Effects of blackberry (*Morus nigra* L.) consumption on serum concentration of lipoproteins, apo A-I, apo B, and high-sensitivity-C-reactive protein and blood pressure in dyslipidemic patients
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**Background:** This study investigated blackberry (Persian mulberry) effects on apo A-I, apo B, high-sensitivity-C-reactive protein (hs-CRP), and systolic blood pressure (SBP) and diastolic blood pressure (DBP) in dyslipidemic patients. **Materials and Methods:** In this 8-week randomized clinical trial, 72 dyslipidemic patients were randomly divided into two groups: Intervention (300 mL/day blackberry juice with pulp) and control group (usual diets). Before and after the intervention, fasting blood samples were taken from both groups and serum concentration of lipoprotein, apo A-I and apo B, serum lipids (total cholesterol, low-density lipoprotein, high-density lipoprotein [HDL], and triglyceride), hs-CRP were measured. Blood pressure before and after the study was measured with a mercury manometer. **Results:** At week 8 in the intervention group, apo A-I and HDL increased significantly (*P* = 0.015, *P* = 0.001, respectively), apo B and hs-CRP decreased significantly (*P* = 0.044, *P* = 0.04, respectively). Mean changes in apo A-I and HDL and apo B/apo A-I ratio were significant between the groups (*P* = 0.005, *P* = 0.014, and *P* = 0.009, respectively). After 8 weeks, there was a significant difference between hs-CRP mean values (*P* = 0.01) of the groups. At week 8, SBP decreased significantly (*P* = 0.005) in the intervention group with no significant differences for SBP mean values between the groups. No significant changes were observed in other lipid parameters and DBP in the intervention group and between the groups. **Conclusion:** Blackberry consumption may exert beneficial effects on apolipoproteins, blood pressure, and inflammatory markers in individuals with lipid disorders.
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**INTRODUCTION**

Cardiovascular diseases (CVD), the leading cause of death in most countries, are responsible for 35% of deaths in developing countries and 30% of death rate worldwide. In Iran as in other developing countries, these diseases are increasing as a result of nutritional and epidemiological transition which gives rise to mortality rate more than 46%.[³]

In addition to risk factors for atherosclerosis including genetic factors, diet, and lifestyle, lipid profile, and vascular inflammatory responses are of importance.[⁴] Increased levels of triglyceride (TG) and low-density lipoprotein-cholesterol (LDL-C) are associated with CVD risk. On the other hand, the concentration of

high-density lipoprotein-cholesterol (HDL-C), independent of TG and LDL-C levels, is inversely associated with CVD risk.\textsuperscript{[5,6]} Studies conducted in Iran show, the prevalence of lipid disorders, diabetes, hypertension, and obesity is increasing\textsuperscript{[7]} which result from behavioral and nutritional characteristics and physical activity of Iranians.\textsuperscript{[8]} Studies indicate that 10% reduction in serum total cholesterol (TC) reduces coronary heart disease (CHD) incidence to 30%.\textsuperscript{[11]} In this regard, in addition to medical treatments, the role of diet, fruits, and vegetables consumption has been demonstrated in controlling hyperlipidemia.\textsuperscript{[9]} There is an increasing body of epidemiologic evidences showing the positive association between diets rich in fruits and vegetables and CHD risk decrease which can be attributed to both deactivating reactive oxygen species in the initiation and progression of chronic diseases by antioxidants and their phenolic contents.\textsuperscript{[10,11]} Nonnutrient polyphenols such as flavonoids exist in considerable amounts in fruits and vegetables that have powerful antioxidant effects on chemical systems. Both animal studies and clinical trials have shown beneficial effects of polyphenol-rich foods on cardiovascular health.\textsuperscript{[12-14]} Among them, berries are rich sources of flavonoids (anthocyanins), some minerals (sodium, potassium, calcium, selenium, zinc, and phosphorus), vitamins (A, B, C, and E), phenolic acids (gallic, P-coumaric, caffeic, and fluoric), and phenolic polymers (alajik acid).\textsuperscript{[15]} Blackberry (\textit{Morus nigra} L., Persian mulberry, and black mulberry), a native fruit to Iran, contains high levels of anthocyanins.\textsuperscript{[16]} Interestingly, berries lack interfering compounds such as ethanol, fat and caffeine,\textsuperscript{[17]} and their flavonoids can be effective on cardiovascular health by several mechanisms. For instance, they may inhibit LDL-C oxidation, reduce inflammation associated with atherosclerosis and also affect reverse cholesterol transport by reducing TC and LDL-C.\textsuperscript{[18]} Evidences show adding berries to the diet can positively affect cardiovascular risk factors through inhibition of inflammation, free radical scavenging, regulating eicosanoid metabolism, improving endothelial function, reducing blood pressure, inhibition of platelet aggregation, increasing circulating HDL-C, and increasing resistance of LDL-C oxidation.\textsuperscript{[19]} Studies on the effects of berries on lipid profile and inflammatory markers reveal contradictory results.\textsuperscript{[20-23]} In some previous studies, significant changes in lipid profile and some inflammatory markers have been observed whereas other studies did not report any significant changes which may be contributed to limitations including lack of control group,\textsuperscript{[24]} the form of consumed berries (capsules or powder),\textsuperscript{[25,26]} and consuming the combination of different berries.\textsuperscript{[27]} Berry consumption along with a special diet,\textsuperscript{[27]} the small sample size, and short intervention period.\textsuperscript{[28]} Therefore, according to the importance of antioxidant role of phenolic compounds in protection of many diseases, it seems that adding berries to diet can affect the heart diseases risk factors, such as lipid profile, blood pressure, and inflammatory markers.

