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Background: Frequency of mood disorders in patients with chronic diseases, especially diabetes and its effects on life quality are dramatically increasing.

Objectives: This study aimed to investigate the relation between depression and quality of life in patients with diabetes.

Patients and Methods: This was a cross-sectional survey. Subjects were selected from 330 eligible people referred to the only diabetes clinic in Gorgan City during 6 months, using systematic random sampling. Beck Depression questionnaire and the brief questioner with 26 questions recommended by the World Health Organization (WHOQOL-BREF) were used to measure depression and quality of life, respectively. Data were analyzed through descriptive methods, Chi-square, independent t test and linear regression model using SPSS16; moreover, P value < 0.05 was considered as significant.

Results: In total, 330 patients with diabetes (35.5% male and 64.5% women) were studied. The mean and standard deviation of their age and years involved with diabetes were 50.6 ± 9.0 and 5.4 ± 4.3 years, respectively. Range of age was 25 - 75 years, as well. The prevalence of depression in all patients with diabetes was 58.2% (124 mild, 56 medium, and 12 with severe depression). Hypertension was 13.9% more in diabetic patients with depression (P value < 0.001) and physical activity in 24.7% of the cases was less with a meaningful difference (P value = 0.01). The mean and standard deviation of quality of life in diabetic patients with and without depression was 50.7 ± 14 and 60.5 ± 13.3, respectively that was significant in two groups (P < 0.001).

Conclusions: The prevalence of depression is high in patients with diabetes and has a considerable impact on the consequences of diabetes and quality of life too.
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1. Background

Diabetes is the most common metabolic disease, with an increasing prevalence that reduces life expectancy to a third (1, 2). There are 200 million people with diabetes worldwide (about 5% of adult population) (3). According to a nationwide study in 2001, the prevalence of diabetes in Iran was estimated at 4.67% among the population older than 20 years (4). Diabetes is usually associated with short-term complications such as hypoglycemia, and long-term complications like cardiovascular diseases, neuropathy, nephropathy, and retinopathy. Amid, psychiatric problems have been less studied or treated compared to other complications. Patients with diabetes are twice exposed to the risk of psychiatric diseases compared to normal population, and according to some studies, one in every 5 patients with diabetes suffer from depression (5, 6).

Diabetes doubles the likelihood of depression. In a study by Asghar et al. symptoms of depression were observed in 29% of men and 30.5% of women with diabetes in Bangladesh villages (7). In Khamseh et al. study, 71.8% of patients with diabetes had major depression (8), and in Sepehrmanesh study in Kashan, this rate was 57.7% among women and 41% among men (9).

Many factors can be affected by treatment of the disease, including physical function and daily activity, social relationships, quality of life, and personal mood. As the disease affects patient’s self-confidence and increase his or her fear of worsening complications, the risk of psychiatric diseases increases (10).

Depression is associated with increased risk of high blood glucose, complications of diabetes, cardiovascular diseases, and possibly patient’s reduced compliance too (11-14). Furthermore, diabetic patients with depression have greater burden of treatment costs and referrals than those patients without depression (15).

Various studies have shown that unlike normal people, patients with diabetes have lower quality of life, and this is much more pronounced in those suffering from complications of diabetes; depression also has a significant effect on patients’ quality of life (16-18). In a study in
Yazd, the mean score of quality of life in studied patients was 25.65 out of 60, and in patients with complications like retinopathy or neuropathy, this score was lower compared to patients without these complications (19).

Comorbidty of depression and diabetes can have a cumulative effect on people’s functions (20). Diagnosis of depression in these patients is particularly important in order to reduce complications and improve quality of life. Li et al. reported that depression was not diagnosed in 45% of diabetic patients (21). Depression leads to patient’s noncompliance, blood glucose mismanagement, and other physical complications (11, 19, 22). Ciechanowski et al. reported that depressed patients are unable to comply with dietary regimen or manage blood glucose, and in this respect, they are clearly different from non-depressed patients (23). With regard to the effect of diabetes with depression on the quality of life, in 2004, Filakovci et al. demonstrated that comorbidty of depression with diabetes further lowers patients’ quality of life by many folds (24).

