Removal of Strontium Ions by Synthetic Nano Sodalite Zeolite from Aqueous Solution
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1. INTRODUCTION

The elemental forms of strontium in the environment are ⁹₀Sr and ⁹⁰Sr with half-lives of 51 days and 29 years, respectively. It is synthesized as a waste fission product from nuclear power plants and during the nuclear fuels reprocessing phase [1]. Sr-90 is regarded as the main species that is a soft β-emitter of 0.5460 MeV energy. Most of the ⁹⁰Sr present in the environment can deposit alone with rain or other condensed moisture. Radio strontium goes through decomposition while β-radiation and forms ⁹⁰Y with a half-life of 64 h which is a very strong β-emitter. Strontium-90 is comparatively mobile and can move down to underlying soil layers along with percolating water and into groundwater around the world due to the fallout from past atmospheric nuclear weapons tests. Strontium preferably sticks to soil particles. Typically, the content of the sandy soil is around 15 times more than that of the interstitial water.

Clay has rather higher ratios of concentration [2]. From a chemical perspective, strontium is similar to calcium. It is adsorbed via the gastrointestinal tract, accumulates in the body, becomes part of the bone marrow tissue and damages the blood-producing cells. It easily forms into bone and continues to expose the localized tissues to radiation with the gradual development of bone sarcoma and leukemia. Therefore, ⁹⁰Sr, among all other fission products, is regarded as one of the most high-risk elements. Thus, the high content of Sr, as a heat-generating, is undesirable especially for the vitrification of high level liquid waste (HLLW). Regarding the safety, the removal of Sr from the radionuclides is really necessary before the final disposal of HLLW [1, 3, 4]. Zeolites make up a favorable class of advanced crystalline microporous inorganic materials with amazing properties which make them ideal for applications such as the molecular sieving, ion-exchange and shape-selective catalytic processes [5]. They have strongly organized microporous channel systems and high surface areas that is advantageous in...
comparison with the other classical support materials that hold particular interest for the adsorbent [6, 7]. Sodalite is considered as one of the most typical artificial zeolites that is regarded as a traditional zeolite primarily produced by the hydrothermal crystallization method [8]. It comes with a small pore size (2.8 Å) and high ion exchange capacity. Also, it attracted a lot of attention in industry and is employed in applications such as optical material [9], hydrogen storage [10] and catalyst support [11]. To remove strontium, various methods such as treatment via adsorption [12, 13], membrane filtration [14], biological treatment [15] and ion exchange treatment [16] were utilized. Each method has its pros and cons. Among the others, the adsorption process provides one of the most efficient physical methods for the elimination of pollutants from the environment because the technique uses readily available equipments that are energy efficient and easy to use. Therefore, it provides a cost-effective treatment [17-19]. Many factors such as strontium concentration, adsorbent amount, temperature, pH, etc affect the adsorption process; however, adsorbent and the type of adsorbent is of great importance [12, 13, 20]. In the work of Sabriye and Sema [12], a PAN/Zeolite was used for the elimination of strontium from aqueous solutions and the effect of various parameters such as the function of initial strontium concentration, solution pH, contact time and temperature on the removal of strontium was studied. In another research, the possibility of using zeolite A for the sorptive elimination of Cs⁺ and Sr²⁺ ions from aqueous solutions using batch and fixed bed column method was examined [21]. To remove cesium and strontium from water, zeolite P was utilized as sorbent and the effect of various operating variables on the sorption of strontium onto zeolite P and also the equilibrium isotherm of this sorption process were studied [22]. In this study, synthetic sodalite zeolite adsorbent was prepared and the adsorption of strontium from aqueous solution was studied on acid treated zeolite. The effects of various operational parameters such as initial pH, adsorbent dosage, contact time and initial strontium concentration were also studied on the elimination of strontium during the batch adsorption experiments. Some isotherm and kinetic models were used to evaluate the sorption process and this led to a better understanding of the adsorption characteristics.

2. MATHEMATICAL MODEL

2.1. Reagents and Chemicals The two formulations, i.e. sodium metasilicate (Na₂O·2SiO₂·5H₂O) and sodium aluminate that are of analytical reagent grade were provided by Merck Co. Strontium was purchased as strontium chloride from the same company. Dissolving SrCl₂·6H₂O in distilled water yields stock solutions of the test reagents.