Thus, in this study we hypothesised that blackberry consumption can improve apo A-I and B, high-sensitivity-C-reactive protein (hs-CRP), and blood pressure in dyslipidemic patients in a larger sample size and a control group, to determine the effects of the blackberry itself, and in the form of the juice with pulp.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Study population and design**

This study was a randomized clinical trial evaluating the effects of blackberry consumption on serum apo A-I, apo B, hs-CRP, and blood pressure on dyslipidemic patients.

Of patients who referred to social security and Bu-Ali Sina clinics of Qazvin, 72 volunteers aged 25-65 years, were recruited with a diagnosis of hyperlipidemia\textsuperscript{[29]} (Table 1). Other inclusion criteria were: Body mass index = 20-35 kg/m\textsuperscript{2}, TC >200 mg/dL, and LDL-C >100 mg/dL. Exclusion criteria were: Taking drugs that affect lipid metabolism; smoking and alcohol consumption; pregnancy or lactation; systemic, chronic and inflammatory diseases, liver, and kidney diseases; having allergy to berry families; and changes in diets and physical activities. At the beginning, the objectives of the study were described to participants in detail. It was emphasized that consumption of berries, had no adverse effects, and they could leave the study whenever they wanted. The present study was conducted according to consolidated standards of reporting trials guidelines\textsuperscript{[30]} and it was approved by the Research Ethics Committee of Tehran University of Medical Sciences (90/D/130/28/28) and was registered in Iranian registry of clinical trials (IRCT201205182365N7).

**Treatment juices**

Six-hundred kilograms of blackberry were purchased. Afterward, ready berry pulps were mixed with juice and were packed in bottles without preservatives or additives. To eliminate pathogens and increase shelf time, juices were pasteurized which all were done by the Avan Food Company. Prepared bottles were stored at +5°C. Every week, seven bottles were given to the participants in the

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 1: Characteristics of participants at baseline</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Characteristics</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age (year)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weight (kg)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI (kg/m\textsuperscript{2})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Waist circumference (cm)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Values are mean ± SD and percentage; *\(P\) value (independent t-test); SD = Standard deviation; BMI = Body mass index
intervention group, and they were asked to keep them in the fridge.

**Study design**
As participants were randomly divided into two groups of blackberry and control group using the table of random numbers, there was no confounding effect of sex (two groups of 36 people).

Individuals in the intervention group, took 300 mL of blackberry juice with pulp every day for 8 weeks, and the control group continued their usual diet with no berries. Participants were instructed to have half of the bottle in the morning (at 10:00 am) and the other half in the afternoon snack (5:00 pm) and refrain from eating berries with other meals and from ingesting them immediately after dairy products. Each week, participants were contacted to be sure of taking berries. Participants were asked to deliver full and empty bottles at the end of each week to calculate the compliance.

