Synthesis of some new porphyrins and their metalloderivatives as potential sensitizers in photo-dynamic therapy
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Abstract

Porphyrins are a ubiquitous large class of naturally occurring macrocyclic compounds with many significant biological representatives comprising heme and chlorophyll. Some novel adaptable methods for the synthesis of free-based porphyrins as promising sensitizers for the use in photo-dynamic therapy by the virtue of their known tumor affinity, low dark cytotoxicity, and easy synthesis in good to high yields have already been discussed. In the present study, two new porphyrins including TAPFA, as a novel folic acid targeted porphyrin sensitizer, and TAP-Schiff base, as a novel sensitizer with better light absorption, were prepared for the first time and their structures were confirmed by 1H NMR, 13C NMR, FT-IR and UV-Vis spectroscopy as well as CHNS analysis. The compounds were metalized with Zn(II) and Fe(II) metal ions to study how the metal ions can improve the light absorption wavelength and their water solubility. The structures of metalized compounds were also confirmed by FT-IR and UV-Vis spectroscopy.
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INTRODUCTION

In recent years, synthesis and study of porphyrin systems has gained special attention due to their unique physical, chemical, and spectroscopic properties making them very interesting materials for application in molecular electronic devices (1) and catalysis (2,3). In addition, porphyrin compounds can be effective as sensitizing agent for diagnosis and photodynamic therapy (PDT) of cancers (4) that has achieved great deal of interest as an effective alternate for chemotherapy owing to their non-invasive nature (5,6). PDT is predicated on the activation of a tumor-localized sensitizer with light of definite wavelength, and production of lethal toxic agents such as the reactive oxygen species (ROS) to kill the cancer cells (Fig. 1) (7,8). In regard to this, an ideal photosensitizer agent should have some special properties like water-solubility, light absorption efficacy (photo reactive), and light absorption peak around visible to near-infrared region of electromagnetic spectrum. In recent years, two water insoluble porphyrin derivatives VerTAPrphyrin and Hematoporphyrin derivatives have by approval by the FDA for clinical PDT (9).

PDT employs the special ability of porphyrin photosensitizers to accumulate in pathologic cells and to produce extremely active and lethal singlet oxygen molecules due to the absorption of photon energy, which then spread out the surrounding tumor. Unfortunately, the limited diffusion depth of visible light into the biological tissues allows only few types of accessible cancers (like skin and breast) to be treated in this way. To make PDT more generally applicable, it is essential to deliver light deeper into the tissue. This might be achieved by employing the nonlinear-optical impact of two-photon absorption (TPA) in which case the illumination is carried out at near-infrared (IR) sub spectrum wavelengths, whenever the tissue is considerably more permeable to the visible region wavelengths. However, to this point, TPA of tumor-specific porphyrin sensitizers has been really ineffective.
This would result in the impractical treatment of deeper tumors (10) and along with this ideal purpose, here; we introduce a new porphyrin photosensitizer [tetra-amino porphyrin (TAP)-Schiff base] with an increased TPA cross-section due to its more delocalized structure beside its two metal complexes (zinc tetra-amino porphyrin (ZnTAP)-Schiff base and ferrous tetrakis-amino porphyrin (FeTAP)-Schiff base).

On the other hand, the main drawback of most applicable photosensitizers in PDT is the lack of their selectivity towards cancerous cells which leads to the destruction of healthy cells during the treatment. Practically, some modifications such as the attachment of a targeting group as cancer tracer molecule on the drug structure could result in the specific therapy of the tumor tissues. Therefore, various targeting agents including peptides, hormones, vitamins, folic acid (FA), and monoclonal antibodies have been utilized for drug targeting (11).

The over expression of folate receptors in a number of carcinomas including ovary, brain, kidney, breast, colon and lung (12–14) has been confirmed and owing to this high expression, the foremost difficult cancer cells to treat in classical methods can be effectively targeted with FA conjugated therapeutics (15,16).

Except the two porphyrin-FA conjugates reported by Li and coworkers (17) and Scheider and colleagues (18), there are not many reports on the synthesis of photosensitizers conjugated with folic acid. On the other words, no reports on the direct covalent linkage between aminoporphyrin compounds with FA are available in the literatures. This work reports on an amide chemical bonding between TAP, ZnTAP, FeTAP, and FA to form TAPFA, ZnTAPFA, and FeTAPFA conjugates (Fig. 2). In all cases, water solubility of the compound which is a vital parameter for their biological activity was improved by metallation.

