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ABSTRACT
Primary leiomyosarcoma of the broad ligament is a very rare, rapidly progressive and highly malignant gynecological tumor and only 16 cases have been reported in the literature.

Here, presentation of leiomyosarcoma of the left broad ligament in a 26-years-old woman is reported. Clinical presentation and histological diagnosis is discussed. The patient has been treated surgically and remains disease-free following three years follow up. A review of literature is also performed to discuss the diagnosis and management of leiomyosarcoma of broad ligament.
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Introduction
The most frequent solid tumor of the broad ligament is leiomyoma. In contrary, primary leiomasarcoma of the broad ligament is extremely rare. These tumors are rapidly progressive and highly malignant and rather seen in older age groups (1-6). Similarly, leiomyosarcoma of the ovary is also relatively rare with late presentation and poor prognosis. They are aggressive tumors and occur mostly in postmenopausal patients (1-6). Only 16 cases of primary leiomyosarcoma originating from the broad ligament have been previously reported (1-18) (Table 1). The histological criteria for the diagnosis of leiomyosarcoma are high mitotic figures, cytological atypia, and areas of necrosis (13-16). Schmidt reported the first case of sarcoma of this site in 1887 (10), followed by few cases by German and French authors around the turn of century (11), but most of these were not diagnosed according to the criteria given by Gardner et al (7), and the last case have been reported in July 2007 by Ben Amara et al (1). In this study, a case of high grade leiomyosarcoma of the left broad ligament in a young patient with excellent response to surgery is described.
Table 1: Leiomyosarcoma of broad ligament

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Case</th>
<th>Author</th>
<th>Age</th>
<th>Side of tumor</th>
<th>Mitosis/10 HPF</th>
<th>Initial treatment</th>
<th>Subsequent treatment</th>
<th>Survival</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Lowell and Karsh (17)</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>Right</td>
<td>0-4</td>
<td>TAH BSO</td>
<td></td>
<td>&gt;12 months</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Ullman and Roumell (18)</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>Left</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>TAH BSO</td>
<td>RT, CT</td>
<td>7 months, DOD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Weed and Podger (21)</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>Left</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>TAH BSO</td>
<td>CT</td>
<td>19 months, DOD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>DiDomenico et al (22)</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>Left</td>
<td>10.5</td>
<td>TAH BSO</td>
<td></td>
<td>No report</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Raj-Kumar (13)</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>Left</td>
<td>&lt;10</td>
<td>Enucleation</td>
<td></td>
<td>No report</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Herbold et al (23)</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>Left</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>TAH BSO</td>
<td></td>
<td>1 months, DOD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Shimm and McDonough (24)</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>Right</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>Enucleation</td>
<td>RT, CT</td>
<td>&gt;30 months</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Lee et al (25)</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>Left</td>
<td>&gt;10</td>
<td>TAH BSO</td>
<td>RT, CT</td>
<td>&gt;33 months</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>Lee et al (25)</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>Left</td>
<td>&gt;10</td>
<td>Subtotal hysterecmy BSO</td>
<td>CT</td>
<td>30 months, DOD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>Cheng et al (8)</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>Right</td>
<td>&gt;10</td>
<td>TAH BSO</td>
<td></td>
<td>Alive, &gt;12 months</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>T.Pekin et al (9)</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>Right</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>TAH BSO</td>
<td>RT</td>
<td>Alive, &gt;12 months</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>Shah et al (5)</td>
<td>87</td>
<td>Right</td>
<td>30-40</td>
<td>TAH BSO</td>
<td></td>
<td>2 months, DOD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>Murialdo et al (3)</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>Right</td>
<td>&lt;10</td>
<td>TAH BSO</td>
<td></td>
<td>Alive, &gt;13 months</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>El-Idrissi et al (4)</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>Right</td>
<td>&gt;10</td>
<td>TAH BSO</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>3 months, DOD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>Falconi et al (2)</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>Right</td>
<td>&gt;10</td>
<td>TAH BSO</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>DOD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>Ben Amara et al (1)</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>Right</td>
<td>&gt;10</td>
<td>TAH BSO, omentectomy and appendicectomy</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>5 months, DOD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>Mirsadraei et al (This report)</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>Left</td>
<td>30-40</td>
<td>LSO and partial omentectomy</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>Alive, &gt;3 years</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**TAH**: total abdominal hysterectomy; **HPF**: high-power field; **BSO**: bilateral salpingo-oophorectomy; **LSO**: Left salpingo-oophorectomy; **RT**: radiotherapy; **CT**: chemotherapy; **DOD**: dead of disease

**Case report**

A 26-years-old woman presented with fatigue, poor appetite, pelvic pain and metrorrhagia. The gynecological examination revealed a mass arising from the left side of the pelvis. On abdominal examination, there was no evidence of ascites or metastasis. The past medical history was unremarkable. An ultrasound examination of the abdomen and pelvis showed a 37 mm isoechoic solid
mass adherent to the posterior cortex of the left ovary. The uterus was normoechoic with normal thickness of endometrium (Figure 1). Ultrasonography also revealed another 30 mm heterogeneous lesion in the left ovary. Appearances were suggestive of an epidermoid cyst (Figure 2).