**Data collection**
Demographic characteristics of participants, including age, sex, medical history, type, and amount of medication were obtained by interviewing. Height was measured using the height gauge attached to the scale with accurately 0.5 cm without shoes and weight was measured by Seca scale with an accuracy of 100 g, in the fasting state and with light clothes and bare feet. Data of food intake including calorie, macronutrient, and micronutrient and daily servings of fruits and vegetables were obtained by 24 h food recall questionnaires at the beginning and at the end of the study and were analyzed by nutritionist. Data of physical activity were collected using the international physical activity questionnaire at the beginning and at the end of the study. At weeks 0 and 8, fasting blood samples were taken from both groups to measure biochemical markers. Serum was isolated by centrifugation at 3000 rpm and stored at −70°C until the end of the study. TGs, TC, LDL-C, and HDL-C were measured by using colorimetric method (Pars Azmoon commercial kit, Iran). Serum hs-CRP was measured by ELISA (The Binding Site Group Ltd., UK). The concentration of apo A-I and apo B was determined by turbidimetric method (Pars Azmoon commercial kit, Iran). Systolic blood pressure (SBP) and diastolic blood pressure (DBP) were measured once, both at the beginning and at the end of the study, sitting on chair and in nonstress conditions using a standard mercury sphygmomanometer. Fiber in the sample of blackberry was measured according to procedures of American Oil Chemists Society with the device of a single fiber and polyphenols were measured by high-performance liquid chromatography.

**Measurement of total phenolic content of blackberry**
Total phenolic content of blackberry extract was performed employing the literature methods involving Folin-Ciocalteu reagent and gallic acid as standard. The extract samples (0.5 mL of different dilutions) were mixed with 2.5 mL of 0.2 N Folin-Ciocalteau reagent (Sigma-Aldrich) for 5 min and 2.0 mL of 75 g/L sodium carbonate were then added. The mixture was allowed to stand for 2 h at room temperature. The absorbance was measured at 760 nm with a double beam PerkinElmer ultraviolet/visible spectrophotometer (USA). The standard curve was prepared using 50-250 mg/mL solutions of gallic acid in methanol-water (1:1, v/v).

Total phenol values are expressed in terms of gallic acid equivalent (mg/g of dry mass) which is a common reference phenolic compound.

**Statistical analysis**
The data analyzed using SPSS 16 software (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA). Data were assessed for normality using Kolmogorov-Smirnov test. For variables with no normal distribution, nonparametric test (Wilcoxon test and Mann-Whitney) was used. At weeks 0 and 8, quantitative variables were compared by independent t-test between the two groups. Paired t-test was used to compare the mean changes between pre- and post-intervention. Values are expressed as (mean ± standard deviation) and P < 0.05 was considered as statistically significant.

**RESULTS**
According to the findings of the current study, the polyphenols were 316 mg and fiber was 9.87 g/100 g of blackberry.

Of 72 participants, 54 (75%) were men and 18 (25%) were female. Demographic and clinical characteristics of participants at baseline show no significant difference between the groups [Table 1].

**Dietary intake and physical activity**
No significant differences were observed for physical activity (P = 0.55, data not shown) and dietary intakes between the groups before and after the study [Tables 2 and 3].

**Blood pressure**
Blackberry consumption reduced mean SBP significantly (P = 0.005) whereas this change was not significant in the control group (P = 0.17). No significant difference was observed in mean changes and mean values of SBP between the groups (P = 0.24 and P = 0.82, respectively) while after the intervention, there was not a significant difference in mean changes and mean values of DBP between the groups (P = 0.63 and P = 0.98, respectively) and within the each group (respectively P = 0.3 [intervention group] and P = 0.13 [control group]) [Table 4].
Lipid profile and high-sensitivity-C-reactive protein

As shown in Table 4, no significant difference was observed in mean HDL-C between the groups at the end of the study ($P=0.91$), however, comparison of mean changes between the groups revealed significant difference (0.014), also the mean concentration of HDL-C levels in the intervention group had a significant increase (0.001). However, we failed to find a significant change in other lipid parameters such as TC, LDL-C, and TG, which were consistent with previous similar studies.

In contrast, SBP decreased significantly in the intervention group ($P=0.001$) but we failed to find a significant difference in mean changes of this factor between the groups ($P=0.009$).

This study showed a significant reduction in mean value of hs-CRP in the intervention group ($P=0.04$) and a nonsignificant reduction in the control group ($P=0.42$). On the other hand, at the end of the study statistical tests showed a significant difference for mean hs-CRP between the groups ($P=0.01$) but we failed to find a significant difference in mean changes of this factor between the groups ($P=0.50$) [Table 5].