2.2. Instrumentation In this study, X-ray diffraction (XRD) patterns were used with a GBC MMA diffractometer equipped with CuKα radiation. Scans were compiled in the angular range of 5° to 70°. To study the sodalite zeolite surface, the Scanning Electron Microscope (SEM) model S3400, Hitachi, Japan was used. Using a sputter coater, the sample was coated with gold and palladium. It contained conductive materials to improve the image quality. The coating thickness and the density were 30.00 nm and 19.32 g/cm², respectively. The sodalite infrared spectra (IR) was provided by FTIR Spectrometer (Shimadzu 4100) in order to detect the sodalite functional groups. Using a spectrometer and KBr pellets, spectra were collected. In each case, using mortar and pestle, 1.0 mg of dried sodalite and 100 mg of KBr were homogenized and later pressed into a transparent tablet at 200 kg/cm² for 5 min. The pellets characterization was done using a FTIR spectrometer in the transmittance (%) mode with a scan resolution of 4 cm⁻¹ in the range of 4200–500 cm⁻¹.

2.3. Batch Adsorption Experiments The effect of various parameters (pH, contact time, adsorbent dosage and initial concentration) was studied to determine the conditions that lead to the maximum amount of strontium sorption in the batch method at room temperature. Isotherm, kinetics and thermodynamics evaluations were also conducted in this part of the study. Batch sorption experiments were performed at a constant temperature of 20 °C on a magnetic mixer at 400 rpm. Through the dilution of 1 g/L stock solutions, 100 mL of the strontium solution was prepared for each adsorption test. Using the diluted solution of hydrochloric acid or sodium hydroxide, the initial pH was adjusted to variable values. The suspension was filtered at the end of the predetermined time intervals and the filtrate was analyzed for any residual strontium. Each experiment was conducted two times with the purpose of observing the reproducibility and the mean value used for each set of values. The clear liquid phases obtained during these experiments were diluted to reach an appropriate concentration range for the elemental analysis using the Atomic Absorption Spectrophotometer (Buck scientific model VGP 210). Each experiment was performed in duplicate so that we could observe the reproducibility and the mean value used for each set of values. The experimental error was below 4%. The removal efficiency of strontium and/or the percent removal was obtained as follows:

\[
\%\text{Removal} = \frac{(C_0 - C_f)}{C_0} \times 100
\]
where $C_i$ is the initial concentration (mg/L) and $C_f$ is the final concentration (mg/L); $q$ is the adsorption capacity that indicates the amount of ions adsorbed per specific amount of adsorbent (mg/g). The sorption capacity at time $t$ was calculated as follows:

$$q_t = (C_i - C_t) \times \frac{V}{m}$$

where $q_t$ is the amount of adsorbed strontium at time $t$ (mg/g); $V$ is the solution volume (L); $C_i$ denotes the initial concentration and $C_t$ indicates the concentration at time $t$ (mg/L); and $m$ is the adsorbent weight (g). The adsorption capacity at state of equilibrium, $q_e$, was given as the following (Equation (3)):

$$q_e = (C_i - C_e) \times \frac{V}{m}$$

where $C_e$ (mg/L) is the concentration of strontium at equilibrium.

2. 4. Synthesis of Sodalite Zeolite

Sodalite nano zeolite was provided by hydrothermal crystallization method using sodium metasilicate (Na$_2$O·Si·5H$_2$O) and sodium aluminate (NaAlO$_2$) as a silica and aluminum sources, respectively. In a standard synthesis, solution A was prepared by dissolving 18.235 g Na$_2$O·Si·5H$_2$O (43 % H$_2$O, 29 % Na$_2$O, 28 % SiO$_2$) in 8 mL double distilled water at 80 °C. Also, solution B was prepared by dissolving 3.097 g NaOH in 12 mL double distilled water. The solution was used for solving 1.837 g of NaAlO$_2$. Then, solution A was added to solution B drop wise under vigorous stirring and kept under stirring for 1 h before hydrothermicity is achieved. The molar composition of the above reactants was as follows: 1.0 Al$_2$O$_3$:3.8 SiO$_2$:2.1 Na$_2$O·50 H$_2$O. Subsequently, the above gel was transferred to Teflon-lined stainless steel autoclaves and heated at 100 °C for 20 h under static condition. At the end of the process, the product was separated via centrifuge (5,000 rpm), washed several times with double distilled water until the pH value of the solution was about 8.0 and dried overnight at 80 °C.