2. Objectives

Although several studies have been conducted on either quality of life or depression in diabetic patients, there are few studies on the relationship between quality of life and depression in diabetic patients. Moreover, given that failure to diagnose depression in diabetic patients is commonplace, the need to identify and screen psychiatric disorders in patients with chronic diseases, especially diabetes, and the impact of depression on the quality of life, this article aimed to investigate the relationship between depression and quality of life in patients with diabetes using medical records at diabetics’ clinic in Gorgan.

3. Patients and Methods

3.1. Study Design

A cross-sectional study was implemented. The current survey was approved in the 46 Ethics Committee of Golestan University of Medical Sciences (No. 327123847).

3.2. Participants

In total, 330 eligible patients with diabetes were selected from patients admitted to the only specialized diabetic clinic in city of Gorgan, North of Iran, at 5th Azar Hospital, in the first 6 months of 2012. Inclusion criteria were confirmed diabetic record by the clinic specialist, age range of 25 - 75 years, having regular visits to the clinic, being under treatment with insulin and oral medication, not having type I diabetes, and not being treated for psychological health problems. All patients were invited to participate by a trained expert at the clinic. Patients were assured of the confidentiality of data and their informed consents were obtained. According to Ethics Committee guidelines, patient information remains confidential to the researcher.

3.3. Sample Size

Based on the Eren et al. study (25), the correlation between the depression and quality of life (r = 0.39), 95% confidence interval, and 90% power test, 66 diabetic patients with depression were needed. To achieve that and with respect to 20% estimation of depression in patients with diabetes (5, 7), 330 patients with type 2 diabetes were investigated (Equation 1).

\[
C = \frac{1}{2} \ln \left[ \frac{1}{1 - r^2} \right], \quad r = 0.39, \quad Z_{1-\beta} = 1.96, \quad Z_{1-\alpha} = 1.28, \quad n_0
\]

\[
= \left[ \frac{Z_{1-\alpha} + Z_{1-\beta}}{\sqrt{2}} \right]^2 + 3 = 66, n = \frac{n_0}{p_0} = \frac{66}{0.2} = 330
\]

3.4. Sample Frame

Diabetes clinic is the only specialized and state referral clinic in Gorgan that is supported by 5th Azar Hospital and is also one of the 3 state hospitals belonged to the Golestan University of Medical Sciences. Since more than 80% of attended patients with diabetes to the health centers, had been visited by the aforementioned clinic (with continuous access) and according to the all patients with record (N = 1150), 330 subjects were finally selected using systematic sampling with an interval of 3 (K = N/n = 3), in the way that the first patient was randomly selected. Then, a number was selected from 1 to 3 (the second patient was selected). In other cases and with respect to the sampling interval as 3, other samples were included (such as number 5, 8 to 989). The next patient would be elected if the selected sample was not eligible. All patients were studied from March to August 2012.

3.5. Instrument

Demographic questionnaire was completed in the presence of patients, and related data to the disease and its complications were obtained from their records. Beck Depression Inventory (BDI) was used to assess depression, and the short version (26 items) of the World Health Organization Quality of Life Questionnaire (WHOQOL-BREF) was used to assess quality of life. BDI contains 21 items, which evaluates signs of depression, including sadness, guilt, loss of interest, social isolation, and suicidal ideation. Each item has rated on a 4-point Likert scoring style from 0 for the lowest to 3 for the highest. Each option is determined from mild to severe (0 for psychological health, and scores 1, 2, and 3 for mild, moderate, and severe disorders, respectively). Overall depression score for each patient is found by summing up scores of all items, ranging from 0 to 63, and higher scores indicate more severe depression, as follows: 0 to 15 means no depression, 16 to 31 mild depression, 32 to 47 moderate depression, and 48 to 63 severe depression.
Persian version of Beck Anxiety Inventory was tested and approved by Kaviani and Mousavi. Its reliability was determined through internal consistency using Cronbach α of 84%, and correlation was obtained 70% using split half method (26). In this study, Cronbach α for the reliability of questionnaire for diabetic patients was found 0.77, which indicates the questionnaire was suitable for this study. Diez-Quevedo et al. also studied it in Diagnosing Mental Disorders in 1003 General Hospital Spanish Inpatients in 2001 (27).