**DISCUSSION**

Present study showed that consumption of blackberry juice with pulp for 8 weeks, gave a significant rise to apo A-I and HDL-C and decreased the concentration of apo B, and hs-CRP. Mean changes of HDL-C, apo A-I, and apo B/apo A-I ratio were significant between the groups. Significant differences were observed for mean values of hs-CRP between the groups following blackberry intake. Besides, SBP decreased significantly in the intervention group compared to baseline after blackberry ingestion.

The finding of serum HDL-C in the present study was similar to previous studies. However in contrast to our findings, in a study by Lee et al. in 2008 in diabetic patients, there were no significant changes in serum HDL-C after daily consumption of three 500 mg capsules of cranberry extract for 12 weeks. In that study, the extract was in the form of capsules and was administrated for a long time (12 weeks) which reduced participant adherence to the intervention. In that study, since participants were diabetic and according to evidences, lipid abnormalities exist in diabetic patients, this issue may disturb the results and prevent HDL-C from being affected. Similarly, in a study by Basu et al., no significant changes were shown in HDL-C after taking 50 g of dried strawberries for 4 weeks which may be due to the berries consumed in dried powder form or small size and short duration of the intervention compared to this study in which blackberries were consumed in juice form with pulp. Skoczynska et al. did not detect any significant changes in the HDL-C after consuming 250 mL/day of chokeberry extract during a two 6-week period. Polyphenols administered in that study were approximately 1060 mg but in our study were 948 mg. Regarding equal amounts of polyphenols consumed in these two studies, we believe that HDL-C did not differ because that experiment was conducted on healthy men with mild hyperlipidemia. According to the current study, 8-week consumption of blackberries did not have significant effects on other lipid parameters including TC, LDL-C, and TG, which were in line with previous similar studies. In contrast,
in the study by Skoczynska et al., daily intake of 250cc of chokeberry extract for two 6-week periods decreased serum TC and LDL-C, significantly\(^2\) which supports the observations by Lee et al. in which LDL-C decreased significantly, and HDL-C did not change significantly following consumption of cranberry (three capsules of 500 mg for 12 weeks), in diabetic patients.\(^3\) These findings could result from longer duration of treatments in both studies in contrast to our study. In Basu's study in 2009, a reduction in TC and LDL-C and no changes in HDL-C, very low-density lipoprotein, and TG were reported following ingesting 50 g of dried strawberries for 4 weeks. This result may be explained by different groups of women suffered from metabolic syndrome, compared with our study.\(^2\) In another study, supplementation with anthocyanins (two capsules of 80 mg for 12 weeks) reduced serum LDL-C whereas no changes in TC and TG were observed.\(^2\)

Anthocyanin consumed in that study was 160 mg; however, in our study it was equal to 948 mg of polyphenols thus, the reduction in LDL-C in contrast to our study, may result from a longer period of intervention. Also, in studies by Ruel et al., in 2006 and Burton-Freeman et al., in 2010 that were performed to evaluate the effects of cranberry and strawberry respectively, the only observed reduction was in serum concentration of TG.\(^20,38\)

Further, there were a significant increase in serum apo A-I and a significant decrease of apo B concentration after consumption of blackberry compared to the baseline. In Ruel et al. study, increasing the dose of cranberry extract during 12 weeks (125, 250, and 500 mL) did not alter apo B although it increased apo A-I.\(^20\) In contrast to our findings, in a study by Qin et al. on the effects of anthocyanin supplementation in dyslipidemic people, daily consumption of two capsules of 80 mg anthocyanins for 12 weeks did not affect apo A-I and apo B.\(^21\) This issue could be as a result of lower contents of anthocyanin compared with our study which was 160 mg/day (two capsules of 80 mg) versus intake of 948 mg/day polyphenols. Regarding the fact that apo B and apo A-I are the major apolipoproteins of LDL and HDL-C respectively, the reduction of apo B and increase of apo A-I in the intervention group, could be attributed to a nonsignificant reduction in serum LDL-C and a significant increase in serum HDL-C.\(^1\) By these two significant changes, apo B/apo A-I, an important risk factor for CVD, was significantly decreased in the intervention group. Another finding of this study was a significant reduction in hs-CRP in the intervention group compared to control group and baseline. Contrary to our results, in the study by Skoczynska et al., daily drinking of 250 mL chokeberry extract caused no significant changes in CRP levels which may be due to the hyperlipidemia in subjects although they were healthy individuals.\(^34\) In another study, 3 weeks of taking two 500 mg capsules of cranberry did not alter CRP significantly\(^32\) which might be as a result of taking cranberries in capsule forms. Additionally, Basu et al. did not report a significant change in concentration of hs-CRP after 4 weeks of consuming 50 g of dried strawberries in 16 women with metabolic syndrome,\(^23\) which a small sample size, short-term intervention, and lack of control group could affect the results. On the other hand, Lehtonen et al. in 2010 and Udani et al. in 2011 failed to find significant changes in serum concentrations of CRP, interleukin, and adiponectin following the consumption of other types of berries.\(^26,37\)