Nowadays, investigation of biomimetic reactions is very interesting to the point that a variety of synthetic metalloporphyrin derivatives have been demonstrated to be efficient as model systems for many life processes and enzyme actions (19–25). One of the most important studies of the metalloporphyrins is their utilization as a model for some natural enzymes such as peroxidase enzyme in which the activation of dioxygen is obtained by metalloporphyrin catalysts under mild conditions (26). In this regard, the iron porphyrin complexes are widely used as model compound to simulate the catalytic mechanism of cytochrome P450 enzymes in biological processes (27).

In the current study, for the first time, we report on the effective synthesis of some novel porphyrins and their metalized derivatives that, due to their specific targeting to cancerous cells and resonance expanding moiety as a Schiff base structure, can be effectively used in PDT. These novel structures are the basis of some other ongoing researches in this laboratory.
Fig. 2. Synthesis of porphyrin compounds.
MATERIALS AND METHODS

Chemistry

All chemicals used for the synthesis of the compounds were purchased from Merck or Sigma. 3-(ethyliminomethyleneamino)-N,N-dimethylpropan-1-amine (EDC) and 1-Hydroxy-2,5-pyrrolidinedione (NHS) were supplied by Sigma Co. and used without further purification. Pyrrole was redistilled before the use. The purity of the compounds was investigated by thin layer chromatography (TLC) on silica gel plate using appropriate solvents. The progress of all chemical reactions was controlled by TLC as described. Ultraviolet-visible (UV-Vis) spectra were obtained on Perkin Elmer EZ201- (USA). The IR spectra were recorded with a WQF-510 ratio recording Fourier transform infrared (FTIR) spectrometer (China) using a KBr disc (γ, cm⁻¹). The NMR spectra were recorded using a Brucker 400 MHz spectrometer (Germany) and dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO)-d6 was used as solvent. Chemical shifts (δ) are revealed in ppm downfield from the internal standard tetramethylsilane. All porphyrin derivatives were synthesized according to the procedure shown in Fig. 2.

Synthesis of 5,10,15,20-meso-tetrakis(p-aminophenyl) porphyrin, TAP, 1

A mixture of p-nitrobenzaldehyde (34 mmol, 5.27 g) and acetic anhydride (64 mmol, 6 ml) was added to 150 ml of propionic acid while stirring under nitrogen atmosphere and the resulting solution was heated to reflux. To this, a freshly distilled pyrrole (34 mmol, 2.34 g) in 5 ml of propionic acid was added and the mixture was refluxed for further 30 min while stirring. The tarry solution was allowed to cool and kept for further 24 h. The dark solid was collected by filtration, washed with six 50 ml portions of H₂O, and dried under vacuum. The powdery solid was mixed with 40 ml of pyridine, refluxed under stirring for 1 h, cooled to room temperature, and then stored at -4 °C overnight. The tarry mixture was filtered and the solid product washed repeatedly with acetone until the rinsing was no longer dark yielding 1.95 g (24%) of 5,10,15,20-meso-tetrakis(p-nitrophenyl)porphyrin. A solution of 5, 10, 15, 20 - meso - tetrakis(p-nitrophenyl) porphyrin (2.5 mmol, 2 g) in 100 ml of concentrated HCl was bubbled with Ar for 1 h. A solution of SnCl₂·2H₂O (40 mmol, 9 g) in 10 ml of concentrated HCl also bubbled with N₂, was added to the porphyrin solution. The resulting mixture was stirred and heated in a water bath (75-80 °C) for 30 min. The hot-water bath was carefully replaced with a cold-water bath and then an ice-bath (-5 °C). The reaction mixture was then neutralized under Ar by slow addition of 110 ml of concentrated NH₄OH, taking care to maintain a low temperature throughout the very exothermic reaction. The resultant basic solution was exposed to air and filtered and the greenish solid stirred vigorously with 200 ml of 5% NaOH. The obtained solid was again filtered, washed with water, dried, and then Soxhlet extracted with 250 ml of CHC1₃. The volume of the purple solution was reduced to 150 ml by rotary evaporation. Addition of 100 ml of 95% EtOH and slow rotary evaporation gave crystalline tetra aminophenyl porphyrin, TAP, yield about 1.0 g (50%). The structure of pure final product was confirmed by hydrogen-1 nuclear magnetic resonance (¹H NMR), 13C nuclear magnetic resonance (¹³C NMR), FTIR spectroscopy, CHNS analysis. Electron transfer pattern was evaluated by UV-Vis spectroscopy.