In the 26-item WHOQOL-BREF, the first two items assess general health status and quality of life in 4 domains of physical health, psychological health, social relationships, and environment using 3, 6, 7 and 8 items, respectively. In this study, each item scored from 1 to 5. The 5-point Likert scale was applied for items 1 and 15 (very bad, bad, not bad, good, and very good), items 2 and 16 to 25 (very unhappy, unhappy, not unhappy, happy, and very happy), items 3 to 14 (not at all, a little, medium, high, and very high), and for item 26 (never, rarely, occasionally, often, and always). Scoring for items 3, 4, and 26 was in reverse. To compare domains and the first two items in depressed and non-depressed groups of patients, quality of life score for each patient \(X_i\) was converted into scores between 0 and 100 using the following Equation 2 (28-31):

\[
Y_i = \frac{X_i - \text{min}(X)}{\text{max}(X)} \times 100
\]

Validity and reliability of WHOQOL-BREF have been reported favorable in many native and foreign studies (17, 30, 32). In the present study, validity of this questionnaire was estimated according to internal consistency for the whole scale of 85%, physical health 78%, psychological health 80%, social relationships 69%, and environment 84%.

3.6. Data Analysis

The normality of QOL scores and its dimensions were tested and confirmed by Kolmogorov-Smirnov test. We followed the QOL scoring guideline to score missing data in the questionnaire. Where an item was missing, we substituted the mean of other items in the domain. Also, when more than 2 items were missing from a domain, we did not calculate the domain score. The missing data in BDI Questionnaire were replaced using median near points. To describe data, central and dispersion indicators such as mean and standard deviation were calculated. To compare qualitative characteristics of depressed and non-depressed patients, Chi-square test was used. Mean scores of QOL and its sub-domains, age, and duration of disease in two groups were analyzed using Independent t test. We conducted multiple linear regression analyses to assess prediction of QOL scores and its relationship with other variables.

In addition, we used scatter diagrams to visualize the relationship between dependent variable and each metric independent variable to be sure that they are linear. In addition, we also checked whether the relationship between dependent variable and categorical independent variables is homoscedastic. We also checked the normality of the distribution of errors. Data were analyzed using SPSS-16 software. The significance level was regarded as 0.05.

4. Results

A total of 330 diabetic patients (35.5% men, and 64.5% women) were studied, with mean and standard deviation of age and history of disease of 50.6 ± 9 and 5.4 ± 4.5 years, respectively, and age range of 25 to 75 years. The prevalence of depression in all diabetic patients was 58.2%, of whom 124 patients (64.6%) had mild depression, 56 (29.2%) moderate depression, and 12 (6.2%) severe depression. This was in 64.8% of men and 61.5% of women, with insignificant differences between them. Depression was observed in 45.1% of the single diabetic patients and in 60.6% of married ones, with significant difference (P = 0.039). More than 50% of depressed diabetic patients and 62.5% of non-depressed diabetic patients were literate, and the difference between two groups was significant. However, there were insignificant differences between the two groups in terms of employment status (Table 1).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Non-Depressed (n = 138)</th>
<th>Depressed (n = 192)</th>
<th>P Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age, y</td>
<td>49.9 ± 8.8</td>
<td>51.9 ± 9.1</td>
<td>N.S</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Duration of illness</td>
<td>5.00 ± 4.6</td>
<td>5.6 ± 4.3</td>
<td>N.S</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gender</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>43 (31.2)</td>
<td>95 (68.8)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>74 (38.5)</td>
<td>118 (61.5)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Marital status</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.039</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Single</td>
<td>28 (54.9)</td>
<td>23 (45.1)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Married</td>
<td>110 (39.4)</td>
<td>169 (60.6)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Education</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.008</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Literate</td>
<td>90 (48.1)</td>
<td>97 (51.9)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Illiterate</td>
<td>48 (33.6)</td>
<td>95 (66.4)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Occupation</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>N.S</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Employed</td>
<td>56 (42.8)</td>
<td>75 (57.3)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unemployed</td>
<td>82 (41.2)</td>
<td>117 (58.8)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(^a\) Data are presented as Mean ± SD or No. (%).
Mean and standard deviation of depression score according to BDI was 29.4 ± 10.1 in depressed patients, and 8.1 ± 4.1 in non-depressed patients, with a significant difference between them (P < 0.001).

