Assessment of risk factors of prematurity among neonates born in Bandar Abbas Shariati Hospital
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ABSTRACT

Introduction: Prematurity remains the main cause of mortality and morbidity in infants and a problem in the care of pregnant women world-wide. This study describes the risk factors for having a live preterm delivery in Bandar Abbas Shariati Hospital.

Methods: In this case-control study, we studied 300 neonates who were borned in Shariati hospital in 2011 and divided them into two groups: case group (100 mothers with premature neonates) and control group (200 mothers with term infants). Risk factors that were studied include maternal age and job, parent’s knowledge, the history of preterm birth, uterine abnormality, maternal systemic and infectious disease, prenatal care, placental abnormality, trauma in pregnancy, maternal low weight gain in pregnancy, the number of previous pregnancy, inter pregnancies gap, maternal smoking, membrane abnormality, previous abortion, pregnancy with assisted reproductive therapy. Data collection and analysis were performed using SPSS 16 and t-test and Chi-Square were used to analyze the significance of the results.

Results: The results show that in mothers with preterm birth, prolong premature rupture of membrane 27.92 times, uterine and cervical anomaly about 15 times, preeclampsia 5.26 times, the history of preterm birth 4.96 times, family dependency 2.67 times, urinary tract infection 2.24 times and diabetes 2.18 time more exposed to prematurity than mothers with term birth (P<0.05).

Conclusion: According to this study prolong premature rupture of membrane, uterine and cervical anomaly, preeclampsia and history of preterm birth are the most important risk factors for preterm delivery.
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Introduction:

Preterm is one of the major reasons for neonates mortality and morbidity in the world. With the exception of malformations, Prematurity is the cause of 75% of prenatal mortality and 50% neurologic morbidities (1), according to world health organization.

The alive neonate born before the 37th week from the first day of last menses is considered premature neonate. The Prevalence of prematurity is different in various societies.

During past 20 years, this rate has raised from 9.5% in 2003 to 12.3% in 2003. Although its rate is reported 1 to 8 in America, in America, in African-American population is 1 to 6 (3). Based
on studies in Iran, the prematurity outbreak has been 5.5% in Shiraz (4) and 8.2% in Arak (5). The probability for return of premature parturition in next pregnancies is 19.9% in blond women and 26% in blacks (6). The reasons of preterm delivery can be divided into 3 general groups.

Pontaneous premature rupture of membrane-pregnancy completion in medical reasons. The prevalence in each of the reasons is 8.5%-51.2%, 27.9%-65.4%, 20%-38.3% respectively (2). The most reasons for medical termination of preeclamcia pregnancy include foetus distress, intraventricular growth retardation, rupture of placenta and prematurity of placenta (7).

Prematurity Symptoms consisting short-term and long-term are significant in the birth of premature neonate. From short-term symptoms standpoint, we can point out respiratory distress syndrome, idiopathic apnea of premature neonates, intra ventricular hemorrhage, necrotizing enterocolitis, hypocalcemia, hypothermia, sepsis and cardiovascular disorders (8). But there are long-term symptoms affecting unfavorably on the life process including: lung chronic disease, retinopathy of prematurity, hearing disorders (9), various hospitalizations due to respiratory infections, initial hypertension in maturity (10), increasing psycho-mental issues and teeth dysplasia (13,14).

The premature delivery has no absolute treatment and none of the controlling methods of premature delivery is completely effective, having potential symptoms for mother and foetus (15). For example using tocolytic medicines can be accompanied with fetal symptoms in pregnant women (16). Also taking β-agonist by stimulating adrenegic in other parts of body like heart except myometer can be followed by side effects (17).

Hence, premature neonate birth is one of the important struggles in neonate cares. The most death within neonate period is seen in premature neonates. The prematurity birth affects not only the neonate and parents, but also on the health organization because it covers great spend due to several month cares of neonate present in hospital and solving this problem (18). Therefore, the prevention of prematurity in society can lead to improvement of economic status.

In this study, we decided to investigate risk factors of premature births in Bandar Abbas Shariati hospital in order to prevent and treat the most current effective factors in premature delivery.

**Methods:**

In the case-control study in Bandar Abbas Shariati hospital in 2011, two case and control groups entered the study, the case group included 100 mothers with premature neonate and control group included 200 mothers with term neonate, all risky factors about premature neonate birth were analyzed in both groups. The entrance criterion to this study was maternal pregnancy age (the first day of last menses).

The exit criterion from this study were:

1. Mothers who don’t remember this date
2. Cases of foetus mortality in uterus
3. Foetuses with congenital disorders

Sampling was done in a simple method. The born neonates with maternal pregnancy age in lower than 37 weeks of last menses and 37-42 weeks of pregnancy age were considered as premature neonates.

In this study, the researcher made questionnaire was used to collect data including, maternal age variables, history of premature neonate parturition, anatomic disorder of uterus, cervix, maternal diseases, pregnancy cares, abortion history in the second 3 months of pregnancy, the interval between 2 pregnancies, multioara, hydroamnios or aligohydroamnias, smoking, maternal low weight gain. During pregnancy, trauma history in pregnancy, infectious diseases of mother, chorioamnioitis, premature rupture of membrane and pregnancy in artificial method were recorded. Also, the questionnaire consisting demographic characteristics and problems related to mother before or during pregnancy, foetus and placenta. The questionnaire were completed by interviewing with the mothers and analyzing delivery cases, and written satisfaction was taken from mothers before completing the questionnaire.

