

An effective algorithm for reachability of linear control problems in minimum time

M. Zamirian^{a,*}, A.V. Kamyad^b

^a Department of Mathematics Azad University of Bojnourd, Iran

^b Department of Mathematics Ferdowsi University of Mashhad, Mashhad 91775-1159, Iran

Abstract. In this paper minimum time for a class of linear control problems is discussed. It is assumed that $u(t)$, control function, is continuous in minimum time linear control problem (MTLCP). A new problem in calculus of variations form which is equivalent to MTLCP is defined. In this new problem, it is discussed how polynomials with unknown constant coefficients can be substituted with the state and control functions. After this substitution, a sequence of minimum time nonlinear problems (MTNP) which its solution converge to the solution of original problem (MTLCP), is obtained. For solving the sequence of MTNPs an algorithm which give polynomials as the approximations of optimal state and control functions and the approximation of minimum time, is proposed. In this method, the error of approximations and the convergence of algorithm are considered. Finally, the efficiency of this approach is confirmed by some numerical examples.

2. problem statement

Consider the following MTLCP:

$$\begin{aligned} \min \quad & t_f \\ \text{s.t.} \quad & \begin{cases} \dot{x}(t) = A(t)x(t) + B(t)u(t) \\ U^- \leq u(t) \leq U^+ \\ x(t_0) = x_0 \quad x(t_f) = x_1, \end{cases} \end{aligned} \tag{1}$$

Where $t \in [t_0, t_f]$, t_0 is known positive real number and t_f is unknown real number, $U^- = (a_1, \dots, a_m)$ and $U^+ = (b_1, \dots, b_m)$ are given m-dimensional vectors of real numbers, $x(t) = (x_1(t), \dots, x_n(t))^t$ is a n-dimensional vector of the state functions such that $x_i(t) \in C^1(A_i)$ and A_i is closed interval in real number, $u(t) = (u_1(t), \dots, u_m(t))^t$ is a m-dimensional vector of the control functions such that $u_i(t) \in C([a_i, b_i])$, $x_0 = (x_{01}, \dots, x_{0n})$ and $x_1 = (x_{11}, \dots, x_{1n})$ are given initial and final state in \mathfrak{R}^n , respectively, and $A(t)$ and $B(t)$ are $n \times n$ and $n \times m$ matrixes, respectively, where its entries are continuous functions on $I = [t_0, t_f]$.

Consider the system (1), we define the error functional $E(\dot{x}(t), x(t), u(t), t)$ as follows:

$$E(\dot{x}(t), x(t), u(t), t) = \|\dot{x}(t) - (A(t)x(t) + B(t)u(t))\|_2^2, \quad \forall t \in I, \text{ Where } \|\cdot\|_2 \text{ is Euclidian norm in } \mathfrak{R}^n.$$

Now the following problem is defined:

$$\begin{aligned} \min \quad & t_f \\ \text{s.t.} \quad & \begin{cases} \int_{t_0}^{t_f} E(\dot{x}(t), x(t), u(t), t) dt = 0 \\ \int_{t_0}^{t_f} \|u(t) - U^+ + |u(t) - U^+\|_2^2 dt = 0 \\ \int_{t_0}^{t_f} \|u(t) - U^- - |u(t) - U^-\|_2^2 dt = 0 \\ x(t_0) = x_0 \quad x(t_f) = x_1, \end{cases} \end{aligned} \tag{2}$$

Theorem 2. The system (1) and (2) are equivalent.

Now, let $p_r(t) = (p_{r,1}(t), \dots, p_{r,n}(t))^t$, $q_s(t) = (q_{s,1}(t), \dots, q_{s,m}(t))^t$, Where $p_{r,i}(t), i = 1, \dots, n$, and $q_{s,j}(t), j = 1, \dots, m$, are the polynomials of r and s degrees ($r, s = 1, 2, \dots$) with unknown constant coefficients as the approximations of $x_i(t)$ and $u_j(t)$, respectively. Then by setting $p_r(t)$ and $q_s(t)$ instead of $x(t)$ and $u(t)$ in system (2) respectively, we get a sequence of MTNPs as

* Corresponding author. E-mail address: zamirianm@yahoo.com (M. Zamirian).

