiplying by \( \phi_i(\hat{x}) \) as a weight function in Galerkin method and then integrating the outcome from \( \hat{x} = 0 \) to 1; the Galerkin-based reduced order model is generated as:

\[
\sum_{j=1}^{N} M_j \ddot{\hat{\eta}}_j(\hat{t}) + \sum_{j=1}^{N} C_j \dot{\hat{\eta}}_j(\hat{t}) + \sum_{j=1}^{N} (K^\text{mech}_j - K^\text{elec}_j) \hat{\eta}_j(\hat{t}) = F_i \sin(\omega t)
\]
where $\mathbf{M}, \mathbf{C}, \mathbf{K}_{\text{mech}}$ and $\mathbf{K}_{\text{elec}}$ are mass, damping, mechanical and electrical stiffness matrices, respectively. Also $\mathbf{F}$ introduces the forcing vector. The mentioned matrices and vector are given by:

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathbf{M}_{ij} &= \int_0^1 \varphi_i \varphi_j \dd \hat{x}, \\
\mathbf{C}_{ij} &= \ddot{\hat{\varphi}}_i \\
\mathbf{K}_{ij} &= \int_0^1 \varphi_i \varphi_j \dd \hat{x}, \\
\mathbf{K}_{\text{elec}} &= \int_0^1 2\alpha V_{\text{DC}}^2 \varphi_i \varphi_j \dd \hat{x}, \\
\mathbf{K}_{\text{mech}} &= \int_0^1 \varphi_i \varphi_j \dd \hat{x}, \\
\mathbf{F}_i &= \int_0^1 2\alpha V_{\text{DC}}^2 V_0 \sin(\omega t) \varphi_i \dd \hat{x}
\end{align*}
$$

The same procedure is used to study the response of the system to the step DC voltage, where the Eq. (19) is written as follow:

$$
\sum_{j=1}^{n} \mathbf{M}_{ij} \ddot{\hat{\varphi}}_j (\hat{t}) + \sum_{j=1}^{n} \mathbf{C}_{ij} \dot{\hat{\varphi}}_j (\hat{t}) + \sum_{j=1}^{n} \mathbf{K}_{\text{mech}} \mathbf{T}_j (\hat{t}) = \mathbf{F}_i, \quad i, j = 1, \ldots, n
$$

where $\mathbf{F}$ introduces the forcing vector as follow:

$$
\mathbf{F}_i = \int_0^1 F(V, \tilde{w}) \varphi_i \dd \hat{x}
$$

Now, Eq. (21) can be integrated over time by various integration methods such as Rung-Kuta method where $\ddot{\tilde{w}}(\hat{x}, \hat{t})$ in each time step of integration take the value of previous step.

By applying the procedures mentioned, the static and dynamic stabilities and frequency response of the system is gained.

### 3.3 Dynamic Analysis

It is known that there is a phase shifting, $\varphi$ between the applied AC voltage and harmonic vibration of the microbeam. For study the phase diagram under various damping ratios and DC voltages the following formula is applied [19]:

$$
\tan \varphi = \frac{2\xi \left( \frac{\omega}{\omega_k} \right)}{1 - \left( \frac{\omega}{\omega_k} \right)^2}
$$

where $\omega_k$ and $\omega$ are fundamental and excitation frequency of the system. $\xi$ is the damping ratio. The fundamental frequency is varied by variable DC voltage.

### 4 RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

For verification of our numerical solution it is considered a micro beam with the geometric and material properties listed in Table 1 [15]. In Tables 2 and 3 the calculated pull-in voltages are compared to previous works for the fixed-fixed and cantilever micro beams with properties of Table 1, respectively. It is shown that the calculated pull-in voltages are in good agreement with previous works. For validation of dynamic results with previous works, a fixed-fixed micro beam is considered with the specifications of the pressure sensor used by Hung and Senturia [21]:

$$
E = 149 \text{ GPa}, \quad \rho = 2330 \text{ kg/m}^3, \quad L = 610 \mu \text{m}, \quad b = 40 \mu \text{m}, \quad h = 2.2 \mu \text{m} \quad \text{and} \quad d = 2.3 \mu \text{m}
$$
Table 1
The values of design variables