2. 5. Acid Treated Zeolite

Five grams of sodalite zeolite was stirred in 100 mL of 0.06 N H$_2$SO$_4$ at 87 °C for 2 h. The solid was washed with deionized water several times, and dried at 110°C overnight [23].

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

3. 1. Characterization of Sodalite Zeolite

The characterization of synthesized sodalite zeolites was done using XRD, SEM and FTIR. The XRD powder pattern of synthesized sodalite nanozeolite is presented in Figure 1[24]. The crystallization products matched the characteristic peaks of sodalite nanozeolite at 2θ values of 14.1°, 20.1°, 22.4°, 24.5°, 27.7°, 31.9°, 34.9°, 37.9°, 43.1°, 45.7° and 48.1° that were reported by Treacy and Higgins [25], suggesting successful synthesis of sodalite nanozeolite with good crystallinity. This result is in agreement with previous works [10, 26]. Figure 2 shows the morphology of synthesized zeolite. It can be seen from the figure that the morphology of synthesized sodalite zeolite has a spherical structure and its mono dispersed particle size equals 40-70 nm. The sodalite structure has come under scrutiny by means of the FTIR technique as shown in Figure 3. The band located at 719.3 cm$^{-1}$ matching the vibration of Al–O fragment and the strong broad band at 989.3 cm$^{-1}$ is related to the T–O band ($T$ = silica or aluminum) and its sharpness is indicative of an appropriate crystallization of the zeolitic product [27]. The peak at 1648.8 cm$^{-1}$ is related to the bending vibration of free water. The strong broad band at 3400-3700 cm$^{-1}$ (centered at 3513 cm$^{-1}$) indicates the stretching of water molecules adsorbed on OH groups [28, 29].
3. 2. Effect of pH on Strontium Sorption: Experiments were carried out with 100 mL of a 50 mg/L strontium solution containing 0.25 g of the sorbent at different pHs in the range of 2–8 at 20 °C to study the effect of initial pH on the removal efficiency (Figure 4). As can be seen from Figure 4, increasing the pH leads to an increase in the strontium adsorption amount. This increase gradually reached a maximum value at pH 6 and then decreased. It is also evident that the zeolite structures are affected by mineral acids. Within the zeolite framework, the Si-O-Al is weaker than Si-O-Si and can easily be attached by H+ ions that affect the zeolite structure. This shortcoming is more evident in the case of zeolites with low Si/Al ratios such as zeolite A, X types and sodalite zeolite [30]. The acids pH has an effect on the extent of damage imposed on their structure. The zeolites structures especially those with low Si/Al ratios may collapse in the presence of acids with pH lower than 5.0 but the severity could be much lower than a pH value of 3.0. As a matter of fact, pH above 5.0 is recommended for zeolites [31]. Thus, all future sorption experiments in this research were conducted at initial pH value of 6.0.

3. 3. Effect of Contact Time: The effect of contact time on the sorption of strontium was studied by acid treated zeolite (0.25 g in 100 mL) with initial strontium concentration of 50 mg/L, pH 6 and temperature of 20 °C (Figure 5). It is clear that the amount of strontium sorption increased by every increase in contact time. Under the above conditions, Figure 4b shows that the adsorption amount reaches the maximum value after 80 min and a subsequent little change of sorption occurs (i.e., remains constant thereafter).

3. 4. Kinetics of Sorption: Most of adsorption processes in various solid phases depend on the time element. Knowledge of the kinetics of these processes is important to understand the dynamic interactions of strontium with acid treated zeolite and predict the rate of adsorption with time [32, 33]. Some kinetic models, i.e Morris–Weber, Lagergren and pseudo second order models were used for their validity with the experimental adsorption data for the adsorption of strontium onto acid treated zeolite. These models were supposed to offer no mass transfer (both external and internal external) resistance to the overall adsorption process. A study was conducted on the adsorption kinetics and the findings suggest that these rates along with the solute uptake rate control the residence time of adsorbate uptake at the solid–solution interface including the diffusion process [33, 34]. The kinetic data of strontium sorption onto acid treated zeolite was used in Morris–Weber (Equation (4)) to examine the change in the concentration of sorbate onto sorbent with contact time [35]:

$$q_t = \frac{K_w}{2.303t} + q_e$$  \hspace{1cm} (4)

where $q_t$ is the sorbed concentration of strontium at time ‘t’. The Morris-weber transport ($K_w$) rate constant value is calculated from the slope of the linear plot of this graph ($K_w = 2.2227$ min$^{-1}$) with a correlation factor of 0.9501. The result of the Morris-weber equation is presented in Table 1.