This could be due to low dose of strawberries (163 g for 20 weeks) or consuming a combination of berries in the first study and the small sample size and short duration of the intervention (\(n = 10\), 1-month) in the second one.

The beneficial effects observed can be attributed to phenolic compounds, anthocyanins, and other features

---

**Table 3: Mineral, micronutrient, fruit, and vegetable intake in groups before and after the intervention**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variables</th>
<th>Intervention</th>
<th>Blackberry group ((n = 36))</th>
<th>Control group ((n = 36))</th>
<th>(P)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Vitamin A (RE/day)</td>
<td>Before</td>
<td>790.94±267.01 880.83±275.27</td>
<td>810.18±288.85</td>
<td>0.164</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>After</td>
<td>714.78±287.91 810.18±288.85</td>
<td>0.165</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Differences</td>
<td></td>
<td>−76.15±252.37 −70.64±264.31</td>
<td>0.94</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(P)</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vitamin C (mg/day)</td>
<td>Before</td>
<td>142.66±51.04 149.72±51.11</td>
<td>0.56</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>After</td>
<td>131.36±41.04 160.03±42.44</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Differences</td>
<td></td>
<td>−11.29±54.23 10.31±58.62</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(P)</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.22</td>
<td>0.29</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vitamin E (mg/day)</td>
<td>Before</td>
<td>11.93±7.75 14.06±8.64</td>
<td>0.27</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>After</td>
<td>11.34±6.90 14.42±9.19</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Differences</td>
<td></td>
<td>−0.59±8.47 0.36±8.68</td>
<td>0.63</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(P)</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.67</td>
<td>0.80</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Potassium (mg/day)</td>
<td>Before</td>
<td>3269±658.4 3371.6±668.05</td>
<td>0.51</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>After</td>
<td>3135±608.4 3186.1±611.44</td>
<td>0.72</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Differences</td>
<td></td>
<td>−134±596.01 −185.4±699.04</td>
<td>0.73</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(P)</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.18</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Magnesium (mg/day)</td>
<td>Before</td>
<td>3022±67.15 3104±70.03</td>
<td>0.61</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>After</td>
<td>2918.2±67.69 2986.7±72.62</td>
<td>0.68</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Differences</td>
<td></td>
<td>−10.47±60.95 −11.8±83.63</td>
<td>0.93</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(P)</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.31</td>
<td>0.40</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Selenium (mg/day)</td>
<td>Before</td>
<td>0.1301±0.033 0.119±0.032</td>
<td>0.17</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>After</td>
<td>0.1195±0.037 0.110±0.034</td>
<td>0.26</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Differences</td>
<td></td>
<td>−0.010±0.037 −0.009±0.036</td>
<td>0.88</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(P)</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fruit (units per day)</td>
<td>Before</td>
<td>2.02±0.89 2.03±0.70</td>
<td>0.95</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>After</td>
<td>1.89±0.71 1.89±0.69</td>
<td>0.97</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Differences</td>
<td></td>
<td>−0.12±0.61 −0.14±0.66</td>
<td>0.89</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(P)</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.22</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vegetables (units per day)</td>
<td>Before</td>
<td>3.09±1.05 3.08±0.88</td>
<td>0.96</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>After</td>
<td>2.80±0.99 2.91±1.06</td>
<td>0.64</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Differences</td>
<td></td>
<td>−0.29±1.22 −0.16±1.08</td>
<td>0.65</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(P)</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td>0.35</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Values are mean ± SD; \(P\) value compare between the two groups (independent t-test); \(P\) value within groups (paired t-test). SD = Standard deviation
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Table 4: SBP and DBP and lipid profile before and after of the intervention