Synthesis of TAPFA, 2

To a stirring solution of FA (0.167 mmol, 74 mg) in 2 ml of anhydrous DMSO under N₂ atmosphere was added EDC (0.25 mmol, 48 mg), NHS (0.25 mmol, 29 mg) and the mixture was stirred for further 4 h at room temperature. This solution was added drop wise to a solution of TAP (0.167 mmol, 0.113g) in 5 ml of anhydrous DMSO, and stirred for 48 h at room temperature under nitrogen atmosphere. The progress of the reaction was monitored by TLC, till no porphyrin detected. The reaction was stopped by the addition of 10 ml ethyl acetate. The resulting precipitate were filtrated and dried under vacuum, yield 0.178 g (63.5%) of desired product. The structure of pure final product was confirmed by ¹H NMR, ¹³C NMR, FTIR spectroscopy, and CHNS analysis and changes in the behavior of electron transfers were studied by UV-Vis spectroscopy.
Synthesis of TAP-Schiff base, 3
TAP 1 (0.2 mmol, 135 mg) and 4-dimethylaminobenzaldehyde (0.2 mmol, 30 mg) were dissolved in 10 ml of dry toluene containing 4 Å molecular sieves. The resulting solution was refluxed until the disappearance of the TAP 1 and monitored by TLC (24 h). The solvent was removed under reduced pressure and the crude product was dissolved in CH₂Cl₂ and filtered. Evaporation of the solvent gave purple solids. The product was recrystallized from CH₂Cl₂ and light petroleum (yield, 75%). The structure of pure final product was confirmed by ¹H NMR, FTIR spectroscopy, and CHNS analysis and also in this case, the effects of more electron delocalization compared to the initial TAP were estimated by UV-Vis spectroscopy.

Synthesis of ZnTAP, 4
TAP 1 (0.14 mmol, 95 mg) and Zn(CH₃COO)₂ (1.08 mmol, 0.198 g) were dissolved in a mixture of methanol and chloroform under N₂ atmosphere. The mixture was refluxed for 4 h at 65 °C. After completion of the reaction, the solvent was removed and the crude product was purified by recrystallization in ethanol to give 4 Yield, 90 %. The structure of this complex and changes in the electron transfer pattern were characterized by FTIR and UV-Vis spectroscopy respectively.

Synthesis of FeTAP, 5
To the solution of 0.2 mmol of TAP in 30 ml dimethylformamide, 2 mmol of FeCl₂.4H₂O in three portions was added over 30 min. The mixture was heated and the reaction process was monitored by TLC whenever possible. When TLC indicated the disappearance of free base porphyrin, the reaction was stopped. The resulting mixture was cooled to 50 °C–60 °C and 40 ml HCl (6 M) was added to the solution to give a precipitate. The solution was filtered and washed with 1 M of HCl until the filtrate no longer appeared green. The resulting solid was vacuum-dried and afforded above 90% yield of FeTAP. The structure of this porphyrin complex was characterized by FIR and the electron transfer pattern and its changes relative to the corresponding porphyrin were studied by UV-Vis spectroscopy.

Synthesis of ZnTAPFA, 6
EDC (0.3 mmol, 58 mg) and NHS (0.3 mmol, 35 mg) were added to a stirring solution of FA (0.2 mmol, 88 mg) in 5 ml of anhydrous DMSO under N₂ atmosphere and the mixture was stirred for further 4 h at room temperature. The resulting solution was added drop wise to a solution of ZnTAP 4 (0.2 mmol, 0.148 g) in 5 ml anhydrous DMSO and stirring was continued for 48 h at room temperature under nitrogen atmosphere. The progress of the reaction was monitored by TLC, till no porphyrin detected and the reaction was stopped by the addition of 10 ml of ethyl acetate. The resulting precipitates were filtrated and dried under vacuum to obtain desired product (yield, 73%). The structure of pure final product and acceptable changes in the light absorption pattern were confirmed by FTIR and UV-Vis Spectroscopy respectively.