In Lagergren [36, 37], a pseudo-first-order equation was suggested for the sorption of liquid/solid system based on solid capacity. It is assumed in this equation that the rate of change of sorbate uptake with time is proportionate to the difference in the saturation concentration and the amount of solid uptake with time. The Lagergren equation is mostly used in liquid phase sorption. The general equation is given as:

$$\log(q_e - q_t) = \log q_e - \left(\frac{K}{2.303}\right)t$$  \hspace{1cm} (5)

where $q_e$ is the sorbed concentration at equilibrium and $K$ is the first order rate constant. The linear plot of $\log(q_e - q_t)$ against time ‘t’ reveals the applicability of the above equation for strontium sorption onto the sodalite zeolite. The rate constant $K = 0.0573$ min$^{-1}$ was calculated from the slope of the straight line using the correlation factor of 0.8264. The result of the Lagergren equation is given in Table 1.

The sorption of strontium onto acid treated zeolite following pseudo-second-order kinetics is expressed as Equation (6):

$$\frac{t}{q_t} = \frac{1}{k_2q_e^2} + \frac{t}{q_e}$$  \hspace{1cm} (6)

where $q_t$ denotes the amount of strontium adsorbed at time ‘t’ and $q_e$ shows that amount at equilibrium (mg/g). Also, $k_2$ (g/mg.min) is the pseudo-second-order rate constant for the adsorption process. There are four
different forms of linearization for this equation. The different linear forms of the pseudo second-order equation are presented in Table 1.

It can be understood from the results that the adsorption of strontium by acid treated zeolite will be fit using types (1-4) pseudo second-order model. Results suggested that type 2 equation offers the best correlation factor. The kinetic data showed that the adsorption process was controlled by pseudo-second-order (type 2) equation. Based on the above assumption, the strontium uptake process occurs due to chemisorptions. The fact that the rate-limiting step might be chemisorption involving valence forces through sharing or exchanging of electrons between adsorbent and adsorbate forms the assumption of pseudo-second order kinetic model. The strontium molecules behavior in system is strongly dependent upon the concentration and the properties of other species, pH of the solution, physical and chemical properties of both the adsorbent and adsorbate. The adsorption kinetic form and its related coefficients in the system were influenced by both the interaction and competition effects among the strontium [38].

3. 5. Effect of Adsorbent Dosage The effect of acid treated zeolite dose was studied at the dose between 0.05 and 0.3 g in 100 mL aqueous solution. The experiments were performed at 20°C, pH 6 and the initial strontium concentration of 50 mg/L. It was found out that increasing the zeolite dose increases the amount of adsorbed strontium (Figure 6). Shortly after, it was discovered that the availability of larger surface area and more adsorption sites increases with every increase in the adsorbent dose. Increasing the zeolite at higher concentrations of sorbate did not cause the equilibrium uptake to increase significantly.

3. 6. Effect of Initial Concentration of Strontium The effect of initial strontium concentration on adsorption was studied at concentrations ranging from 50 mg/L to 150 mg/L, with 0.25, 80, 6 of adsorbent dose, contact time and pH, respectively at ambient temperature (20°C) (Figure 7). Results suggested that the percentage removal of strontium decreased from 90.18% to 42.23% when the initial concentration increased from 50-150 mg/L. It was found out that there is a reduction in strontium adsorption due to the lack of available active sites needed for the high initial concentration of strontium. The higher uptake of strontium at low concentration may be ascribed to the availability of more active sites on the surface of the adsorbent for lesser number of adsorbate species. In order to fit different isotherm models, i.e., Langmuir, Freundlich, Temkin and Dubinin-Randkovich (D-R), the data of Figure 7 were used.