Comparison of the relationship between clinical characteristics and depression in patients with diabetes showed insignificant differences between the two groups with respect to dietary regimen, diabetes complications (retinopathy, neuropathy, and nephropathy), and duration of the disease. However, hypertension was 13.9% more (P < 0.001), and physical activity 24.7% less in diabetic patients with depression, and the difference between groups was significant (P = 0.01) (Table 2).

Comparing scores of various domains of quality of life between depressed and non-depressed patients with diabetes using Independent t test indicated significant lower mean scores in subscales of general health, quality of life in general, psychological health, environment, and overall score of quality of life in depressed diabetic patients at error level of 0.001. However, in physical health domain, mean score was lower in depressed patients, with a significant difference (P = 0.009) (Table 3).

Linear regression model of prediction of quality of life score with independent variables of age, gender, duration of disease, hypertension, diabetes complications, education, marital status, occupation, physical activity, and depression score showed significant and inverse relationship between overall score of quality of life and variables of physical activity, diabetes complications or otherwise, and depression score (P = 0.01) (Table 4).

### Table 2. Clinical Details of Depressed and Non-depressed Patients With Diabetes

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Non-depressed (n = 138)</th>
<th>Depressed (n = 192)</th>
<th>P Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Diet compliance</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>118 (85.5)</td>
<td>150 (78.1)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>20 (14.5)</td>
<td>42 (21.9)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hypertension</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>38 (27.5)</td>
<td>79 (41.4)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>100 (72.5)</td>
<td>112 (58.6)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diabetes compli-</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>N.S</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>cations</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>25 (18.1)</td>
<td>28 (14.6)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>113 (81.9)</td>
<td>164 (85.4)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Retinopathy</td>
<td>7 (5.3)</td>
<td>17 (8.9)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neuropathy</td>
<td>56 (40.6)</td>
<td>70 (36.5)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nephropathy</td>
<td>21 (15.2)</td>
<td>23 (12.0)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>More than one</td>
<td>29 (21.0)</td>
<td>54 (28.1)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>complication</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 3. Sores in Different Areas of Quality of Life in Depressed and Non-Depressed Patients With Diabetes

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Domain</th>
<th>Non-depressed</th>
<th>Depressed</th>
<th>P Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>General health (item 1)</td>
<td>90.8 ± 12.1</td>
<td>38.9 ± 26.2</td>
<td>&lt; 0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Overall quality of life (item 2)</td>
<td>74.8 ± 15.8</td>
<td>45.4 ± 14.8</td>
<td>&lt; 0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Physical health</td>
<td>64.8 ± 21.3</td>
<td>58.3 ± 22.8</td>
<td>0.009</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Psychological health</td>
<td>46.0 ± 22.5</td>
<td>36.8 ± 21.1</td>
<td>&lt; 0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Environment</td>
<td>52.7 ± 19.1</td>
<td>43.4 ± 21.5</td>
<td>&lt; 0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Social relationships</td>
<td>90.2 ± 15.9</td>
<td>90.5 ± 14.4</td>
<td>N.S</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Overall score of quality of life</td>
<td>60.5 ± 13.3</td>
<td>50.7 ± 14.0</td>
<td>&lt; 0.001</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Data are presented as Mean ± SD.