Synthesis of ZnTAP-Schiff base, 7
ZnTAP 4 (0.2 mmol, 0.148 g) and the 4-dimethylaminobenzaldehyde (0.2 mmol, 30 mg) were dissolved in 10 ml of dry toluene containing 4 Å molecular sieves. The resulting solution was refluxed until the disappearance of the ZnTAP 4 and monitored by TLC (48 h). The solvent was removed under reduced pressure and the crude product was dissolved in CH₂Cl₂ and filtered. Evaporation of the solvent gave purple solids that were further purified by recrystallization from CH₂Cl₂ and light petroleum. Also, the structure of pure final product and acceptable changes in the light absorption pattern were confirmed by FTIR and UV-Vis spectroscopy respectively.

Synthesis of FeTAPFA, 8
The activated FA was added to the solution of FeTAP in DMSO and the workup condition was the same as described for the synthesis of ZnTAPFA, 6. As described for other derivatives, the characterization of pure metalloporphyrin and its electron transfer behavior were studied by FTIR and UV-Vis spectroscopy.

Synthesis of FeTAP-Schiff base, 9
As described for the synthesis of ZnTAP-Schiff base, 7, 4-dimethylaminobenzaldehyde was added to the solution of FeTAP in DMSO and the workup condition was set as reported for 7.
Table 1. UV-Vis data of base porphyrins and metalated porphyrins.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Entry</th>
<th>Compound</th>
<th>λ (max)</th>
<th>Soret band</th>
<th>Q band</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>TAP</td>
<td>427</td>
<td>521, 565</td>
<td>592, 660</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>TAPFA</td>
<td>429</td>
<td>522, 565</td>
<td>593, 660</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>TAP-Schiff base</td>
<td>466</td>
<td>525, 566</td>
<td>602, 661</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>ZnTAP</td>
<td>430</td>
<td>560</td>
<td>604</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>FeTAP</td>
<td>429</td>
<td>543</td>
<td>585</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>ZnTAPFA</td>
<td>432</td>
<td>550</td>
<td>590</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>ZnTAP-Schiff base</td>
<td>475</td>
<td>530</td>
<td>580</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>FeTAPFA</td>
<td>431</td>
<td>530</td>
<td>580</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>FeTAP-Schiff base</td>
<td>468</td>
<td>528</td>
<td>570</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

RESULTS

Chemistry

The TAP was prepared in a relatively high yield with the primary condensation of 4-nitrobenzaldehyde and pyrrole in refluxing propionic acid and in continue, reducing the nitro group to amino moiety with SnCl₂·HCl as catalyst. TAPFA and TAP-Schiff base were prepared by the reaction of the appropriate quantities of activated FA and 4-dimethylaminobenzaldehyde in good yields. Metalloporphyrins (ZnTAP, FeTAP) and their corresponding folate targeted and Schiff bases (ZnTAPFA, FeTAPFA, ZnTAP-Schiff base and FeTAP-Schiff base) were prepared from the reaction of metalloporphyrin produced primarily with the reaction of porphyrin with desired metal salt in a simple and effective procedure and consequently with FA or 4-dimethylaminobenzaldehyde (Fig. 2).

The structures of all compounds were investigated and confirmed by FTIR and NMR spectroscopy. To study how the metallation or substitution on porphyrin structure can affect its photophysical properties, in all cases, the UV–Vis spectra were obtained and compared. For further and more precise characterization, we analyzed the C, H and N content of three porphyrins base with CHNS analysis method. The details of the spectral data for the prepared compounds are provided as below:

TAP, 1: FTIR: (KBr) γ cm⁻¹: 3424 (NH str.), 3364 (NH str.), 1600 (N-H deformation), 1469 (C=C aromatic); ¹H NMR (DMSO-D6); δ ppm: 8.81 (s, 8H, β pyrrole), 7.85 (d, 8.40 Hz, 8H, protons meta to the NH₂), 7.00 (d, 8.40 Hz, 8H, ortho protons to the NH₂), -2.74 (s, 2H, NH porphyrin ring); ¹³C NMR (DMSO-d6); δ ppm: 144.89, 134.64, 132.88, 131.45, 129.86, 127.78, 119.08, 112.41; CHNS analysis (%): theoretical data for C₄₄H₃₄N₈; C, 78.32; H, 5.08; N, 16.61; Found: C, 78.30; H, 5.07; N, 16.64; UV-Vis. λmax (in acetone, nm): (Soret) 427, (Q bands) 521, 565, 592, 660 (Table 1).