![Figure 6. The effect of amount of adsorbent on the removal efficiency](image)

![Figure 7. The effect of initial concentration on the removal efficiency](image)

| TABLE 1. Kinetic constants for strontium adsorption |
|----------------------------------------|----------|-------|
| Morris-Weber equation | \( K_w \) (min\(^{-1}\)) | \( R^2 \) |
| Pseudo-first order kinetic model | \( K \) (min\(^{-1}\)) | \( q_e \) (mg/g) | \( R^2 \) |
| Pseudo-second order kinetic model | &lt; Type 1 &gt; | &lt; Type 2 &gt; | &lt; Type 3 &gt; | &lt; Type 4 &gt; |
| &lt; Type 1 equation &gt; | \( k_1 \) (g/mg.min) | \( q_e \) (mg/g) | &lt; Type 2 equation &gt; | \( k_2q_e \) (mg/g) | &lt; Type 3 equation &gt; | \( k_2q_e \) (mg/g) | &lt; Type 4 equation &gt; | \( k_3q_e \) (mg/g) |
| &lt; Type 2 equation &gt; | \( k_1 \) (g/mg.min) | \( q_e \) (mg/g) | &lt; Type 3 equation &gt; | \( k_2q_e \) (mg/g) | &lt; Type 4 equation &gt; | \( k_3q_e \) (mg/g) |
| &lt; Type 3 equation &gt; | \( k_1 \) (g/mg.min) | \( q_e \) (mg/g) | &lt; Type 4 equation &gt; | \( k_2q_e \) (mg/g) |
| &lt; Type 4 equation &gt; | \( k_1 \) (g/mg.min) | \( q_e \) (mg/g) |

3. 7. The Isotherm Model The assumption that every adsorption site is equal and independent of adjacent sites occupation or lack of occupation is the ground on which the adsorption isotherm is based [39]. The relationship between strontium concentrations in solution can be easily understood from the presence of isotherms and the amount of strontium sorbed on a specific sorbent at a constant temperature.

3. 7. 1. The Langmuir Isotherm Model In this model, the assumption is that the adsorption occurs in a monolayer and the absorption sites located on the surface of the adsorbent are uniform and all of them
have the same absorbing capacity. This isotherm model is often suggested in the form of Equation (7) [40-43]:

$$q_e = \frac{q_m K_F C_e}{1 + K_F C_e}$$  \hspace{1cm} (7)

where $q_e$ denotes the strontium adsorbed per specific amount of adsorbent; $C_e$ is the concentration of the strontium solution (mg/L) at equilibrium and $q_m$ indicates the maximum amount of adsorption strontium (mg g$^{-1}$). The rearrangement of the Langmuir equation leads to various linear types which are presented in Table 2. Compared to the other types, the best match was obtained by the Langmuir type 1. Regarding the dimensionless constant separation factor or equilibrium parameter $R_L$, the basic features and practicality of the Langmuir isotherm are defined as Equation (8) [44]:

$$R_L = \frac{1}{1 + K_F C_i}$$  \hspace{1cm} (8)

where $K_F$ is the Langmuir constant and $C_i$ the initial concentration of strontium. The desired value of absorption is specified somewhere between 0 and 1.

3. 7. 2. The Freundlich Isotherm Model The Langmuir isotherm is based on the assumption that the enthalpy of adsorption is independent of the amount adsorbed while the empirical Freundlich equation is based on sorption on heterogeneous surface that can be obtained assuming a logarithmic decrease in the enthalpy of adsorption with the increase in the fraction of occupied sites. Based on sorption on heterogeneous surface, the Freundlich equation is completely empirical and is expressed by Equation (9) [44]:

$$q_e = K_F C_e^{1/n}$$  \hspace{1cm} (9)

where $K_F$ and $(1/n)$ are the Freundlich constants corresponding to the adsorption capacity and adsorption intensity, respectively. The equilibrium constants obtained from the intercept and the slope of the linear plot of log $q_e$ versus log $C_e$ are based on the experimental data. In logarithmic terms, the Freundlich equation can be linearized as Equation (10) to determine the Freundlich constants:

$$\log(q_e) = \log(K_F) + \frac{1}{n}\log(C_e)$$  \hspace{1cm} (10)

The slope and the intercept are related to $(1/n)$ and $K_F$, respectively. It was found that the plot of log $q_e$ vs. log $C_e$ gives a straight line. Results are given in Table 2.

3. 7. 3. The Temkin Isotherm Model There is a factor in the isotherm model that provides the possibility of including the interactions between adsorbents and adsorbates. Temkin model includes the following conditions: (i) the adsorption heat of all molecules present in the layer linearly decreases with the coverage due to the adsorbent-adsorbate interactions; (ii) to characterize the adsorption, a uniform distribution of binding energies, up to the maximum binding energy, is used. The Temkin isotherm suggests that the decrease in the heat of adsorption is more linear than the logarithmic form as already expressed implicitly by the Freundlich equation. The Temkin isotherm is commonly used in the form of the following equation [45]:

$$q_e = \frac{B}{1 + K_T C_e}$$  \hspace{1cm} (11)

where $B = (RT / A_T)$ and $K_T$ is the Temkin constant.