| Variables | Intervention | Blackberry group (n = 36) | Control group (n = 36) | P  
|---|---|---|---|---
| SBP (mmHg) | Before | 121.81±9.64 | 120.69±9.11 | 0.67  
| | After | 119.03±6.74 | 119.44±6.73 | 0.82  
| Differences | -2.77±5.13 | -1.25±6.02 | 0.24  
| P | 0.005* | 0.17 | –  
| DBP (mmHg) | Before | 80.55±5.31 | 81.11±5.74 | 0.65  
| | After | 79.72±1.66 | 79.72±3.76 | 0.96  
| Differences | -0.8±5 | -1.38±5.42 | 0.63  
| P | 0.31 | 0.13 | –  
| TC (mg/dL) | Before | 224.47±25.05 | 224.75±30.85 | 0.66  
| | After | 223.19±32.57 | 226.06±38.83 | 0.79  
| Differences | -1.27±30.76 | 1.30±33.86 | 0.84  
| P | 0.78 | 0.79 | –  
| TG (mg/dL) | Before | 232.72±103.77 | 220.56±188.48 | 0.23  
| | After | 226.11±112.70 | 225.97±128.48 | 0.94  
| Differences | -6.61±33.83 | 5.41±57.71 | 0.40  
| P | 0.42 | 0.39 | –  
| HDL-C (mg/dL) | Before | 38.25±8.75 | 41.55±8.81 | 0.12  
| | After | 43.11±7.41 | 43.29±7.24 | 0.91  
| Differences | 4.85±6.65 | 1.73±5.53 | 0.04*  
| P | 0.001* | 0.07 | –  
| LDL-C (mg/dL) | Before | 119.67±25.19 | 121.29±22.75 | 0.77  
| | After | 118.19±23.80 | 117.69±24.09 | 0.92  
| Differences | -1.47±17.29 | -3.60±11.51 | 0.27  
| P | 0.61 | 0.07 | –  

Values are mean ± SD; *P< 0.05; P value compare between the two groups (independent t-test or Mann-Whitney U-test), P value within groups (paired t-test or Wilcoxon); SD = Standard deviation; SBP = Systolic blood pressure; DBP = Diastolic blood pressure; TC = Total cholesterol; TG = Triglycerides; HDL-C = High-density lipoprotein cholesterol; LDL-C = Low-density lipoprotein cholesterol.

Table 5: Serum apo A-I and apo B, apo B/apo A-I, and hs-CRP in before and after of the intervention

| Variable | Time | Blackberry group (n = 36) | Control group (n = 36) | P  
|---|---|---|---|---
| Apo A-I (mg/dL) | Before | 142.14±24.52 | 149.25±23.64 | 0.21  
| | After | 149.44±21.67 | 144.17±24.12 | 0.33  
| Differences | 7.30±17.90 | -5.08±19.10 | 0.005*  
| P | 0.015* | 0.11 | –  
| Apo B (mg/dL) | Before | 106.61±24.07 | 104.83±20.98 | 0.73  
| | After | 101.92±22.52 | 101.25±21.24 | 0.89  
| Differences | -4.69±13.47 | -3.58±11.63 | 0.70  
| P | 0.04* | 0.07 | –  
| Apo B/Apo A-I | Before | 0.76±0.20 | 0.71±0.18 | 0.29  
| | After | 0.69±0.17 | 0.72±0.21 | 0.47  
| Differences | -0.07±0.10 | 0.007±0.14 | 0.009*  
| P | 0.001* | 0.77 | –  
| hs-CRP (mg/L) | Before | 1.00±0.56 | 1.29±0.72 | 0.07  
| | After | 0.82±0.47 | 1.20±0.75 | 0.01*  
| Differences | -0.18±0.53 | -0.09±0.65 | 0.50  
| P | 0.04* | 0.42 | –  

Values are mean ± SD; *P< 0.05; P value compare between the two groups (independent t-test or Mann-Whitney U-test), P value within groups (paired t-test or Wilcoxon); SD = Standard deviation; hs-CRP = high-sensitivity-C-reactive protein.

Conclusions:

Blackberry consumption has the potential to improve concentrations of HDL-C, apo A-I, apo B, and hs-CRP which in turn can modify the cardiovascular risk factors. Further research to investigate other inflammatory and oxidative stress markers with regard to longer duration and administrating different doses of blackberry is recommended.
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