TAPFA, 2: FTIR: (KBr) γ cm⁻¹: 3515 (NH amide str.), 3315 (NH₂ str.), 1705 (C=O carboxylic acid), 1643 (C=O, amide), 1595 (N-H deformation), 1476 (C=C aromatic); ¹H NMR (DMSO-d6); δ ppm: 10.65 (s,1H,NH₂ sec-amide folic acid petridinone, Ha”), 9.97 (s,1H, NH₂ sec-amide newly formed with porphyrin ring), 8.86-8.92 (m,8H, β pyrrole), 8.67 (s, 1H, H petridinone, folic acid), 8.10 (d, 7.20 Hz, 1H, NH₂ aliphatic sec-amide folic acid), 7.97 (d, 5.60 Hz, 2H, ortho-phenyl to newly formed amide ), 7.81 (d, 6.40 Hz, 6H, protons on the amino phenyl rings, ortho to the porphyrin ring), 7.63 (d, 8.40 Hz, 2H, para-substituted phenyl of folic acid next to the carbonyl), 7.30 (d, 5.60 Hz, 2H, meta-phenyl to newly formed amide), 7.11 (d, 6.40 Hz, 6H, protons on the amino phenyl rings, meta to the porphyrin ring), 6.96 (t, 1H-CH₂-NH₂ folic acid), 6.58 (d, 8.40 Hz, 2H, para-substituted phenyl of folic acid next to the carboxyl), 5.78 (m, 2H, NH₂ amine folic acid), 5.58 (bs, 6H, NH₂ porphyrin ring), 4.54 (d, 4.00Hz,2H, CH₃NH folic acid), 4.36(m, 1H, CH folic acid next to the carboxylic acid), 2.29 (t, 2H, CH₂-COOH folic acid), 1.78-2.05 (m, 2H, H₂COOH-CH₂-CH₂ folic acid), -2.79 (s, 2H, NH₂ porphyrin ring); ¹³C NMR (DMSO-d6); δ ppm: 173.52, 167.10, 165.36, 161.13, 156.00, 153.58, 150.11, 148.45, 145.65, 134.40, 132.67, 131.31, 129.72, 128.66, 127.68, 127.23, 121.19, 112.43, 111.07, 51.19, 45.68, 31.34, 26.69; CHNS analysis (%): theoretical
data for C_{63}H_{51}N_{15}O_{5}; C, 68.90; H, 4.68; N, 19.13; found: C, 68.93; H, 4.69; N, 19.14; UV-Vis. $\lambda_{\text{max}}$ (in acetone, nm): (Soret) 429, (Q bands) 522, 565, 593, 660 (Table 1).

**TAP-Schiff base, 3:** FTIR: (KBr) $\gamma \text{cm}^{-1}$: 3420 (NH amine, str.), 3363 (NH), 1640 (C=N, imine, str.), 1600 (NH def. porphin), 1433 and 1400 (N(CH_{3})_{2} def.); $^1$H NMR (DMSO-D6): $\delta$ ppm: 8.84-8.78 (m, 8H, $\beta$ pyrrole), 7.75 (d, $J=7.20$ Hz, 6H, protons on the amino phenyl rings, ortho to the porphin ring), 7.60 (d, $J=6.80$ Hz, 2H, meta –phenyl to newly formed amide), 7.36 (d, $J=7.20$ Hz, 2H, protons on 4-dimethylaminophenyl ring), 7.00-7.10 (m, 8H, porphin proton), 6.61 (d, $J=7.20$ Hz, 2H, protons on 4-dimethylaminophenyl ring), 5.58 (s, 6H, NH$_2$), 2.99 (s, 6H, N(CH$_3$)$_2$), -2.77 (s, 2H, NH pyrrole); CHNS analysis (%): theoretical data for C$_{53}$H$_{43}$N$_{9}$; C, 78.98; H, 5.38; N, 15.64; found: C, 78.90; H, 5.40; N, 15.60; UV-Vis. $\lambda_{\text{max}}$ (in acetone, nm): (Soret) 466, (Q bands) 525, 566, 602, 661 (Table 1).

**ZnTAP, 4:** FTIR: (KBr) $\gamma \text{cm}^{-1}$: 3364 (N-H, str.), 3032 (C-H, str. Aromatic), 1600 (N-H deformation), 1471 (C=C, aromatic), 965 (Zn-N); UV-Vis. $\lambda_{\text{max}}$ (in acetone, nm): (Soret) 430, (Q bands) 560, 604 (Table 1).