Figure 1. An ultrasound examination of the abdomen and pelvis showed a 37 mm isoechoic solid mass adherent to the posterior cortex of the left ovary

Figure 2. Ultrasonography also revealed another 30 mm heterogeneous lesion in the left ovary

In laparotomy, a 5×4.5×4 cm well-defined solid and fibrotic mass within the left broad ligament was found (Figure 3). The cut surface had a raw silk appearance similar to what is seen in benign leiomyomas (Figure 4). Surgically, the uterus and left ovary appeared normal and small. No evidence of disease was found elsewhere in the abdomen and pelvis. Cytological examination of a peritoneal wash out showed no malignant cell. Histological examination of the intraligamentous mass confirmed a completely resected high grade leiomyosarcoma. Microscopic examination showed a hypercellular tumor composed of malignant spindle cell (Figure 5) with moderate nuclear atypia and pleomorphism, and high rate of mitosis with some atypia (30-40 mitosis per x10 high power field (HPF) (Figure 6). Further microscopical examination showed areas of necrosis (Figure 7) and generally morphology similar to that of a leiomyosarcoma of myometrium. There were no findings to suggest that the tumor originated anywhere other than the left broad ligament.

Figure 3. A 5×4.5×4 cm well-defined solid and fibrotic mass within the left broad ligament was found

Figure 4. The cut surface had a raw silk appearance similar to what is seen in benign leiomyomas
A further surgical laparotomy was performed a month later to remove any residual disease and a left salpingo-oophorectomy and partial omentectomy was performed. However, only histological evidence of foreign body granulomatous reaction and no tumoral residue was found. During three years follow up, the patient remained free of disease without a need for further treatment. Furthermore, she had a successful pregnancy during the follow up period. Uterus and right adnexae were unremarkable during cesarean section.

**Discussion**

Primary leiomyosarcoma originating in the broad ligament is a rare neoplasm. Schmidt reported the first case of sarcoma of this site in 1887 (10), followed by few cases by German and French authors around the turn of century (11), but most of these cases did not match the criteria given by Gardner et al. (7). Leiomyosarcoma of broad ligament occurs mainly in women in their post menopausal years with non-specific clinical manifestations and carries a poor prognosis. No diagnosis could be made preoperatively (1-6).

The patient reported in the current study was different in a number of ways. First, she was quite younger at 26 years compared to the reported mean age of 57 (5). Two other younger patients were also previously reported (31 and 36 years old) (5). The development of very quick recurrent pelvic disease in the absence of disseminated metastasis is an unusual behavior for leiomyosarcoma following surgery (5). The criteria for leiomyosarcoma proposed by Zaloudek and Norris of 10 or more mitotic figures per x10 HPF with cellular atypia were commonly used in previous recent reports (13). Recently, the reliance on mitotic figure counts has been questioned due to increased appreciation of the lack of reproducibility between observers and the influence of hormones and adjacent tissue necrosis (14). Their criteria to this unusual tumor specific to smooth muscle tumors originating in the broad ligament were: degree of cytological atypia none to mild or moderate to marked, presence or absence of coagulative tumor cell necrosis, and mitotic index if moderate/severe atypia is present without necrosis.

The histological differential diagnosis includes gastrointestinal stromal tumors, endometrial stromal sarcoma, and carcinoma (5). There was no convincing evidence of an origin in gastrointestinal tract with the tumor centered in the broad ligament and apparently invading other structures from outside. There was no coexisting endometrial or myometrial tumor apart from the benign leiomyomas and the morphology
did not indicate an endometrial stromal sarcoma. Extensive sampling of the tumor did not demonstrate epithelial elements and therefore there was no evidence for a diagnosis of carcinosarcoma. As very few cases of leiomyosarcoma of uterine ligament are reported, the exact nature and biological activity of the tumor remains poorly understood. The staging and management of leiomyosarcoma of broad ligament are currently based on criteria used for uterine leiomyosarcoma.

There is wide variation in the management practices of this uncommon tumor, but the cornerstone remains total abdominal hysterectomy with bilateral salpingo-oophorectomy (16). The ovaries should be conserved in the premenopausal patient with clinical early stage disease (16). Adjuvant chemotherapy and/or radiotherapy may be used in selected cases (16).

It is concluded that low grade leiomyosarcoma of the broad ligament should be only treated by surgery.
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