SPSS software was used for data analysis. Risk factors in both groups, was compared using descriptive-statistical (frequency, mean, standard
Results:

This study was done for 100 mothers with premature neonate birth and 200 mothers with term neonate birth in which premature infants were born before 37 weeks of pregnancy from the first day of last menses and term infants in 37-42 weeks of pregnancy.

In this study, the age mean of pregnant women in group with premature birth neonate were 27.32±6.21 years and in another group with term infant birth, 25.9±5.74 years. In mothers with term infant, 4% of them were under 17, 11% above 35 and 85% between 17 and 35 which statistically no significant difference was observed in two groups.

The mean birth rank in mothers with premature infants were 2.28±1.41 and the opposite group 2.24±1.38. The average pregnancy interval in the first group was 31.57±3.63 months in the second one, 29.32±3.14 months without no meaningful relationship.

In this study, no significant relation was observed between maternal age and premature neonate birth (P=0.66). Whereas, there was a significant correlation between education level of the parents and premature neonate birth (P=0.6). Based on related results, the individuals with history of prematurity, expose to premature neonate birth 4.96 times more [OR =4.96 (95% CI 1.65-14.92)] which this proportional risk is statistically significant.

In this research, the mothers with premature birth and mothers with term infant suffered from uterine and cervical anomaly about 7% and 0.5% respectively leading to expose mothers to prematurity almost 15 times more (P<0.05) [OR=14.9(95% CI 8.22-18.42)]. Also in this study, mothers with diabetes 2.18 times (45%CI: 1.02-4.66) more exposed to prematurity (P<0.05). [Or considerable impact on prematurity [OR =%95 CI 1.02-4.66]]. Chronic blood pressure had no considerable impact on prematurity [OR=0.79 (95% CI 0.15-4.18)] also thyroid disease weren’t associated to prematurity [Or=0.79 (95% CI 0.15-4.18)]. The obtained results showed that there was a significant relationship between preeclampsia and premature neonate birth. Based on these results, the patients with preeclampsia exposed to prematurity 5.26 times more (P<0.05) [OR=5.26 (95%CI 1.95-14.16)].

No significant relationship was observed between vaginitis and prematurity [OR=2.22 (%95 CI 0.88-5.08)] while women with urinary tract infection exposed to prematurity 2.24 times more [OR=2.24 (95%CI 1.29-3.89)] which was significant statically.

The pregnancy cares (P=0.13), previous abortion history (P=0.53) and oligohydramnious (P=0.09) had significant effect on prematurity (Table 1).
Table 1. Risk pregnancy in premature neonates in two groups

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Risk Factor</th>
<th>Case (n=100)</th>
<th>Control (n=200)</th>
<th>P-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Frequency (Percent)</td>
<td>Frequency (Percent)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Premature rupture of membrane</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>22 (22%)</td>
<td>2 (1%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>NO</td>
<td>78 (78%)</td>
<td>198 (99%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Uterine and cervical anomaly</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>7 (7%)</td>
<td>1 (0.5%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>No</td>
<td>93 (93%)</td>
<td>199 (99.5%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>History of preterm birth</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>84 (84%)</td>
<td>192 (96%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>16 (16%)</td>
<td>8 (4%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Preeclamcia</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>84 (84%)</td>
<td>194 (97%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>15 (15%)</td>
<td>15 (7.5%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diabetes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>85 (85%)</td>
<td>185 (92.5%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>35 (35%)</td>
<td>40 (20%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Family dependency</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>65 (65%)</td>
<td>160 (80%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>33 (33%)</td>
<td>36 (18%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Urinary tract infection</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>67 (67%)</td>
<td>164 (82%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2. Odds ratio and confidence interval of the risk factors

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Risk Factor</th>
<th>Confidence Interval (95%)</th>
<th>Odds Ratio</th>
<th>P-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>History of preterm birth</td>
<td>1.65-14.91</td>
<td>4.96</td>
<td>0.66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maternal blood pressure</td>
<td>0.43-2.09</td>
<td>0.95</td>
<td>0.79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thyroid Disease</td>
<td>0.15-4.18</td>
<td>0.79</td>
<td>0.79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vaginitis</td>
<td>0.88-5.08</td>
<td>2.22</td>
<td>0.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Urinary infection</td>
<td>1.29-3.89</td>
<td>2.24</td>
<td>0.53</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In this study, midwifery factors like premature rupture of membrane (27.92 times) and preeclamcia, (5.26) were important at factors in prematurity. In many other studies done inside or outside the country, this factor was mentioned as a risk of superior factors in prematurity (20-23).

In this study, midwifery factors like premature rupture of membrane (27.92 times) and preeclamcia, (5.26) were important at factors in prematurity. In many other studies done inside or outside the country, this factor was mentioned as a risk of superior factors in prematurity (20-23).