**FeTAP, 5:** FTIR: (KBr) $\gamma \text{cm}^{-1}$: 3360 (N-H, str.), 3030 (C-H, str. Aromatic), 1595 (N-H deformation), 1469 (C=C, aromatic), 995 (Fe-N); UV-Vis. $\lambda_{\text{max}}$ (in acetone, nm): (Soret) 429, (Q bands) 543, 585 (Table 1).

**ZnTAPFA, 6:** FTIR: (KBr) $\gamma \text{cm}^{-1}$: 3339 (NH$_2$ str.), 1702 (C=O carboxylic acid), 1641 (C=O, amide), 1593 (N-H deformation), 996 (Zn-N); UV-Vis. $\lambda_{\text{max}}$ (in acetone, nm): (Soret) 432, (Q bands) 550, 590 (Table 1).

**FeTAP-Schiff base, 7:** FTIR: (KBr) $\gamma \text{cm}^{-1}$: 3363 (NH), 1640 (C=N, imine, str.), 1600 (NH def. porphin), 1433 and 1400 (N (CH$_3$)$_2$ def.), 994 (Zn-N); UV-Vis. $\lambda_{\text{max}}$ (in acetone, nm): (Soret) 475, (Q bands) 530, 580 (Table 1).

**FeTAP, 8:** FTIR: (KBr) $\gamma \text{cm}^{-1}$: 3319 (NH$_2$ str.), 1703 (C=O carboxylic acid), 1642 (C=O, amide), 1601 (N-H deformation), 996 (Fe-N); UV-Vis. $\lambda_{\text{max}}$ (in acetone, nm): (Soret) 431, (Q bands) 530, 580 (Table 1).

**FeTAP-Schiff base, 9:** FTIR: (KBr) $\gamma \text{cm}^{-1}$, 3363 (NH$_2$), 1642 (C=N, imine, str.), 1600 (NH def. porphin), 1432 and 1402 (N (CH$_3$)$_2$ def.), 994 (Fe-N); UV-Vis. $\lambda_{\text{max}}$ (in acetone, nm): (Soret) 468, (Q bands) 528, 570 (Table 1).

**DISCUSSION**

In the FTIR spectra, all compounds revealed an absorption band around 3300-3500 cm$^{-1}$ for stretching vibration of N-H bond and 1600 cm$^{-1}$ as typical bending vibrational band of the porphyrin group. Beside these frequencies, the absorption bands belonging to C=O, C=N, C=C, and stretching vibration bands of the desired derivatives (folate and Schiff base) appeared around 1600 – 1705 cm$^{-1}$ and 1450-1470 cm$^{-1}$ respectively. Furthermore, the presence of vibrational absorption bands for Zn-N and Fe-N around 990 cm$^{-1}$ is valuable proofs for the synthesis of metalloporphyrin (28).

In the $^1$H NMR spectra of all final porphyrin structures except metalized porphyrins, the presence of N-H pyrrole signal in the upmost filed area of the spectra around -2.76 ppm is a good evidence for the accuracy of the structures. Furthermore, the other related signals in the aromatic region comprising couple of doublet peaks for para substituted phenyl groups of TAP, TAPFA and TAP-Schiff base and $\beta$ pyrrole proton signals around 9 ppm beside the specific signals of FA in the region of 4 and 2 ppm of the spectra and the singlet signal of -CH=N- segment of TAP-Schiff base in 8.50 ppm are valuable supporting evidences to confirm the synthesis of titled compounds. The symmetrical structure of TAP makes it easy to describe the simplicity and multiplicity of the $^{13}$C NMR spectral peaks for this compound. This special feature of the spectrum which is a reliable confirmation for this synthesis has also been reported in similar reports (18,29-31).

In the $^{13}$C NMR spectrum of TAP, 8 spectral lines related to the eight different kinds of carbons in the structure could be observed. Attachment of FA to TAP decreases the structural symmetry resulting in 23 signals in $^{13}$C NMR spectrum as seen for TAPFA. The $^{13}$C NMR spectra of TAPFA with 23 distinct signals confirm the attachment of FA to TAP with its aliphatic carbon signals in up field area beside the other down field peaks.
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belonging to the different types of carbonyl carbons in the FA structure. In overall, all 1H NMR, 13C NMR spectral signals and FT-IR absorption bands were in accordance with the proposed structures. In a closer investigation, we evaluated the chemical structures through CHNS analysis and in all cases the theoretical results were in a good agreement with the experimental data which can easily confirm the chemical structures of proposed porphyrins. Additionally, all porphyrins and related metalated derivatives were studied by UV-Vis spectroscopy and the spectral data are listed in Table 1 for the sake of comparison.