### Table 4. Regression Analysis of Overall Quality of Life Score and Associated Variables

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Non-Standard B</th>
<th>Standard Error</th>
<th>Standard Beta</th>
<th>t test</th>
<th>P Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Constant</td>
<td>73.92</td>
<td>2.82</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>26.26</td>
<td>&lt; 0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Depression score</td>
<td>-0.43</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>-0.39</td>
<td>-7.80</td>
<td>&lt; 0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Physical activity</td>
<td>-3.87</td>
<td>1.48</td>
<td>-0.13</td>
<td>-2.63</td>
<td>0.009</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diabetes complication</td>
<td>-5.20</td>
<td>1.94</td>
<td>-0.13</td>
<td>-2.68</td>
<td>0.008</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
5. Discussion

The present study results are in line with the results in other studies, and suggest high prevalence of depression among patients with diabetes (7-9, 19). According to the present study, 58.2% of participants had moderate to severe depression and 15.5% had mild depression, i.e., 73.2% of the patients are likely to have depression. In a study by Nedjat et al. (28), 28% of patients were depressed and 38% had mild depression, making a total of 66%. The rate of depression was 41.9% in Larijani, and 53.3% in Sepihrmanesh studies (9, 19, 21). Evidence suggests a 2-way relationship between depression and type 2 diabetes. Kahn et al. argue that not only depression could result from diabetes; but also it is a risk factor for the onset of diabetes (22). Depression is associated with 60% increased risk of type 2 diabetes, while diabetes only causes an increased risk of depression by about 15% (23).

Depression is usually more prevalent among women than men. However, in the present study, there was an insignificant difference between sexes in the prevalence of depression, which is in line with results of Pinquart et al. (33).

In the present study, the mean overall quality of life score in depressed patients was 50.68 ± 14.04, which was significantly lower than non-depressed patients with 60.46 ± 13.27, and is close to the results found by Nedjat et al. (28). In psychiatric and habitat areas and overall score, the differences between groups was significant, and among depressed patients, mean score was lower, which is in line with other studies (6, 25, 28). Only in social relationships domain, the difference between the two groups was insignificant. Perhaps, it could be attributed to rich relationships between patients and their family and relatives, which often become richer at times of disease.

Depression can affect physical outcomes such as myocardial infarction, life expectancy in cancer patients, and infections. Also, it explains the effect of depression on immune system the most. Other reasons for this effect can be due to the fact that depression in diabetic patients affects compliance, proper dietary regimen, smoking, and exercise (7). In a study by Lin et al., it was confirmed that depressed patients perform more poorly than other patients in compliance with personal care, including proper nutrition, exercise, compliance with treatment and prevention (34). In another study it was found that regarding incident disability and mortality, the effects of interaction between diabetes and depression seemed to be synergistic (that is, greater than the additive main effects of diabetes and depression).

Unutzer et al. compared depressed patients and 8 groups of patients with chronic diseases, and found that depressed patients showed greater reduction in the quality of life than the other 8 groups, and this effect remained, even after eliminating factors such as age, gender, and chronic diseases (35). In the present study, regression analysis revealed that depression had the most influence on quality of life.

High prevalence of comorbidity of depression with diabetes in patients indicates that effect of depression comorbidity on the quality of life can significantly affect outcomes of the disease, and early diagnosis of depression can improve quality of life (25).

Study limitations included lack of measurement of HbA1C, which could help assess better the patients’ blood glucose, and its effect on disease complications. It is, therefore, suggested that its measurement be taken into consideration in future similar studies.

Given the results of this and other studies, it seems that there is high prevalence of depression among patients with diabetes, and it has a significant effect on diabetes outcomes and quality of life. It is recommended that besides regular doctors’ visits in terms of physical problems, the psychiatric problems and quality of life of these patients should also be more considered. Regular psychiatrist visits, or psychological assessments for screening mental disorders can help early diagnosis of these disorders.

Our survey weaknesses were as follow: 1) we used self-administered questionnaire, which could include patients’ bias, 2) participants may not have fully understood or have misinterpreted questions that might have biased the responses, and 3) we conducted a cross-sectional method that provided us with information for that particular issue in time. However, our study strong points can be mentioned as covering more than 80% of patients with diabetes in Golestan Province who were attended to the only specialized diabetes clinic supported by Golestan University of Medical Sciences.
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