Uterus disorders is one of the important reasons in prematurity in this study. Indicating enhancement of preterm delivery 15 times more. The similar results were found in studies done in Gaza and Micicipi (24,25).

In a research in Golestan, mothers expose to preterm delivery by this factor 33 times more (26).

The history of premature neonate birth as a risk factor leading to prematurity 4.96 times more. This issue has been underlined in other various studies (24,27). In a study done by Mellissa etal. On 18000 pregnant women during 15 years, returning prematurity is posed as a remarkable risk factor in prematurity (6).

In spite of common points, there were differences between this study and others.

In most post surreys, in spite of our study, there was an important association between low cares of pregnancy and premature birth including study in

Conclusion:

This study has analyzed risk factors in Bandar Abbas Shariati reference hospital.

Based on the results, premature rupture of membrane and following preeclamcia were the most current in prematurity.

In spite of advances in gynecology, premature neonate birth is still known as a great health problem and prematurity is the principal cause for infant’s mortality and morbidity posing various reasons in different studies (19).
Porto with pregnancy cares lower than 6 times (23), in Gaza with limited visits in pregnancy period (24) in Birjand with pregnancy cares lower than 4 times (OR=4.5) (27). Seemingly this harmony is due to regardlessness of the frequencies of pregnancy cares. Because in this study due to lack of evidence indicating times of pregnant visit even a reference is Considered as positive pregnancy care.

In this study parental relative relation in the mothers with prematurity was 2.67 times more than the mothers with mature infant birth which is a meaningful association while this factor has not been evaluated in previous investigations yet.

Also, there was a considerable association between urinary infections, maternal diabetes and prematurity which in none of the studies, these factors has been analyzed and in most studies, maternal diseases were totally effective factors in premature birth.

In researches, maternal age, career and abortion history have no significant association with prematurity.

While there was a meaningful relationship between maternal age and previous premature neonate birth in Gaza study. The number of mothers in Gaza study were 2 times more than those in our study but the opposite result was obtain (24). Perhaps this theory is concerned with the individual culture in any region and marriage age and pregnancy influencing maternal group with mature neonate birth. Most referents to Bandarabbas shariati center are individuals with low Socio-economic status without a special job.

In performed investigations, two pregnancy intervals had no relationship with premature birth. In our study, many studied women have experienced the first pregnancy and two pregnancy intervals was zero.

Regarding to the obtained result due to effect of history in premature neonate birth on prematurity, it can be avoided by identifying mothers with prematurity history with pregnancy cares, rising maternal information about risk of returning premature delivery, symptoms and related consequences and emphasizing the importance of pregnancy cares. Urinary infections, the effect of preeclamcia and diabetes on premature neonates to screen and treat urinary infection are highly recommended.
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بررسی عوامل مؤثر در تارس بودن نوزادان متولد شده در بیمارستان شریعتی بندرعباس

دکتر رخشان کوردزی، دکتر سلما نادری، دکتر سیدحسین سعادت، دکتر شهارم زارع، دکتر سالاز سلیمانی، دکتر صدیقه توکلی

استادیار گروه اقلیمی و تحقیقات بالی بیمارستان کودکان، استاد گروه پزشکی اجتماعی، استاد علوم درمانی بیمارستان امام خمینی

نمازی، هرمی گرگان، بندرعباس، ایران

چکیده

مقدمه: تکلید عوامل خطر بارداری در بیمارستان شریعتی بندرعباس است. روش: در مطالعه مورد - شاهدی که در مرکز آموزشی درمانی شریعتی بندرعباس در سال 1390 انجام شد تعداد 100 مادر با تولد نوزاد تارس به عنوان کروه مورد و 200 مادر با تولد نوزاد رسیده به عنوان کروه شاهد مورد بررسی قرار گرفتند. مطالعه در سه ماه شروع و پایان و در هر ماه از 30 دسته نمونه عادی و نوزادنایی تولید شده در بیمارستان بانی‌البیت، مصرف سیگار، مصرف مواد مخدر، سطح پذیرایی و مراجعه تولدگذاری، تجربیات بالینی، سطح تربیتی، سطح آموزش، سطح حمایتی، سطح مبنا، سطح خدمات و خدمات در بیمارستان انجام گرفت. تعداد 16 درمان شده و در آن‌ها امکان استفاده از Chi-Square test و t-test انجام گردید.

نتایج: نتایج نشان داد که در بیمارستان با تولد نوزاد تارس، پارک زوجیت نسخه آب 1/27 درصد، آنومالی‌های رحم و بدن رحم حدود 15 درصد پیچیده‌ای و از بین نیا بوده است. سابقه تولد نوزاد تارس 1/27 درصد، سابقه تولد نوزاد نارس 3/27 درصد، سابقه تولد نوزاد رسیده 1/27 درصد و سابقه تولد نوزاد سالم 14/27 درصد و السیگار 1/27 درصد بوده است. نتایج نشان داد که تعداد افرادی که بیش از 10 مارپیچی می‌شوند با پایداری زمانی، سطح خدمات و خدمات در بیمارستان منجر به آن‌ها می‌گردد.
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