By comparing the results obtained for the UV-Vis spectral analysis, it can be concluded that the particular result of highly conjugated porphyrin structure is its typical electronic absorption spectrum. A typical porphyrin contains a very strong absorption around 400 nm of light spectrum known as the Soret band represents the $S_0 \rightarrow S_2$ transition, and several weaker absorptions between 450 and 700 nm known as the Q band indicating the $S_0 \rightarrow S_1$ electronic transition. The Q band consists of multiple peaks corresponding to different vibrational modes. The second excited singlet state ($S_2$) can decay to the first excited singlet state ($S_1$) and afterwards undergo intersystem crossing to the first excited triplet state (Fig. 1) (32). Due to the relatively stable triplet state and excellent triplet quantum yield, most porphyrins have known as efficient singlet oxygen photosensitizers (33). While replacement of the exterior substituents on the porphyrin ring often cause minor changes to the intensity and wavelength of the absorption bands (entries 1,2), protonation of two of the inner nitrogen atoms or the insertion/change of metal atoms into the core of porphyrin usually intensely change the visible absorption spectrum (entries 2 and 6). Then the Q band underwent major changes as a result of metallation (entries 4-9). As Table 1 indicates, the most notable difference between all derivatives is the fact that the freebase porphyrins have 4 peaks in the Q band and the metalloporphyrins has only 2 peaks. By comparing the UV-Vis data in Table 1, it can be realized that there are 3 or 4 absorption bands considered as Q bands and the maximum wavelength absorption ($\lambda_{\text{max}}$) as the Soret band for all porphyrins. When the metal ion was inserted into the porphyrin ring and then coordinated with four N atoms, the number and intensity of the Q bands decreased and a slightly red shift occurred in the Soret band (e.g. TAP to ZnTAP from 427 nm red shift to 430 nm), which is the characteristic of metalloporphyrin compounds. This effect has been observed in many similar published articles and refered to as metal effect (34). The reason can be attributed to the change in structural symmetry of the metlloporphyrins. As a result, the energy gap between molecular orbitals decreased comparing to the free base porphyrins and therefore, the electron excitation needed lower energy (longer wavelength) to occur. As we can see in Table 1, during the synthesis of porphyrin-Schiff base (TAP-Schiff base) compare to the original porphyrin, a red shift in the Soret band can be observed. The presence of NH$_2$ electron-donating groups affects the energy level of molecular orbitals and any substitution that affects these energy levels can alter the photophysical properties of the product. In this regard, any substitution such as 4-dimethylamino benzaldehyde through the reaction with the amine group and formation of imine bond can improve the electron delocalization and decrease the energy gap between the $\pi$ and $\pi^*$ where the $\pi-\pi^*$ electron excitation of the porphyrin ring requires absorbing the light of smaller energy (longer wavelength). Accordingly, the absorption band (Soret band) occurred at redshift and located in the longer wavelength region. This is exactly what we have been looking for to increase TPA cross section which is in full agreement with other reports (34). On the other hand, TPAFA did not exhibit any further delocalization compared to the original porphyrin (TPA), therefore, the slight redshift occurred can be resulted from electron withdrawing ability of FA by decreasing the electron density of the porphyrin ring. Thus, the energy levels of $\pi$ molecular orbitals increased and the orbital distance between highest occupied molecular orbital (HOMO)
and lowest unoccupied molecular orbital (LUMO) of the porphyrin ring became smaller and therefore the slight redshift observed (28). Another unique feature of the prepared metalloporphyrins is their improved water solubility making them promised compounds in PDT as sensitizers.

**CONCLUSION**

Here, we introduced practical and concise synthesis of some porphyrin conjugates (TAP, TAPFA, TAP-Schiff base and their metalized derivatives) via the simple and efficient routes. These porphyrin derivatives revealed the acceptable spectral details as desired and due to their unique chemical and physical properties have a good potential to serve as sensitizers in PDT of cancers. Notable features of our synthesis approaches are the use of simple precursors, the experimental simplicity, ease of product isolation and good recovery yields for the porphyrin conjugates. Furthermore, the good water solubility of prepared metalloporphyrins as a main critical property for biological applications is another notable achievement of this study.
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