Archive of SID

follows:

$$\begin{aligned} & \min t_f \\ & s.t. \begin{cases} \int_{t_0}^{t_f} E(\dot{p}_r(t), p_r(t), q_s(t), t) dt = 0 \\ \int_{t_0}^{t_f} \|q_s(t) - U^+ + |q_s(t) - U^+\|_2^2 dt = 0 \\ \int_{t_0}^{t_f} \|q_s(t) - U^- - |q_s(t) - U^-\|_2^2 dt = 0 \\ p_r(t_0) = x_0 \quad p_r(t_f) = x_1 \end{cases} \quad r, s = 1, 2, \dots, \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

We suppose Q be the set of t that for any t there are continuous functions $x(t)$ and $u(t)$ such that the system (2) is feasible, and $Q_{r,s}$ be the set of t that for any t there are $p_r(t)$ and $q_s(t)$ such that system (3) is feasible. Also we suppose $Q \neq \emptyset$, $Q_{r,s} \neq \emptyset$.

Theorem 3. If $t^* = \min_Q t$ and $t_{r,s} = \min_{Q_{r,s}} t$ then $\lim_{r,s \rightarrow \infty} t_{r,s} = t^*$.

3. A practical method for the solution of MTLPs

We partition interval $[t_0, t_f]$ to n parts such that the length of any partition is equal to a constant number such as h ($h = (t_f - t_0) / n$). Now, we assume original problem is system (3). Thus by using a numerical integration method such as trapezoidal rule, The system (3) is converted to a MTNP and we may acquire its solution by many packages such as Matlab, Lingo and.... Finally, an algorithm is proposed for obtaining minimum-time in linear control problems. In this algorithm, the error of between two derived consecutive times and the approximations of trapezoidal rule, is considered.

Example. Consider linear time-variant reachable problem as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{x} &= x + t^2 u \\ x(0) &= -1 \quad x(t_f) = 0 \quad |u| \leq 1 \end{aligned}$$

We choose $\varepsilon_t = 10^{-5}$, $\varepsilon_R = 10^{-5}$, then after the few iteration of algorithm, we get $u(t) = 1$, $x(t) = -1 - 0.986009t - 0.5822917t^2 + 0.3057606t^3 - 0.05330168t^4 + 0.03422093t^5$ and $t^* = 2.674105$, where the exact solution of problem is $u(t) = 1$ and $x(t) = e^t - 2 - 2t - t^2$ with $t^* = 2.6741$.

4. Conclusions

We are considering the above method on minimum time nonlinear control problems and so far, good result have got. This method has some advantages. For example, in this method, the number of variables is lower than the direct methods and there are no costate variables as exist in indirect methods.

References

1. Burghes DN, Graham MA. Introduction to control theory including optimal control. ELLIS HORIZONTAL LIMB, 1980.
2. Pinch ER. Optimal control and the calculus variations. Oxford University Press: Oxford, 1993.
- 3 Fotouhi-C R. Time optimal control of two-link manipulators, PhD thesis, University of Saskatchewan, Saschatoon, Canada, 1996.
4. Kaya CY, Noakes JL. Computational method for time-optimal switching control. Journal Of Optimization Theory And Applications 2003; Vol117, No 1:69-92.
5. Mehne HH, Farahi MH, Kamyad A.V. MILP modeling for the time-optimal control problem in the case of multiple targets. Optimal Control Applications and Methods 2005; 26.
6. Rudin W. Principles of mathematical analysis (3rd edition), McGraw-Hill, 1976.
7. Burden RL, Faires DJ. Numerical analysis (7th edn). Brooks/Cole(ITP): Pacific Grove CA, 2000.

Surf and download all data from SID.ir: www.SID.ir

Translate via STRS.ir: www.STRS.ir

Follow our scientific posts via our Blog: www.sid.ir/blog

Use our educational service (Courses, Workshops, Videos and etc.) via Workshop: www.sid.ir/workshop