Minimizing Thermal Residual Stresses in Ceramic Matrix Composite by BSG-Starcraft Radius PSO
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Abstract: To achieve an excellent thermal-mechanical performance of CMCs, it is necessary to analyze and design the thickness of the multi-layered interphases for an optimized TRS distribution. An optimization was performed with a new version of the particle swarm optimization, the BSG-Starcraft Radius PSO linked to a finite element software.
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1 INTRODUCTION

Ceramic matrix composites (CMCs) with multi-layered interphases exhibit attractive properties for thermal-structural application [1]. However, in CMCs with multi-layered interphases, thermal residual stresses (TRS) are often generated upon cooling from processing to room temperatures due to extensive mismatch of the coefficients of thermal expansion (CTE) between the constituents (fiber, interphase and matrix). The distribution of TRS, resulting in the cracks and separations in the matrix and interphases, has a significant influence on the mechanical behavior and lifetime of CMCs. To achieve an excellent thermal-mechanical performance of CMCs, it is necessary to analyze and design the thickness of the multi-layered interphases for an optimized TRS distribution. In this context, it seemed very interesting to minimize the TRS of CMCs with multi-layered interphases. Thus, a finite element analysis was coupled to a new original version of the Particle Swarm Optimization (PSO), the BSG-Starcraft Radius PSO [2].

2 FINITE ELEMENT MODEL

The fabrication process of the studied 1-D unidirectional C/SiC composites is briefly introduced as follows. The architectures of the 1-D unidirectional C/SiC composites consist of arranged fibers. The components of the multi-layer alternate PyC/SiC interfaces and SiC matrix are infiltrated within the porous fiber preforms according to the CVI process.

![Fig. 1 Transverse cross-section of the 1-D unidirectional C/SiC composites](image)

In the present study, square fiber arrays are used to model the 1-D unidirectional C/SiC composites. Four layers of interfaces and one layer of matrix are distributed around the fibers. Fig. 1 shows the transverse cross-section of the 1-D unidirectional C/SiC composites. In the longitudinal direction, the fiber axes have been assumed to be parallel and of equal lengths.

![Fig. 2 RVC model of the 1-D unidirectional C/SiC composites](image)

The RVC model of composites (as seen in Fig. 2.a) is used in the present finite element analysis. Characteristic geometric parameters of the RVC model are given: \( \phi' \) is fiber diameter; \( d_1-d_4 \) are thicknesses of the interface layers; \( d^* \) is the thickness of the matrix layer. The RVC model is meshed using the 3D twenty-node, thermal-structural-coupled element package (SOLID 96) of ANSYS finite element software [3], as depicted in Figure 2.b. The number of elements and nodes is 3840 and 3986, respectively. The model is assumed as a perfect elastic body without plastic deformation. The structural and thermal boundary conditions are given as follows:

- Nodes on the boundary surfaces are free to move but have to remain planar in a parallel way to preserve the compatibility with adjacent RVC models.
The initial stresses of all nodes are assumed as zero at the sintering temperature, and TRS generated in the subsequent cooling process.

The model is assumed to cool from sintering temperature to room temperature, with a uniform temperature field. In practice, temperature of the model is decreased, and ANSYS finite element software is used to calculate the TRS in the model.

The primary objective is the optimization of TRS distribution in the multi-layered interphases and matrix from the viewpoints of the deposition thickness of each interphase layer. The diameter of the SiC fiber is 10 μm and the thickness of the SiC matrix is 2 μm. The upper bound of each interphase layer thickness is 0.6 μm. In practice, the thicknesses of multi-layered interphases are usually limited to 0.1 μm or more for oxidation resistance considerations and reduction of the complexity of the CVI fabrication process. Therefore, in the present study the lower bound for each interphase layer thickness is set to 0.3 μm. Material properties of the constituents are given in Table 1.

### 3 OPTIMIZATION PROCESS OF THERMAL RESIDUAL STRESSES

The optimization problems presented in the present study include the following 3 cases:

1. Minimization of the maximum hoop TRS within the interphases and matrix
2. Minimization of the maximum radial TRS within the interphases and matrix
3. Minimization of the maximum axial TRS within the interphases and matrix

From a mathematical point of view, this problem can be formulated as:

$$\min f(X)$$

where $X = (d_1, d_2, d_3, d_4)$

with $0.3 \leq d_i \leq 0.6$

In this expression, $f(X)$ is the objective function i.e., the maximum residual axial, radial or hoop thermal stress within the interfaces and matrix. The vector $X$ is the vector defining the design variables: the thicknesses of the interfaces. There are constraints concerning the upper and lower bounds of each interfaces as specified in section 2.

In this problem, the evaluation of the objective function for the given values of the design variables requires a finite element analysis. So, the optimization technique was linked to the finite element model introduced in section 2. This optimization process is shown in Fig. 3.

To solve this complex nonlinear optimization problem, a meta-heuristics approach is adopted. The power of meta-heuristics comes from the fact that they are robust and can deal successfully with a wide range of problem areas, and especially in structural optimization.

### Table 1 Properties of the constituents

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Constituent</th>
<th>$E_{11}$(GPa)</th>
<th>$E_{12}$(GPa)</th>
<th>$G_{12}$(GPa)</th>
<th>$G_{23}$(GPa)</th>
<th>$v_{12}$</th>
<th>$v_{23}$</th>
<th>$\alpha_{11}(10^{-6}/^\circ C)$</th>
<th>$\alpha_{13}(10^{-6}/^\circ C)$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SiC fibre</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PyC interphase</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>4.3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SiC interphase</td>
<td>350</td>
<td>350</td>
<td>145.8</td>
<td>145.8</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>4.6</td>
<td>4.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SiC matrix</td>
<td>350</td>
<td>350</td>
<td>145.8</td>
<td>145.8</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>4.6</td>
<td>4.6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
In this work, an original improvement of particle swarm optimization was used. Indeed, in the past, this problem was already solved with a classical PSO algorithm in ref [4], where the CPU time has been noticed to be an important issue. In this context, the evaluation of the cost function for the given values of the design variables requires a finite element analysis. This work can be very time consuming from CPU point of view, especially when the finite element models are large and have a considerable number of design parameters. This improvement can drastically reduce the CPU time by avoiding needless iterations: BSG-Starcraft Radius PSO. However, a comprehensive description of this algorithm can be found in ref [5].

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 2</th>
<th>Objective functions and design variables</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Case 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TRS (GPa)</td>
<td>0.22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(d_{4} (\mu m))</td>
<td>0.6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

5 CONCLUSION

In this paper, a finite element model of RVC for 1-D unidirectional C/SiC composites with multi-layer interfaces is firstly generated and finite element analysis is realized to determine the TRS distributions. Then, an optimization scheme which combines a new PSO algorithm with the finite element analysis is used to reduce the TRS in the C/SiC composites by designing the multi-layer interfaces thicknesses.
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