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Design variable</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>50 μm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H</td>
<td>3 μm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D</td>
<td>1 μm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E</td>
<td>16.9 GPa</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\rho$</td>
<td>2331 kg/m³</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\varepsilon$</td>
<td>8.85 PF/m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V</td>
<td>0.06</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2
Comparison of the pull-in voltage for a fixed-fixed microbeam

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$L=350$</td>
<td>20.1V 35.3V 13.8V</td>
<td>20.2V 35.4V 13.8V</td>
<td>20.3V 35.8V 13.7V</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$L=250$</td>
<td>39.5V 57.3V 33.4V</td>
<td>39.5V 56.9V 33.7V</td>
<td>40.1V 57.6V 33.6V</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3
Comparison of the pull-in voltage for a cantilever microbeam ($L=150 \mu m$)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>17.0</td>
<td>16.9</td>
<td>16.8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig. 3
Comparison of the pull-in time for no damping case without the stretching effects.

Fig. 4
The frequency response of the system for various Vdc.

Because $h$ is given as a nominal value, it is modified to match the experimental pull-in voltage. Accordingly, thickness is obtained $h=2.135 \mu m$. They have considered a residual stress of -3.7 MPa. In Fig. 3 the calculated pull-in time obtained using proposed method is compared with the theoretical and experimental results of Hung and
Senturia [21] for various values of step DC voltage. The pull-in time is found by monitoring the beam response over time for a sudden rise in the displacement; at that point the time is reported as the pull-in time [22]. As Fig. 2 illustrates, calculated results are in excellent agreement with the theoretical and experimental results. It is shown that for no damping case before $V=8.18$ V the pull in instability does not occur, so this step DC voltage can be introduced as dynamic pull-in voltage for the microbeam. Fig. 4 illustrates the frequency response of the system for various DC voltages. It is shown that increasing the DC voltage shifts left the frequency diagram. Because, increasing the DC voltage decreases the stiffness and consequently the fundamental frequency of the system.

**Fig. 5**
Phase Diagram for Various Damping Ratios.

**Fig. 6**
Effects of DC voltage on the phase diagram.

**Fig. 7**
Effects of the residual stress on the phase diagram.
Due to the decreasing of the stiffness, maximum amplitude of the microbeam increases. Also, the rate of the frequency shifting and amplitude increasing is raised near the pull-in voltage. This can be due to the higher rate of stiffness decreasing near the pull-in voltage. Fig. 5 shows the phase diagram of the system versus various damping ratio. It is shown that the higher damping shifts right the diagram. In Fig. 6, it is illustrated that by increasing the applied DC voltage phase diagram shifts left. Fig. 7 shows the phase diagram of the system versus various residual stresses. It is shown that the higher residual stress shifts down the diagram.

5 CONCLUSIONS

In the presented work static and dynamic response of a micro-varactor of a phase shifter to DC, step DC and AC voltages were studied. By presenting a mathematical modeling Galerkin-based step by step linearization method (SSLM) and Galerkin-based reduced order model were used to solve the governing static and dynamic equations, respectively. Then by applying these methods static and dynamic pull-in voltages were obtained and validated by previous experimental and theoretical results and a good agreement were achieved. It was shown that applying a DC voltage shifts left the frequency response. It was concluded that it can be due to the decreasing of the total stiffness of the system. Then the effects of the applied DC voltage and damping on the phase diagram were studied. It was illustrated that the DC voltage and damping ratio shifts down and up this diagram, respectively. Then the frequency response and phase diagram of the system has been studied. It has been shown that applying the DC voltage shifts down the phase diagram and frequency response. Finally, the effects of the various residual stresses on the phase diagram were studied. It was illustrated that the higher residual stress shifts down and decrease residual stress shift up this diagram.
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