The above equation is often rearranged in a linear form given below to simplify plotting and calculation of Temkin constant. The $B$ and $K_T$ values are calculated using the linear plot of $q_e$ against $\ln(C_e)$.

$$q_e = B\ln(K_T + B\ln C_e)$$  \hspace{1cm} (12)

The linearized form of the Temkin adsorption isotherm (Equation (12)) was employed to analyze the equilibrium data given in Table 2.

3. 7. 4. The Dubinin–Radushkevich Isotherm To determine the nature of the adsorption process namely physisorption and/or chemisorption, the Dubinin Radushkevich (D–R) [46-48] isotherm was used. The linear form of this model is given as the following equation:

$$\ln(q_e) = \ln(q_m) - \beta e^2$$  \hspace{1cm} (13)

where $q_e$ is the amount of strontium adsorbed per unit dosage of the adsorbent (mg/g); $q_m$ denotes the monolayer capacity; $\beta$ indicates the activity coefficient related to the mean sorption energy and finally $\epsilon$ is the Polanyi potential expressed as:

$$\epsilon = RT\ln(1 + \frac{1}{C_e^2})$$  \hspace{1cm} (14)

The values of $\beta$ and $q_m$ were determined from the slope and intercept of the linear plot using the plots of $\ln q_e$ versus $\epsilon^2$. Table 2 presents the statistical results together with the isotherm constants. Results suggest that the strontium adsorption by acid treated zeolite can be matched using the Langmuir equation. Also, the D–R equation suggests there is a considerable correlation factor. It can be understood from the D–R isotherm that the heterogeneity of energies is close to the adsorbent surface. The quantity is related to the mean sorption energy, $E$, which is the free energy for the transfer of 1 mole of strontium from the infinity to the surface of the adsorbent [49]. The following equation shows how the mean free energy of adsorption ($E$, kJ/mol) is calculated [46, 50, 51]:

$$E = (2 \beta)^{-0.5}$$  \hspace{1cm} (15)

It is clear that we can use the $E$ magnitude to estimate the adsorption type. If this value goes below 8 kJ/mol, the adsorption type is expressed by the physical adsorption and between 8 and 16 kJ/mol the adsorption...
type can be explained by ion exchange. In this study, the $E$ values were below 8 kJ/mol. This reveals that the adsorption of strontium onto zeolite was in fact a physical adsorption [33, 51, 52].

TABLE 2. Isotherm constants for strontium adsorption

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type</th>
<th>$K_L$ (min$^{-1}$)</th>
<th>$q_o$ (mg/g)</th>
<th>$R^2$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Type 1</td>
<td>0.4128</td>
<td>27.10</td>
<td>0.9998</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Type 2</td>
<td>0.4394</td>
<td>27.027</td>
<td>0.9756</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Type 3</td>
<td>0.4499</td>
<td>26.96</td>
<td>0.9661</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Type 4</td>
<td>0.4346</td>
<td>27.057</td>
<td>0.9661</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Freundlich equation $K (min^{-1}) = 16.4627 \times 7.8642 \times 0.8863$

Temkin equation $K_T = 413.208 \times 2.5885 \times 0.9181$

D-R equation $\beta = 2 \times 10^{-6} \times 25.6 \times 0.9372$

TABLE 3. The effect of temperature on the removal efficiency.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Temperature (°C)</th>
<th>Removal efficiency of strontium (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>90.22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35</td>
<td>92.69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50</td>
<td>95.36</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

TABLE 4. Thermodynamic parameter for adsorption

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$\Delta H (kJ/mol)$</th>
<th>$\Delta S (kJ/mol)$</th>
<th>$T (°C)$</th>
<th>$\Delta G (kJ/mol)$</th>
<th>$R^2$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>20.916</td>
<td>0.089</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>-5.4153</td>
<td>0.9079</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>35</td>
<td>-6.5074</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>50</td>
<td>-8.1216</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3. 8. Effect of Temperature

The adsorption studies were conducted at 20–50 °C, pH 6 and an adsorbent dosage of 0.25 g in a 100 mL solution to examine the thermodynamics of adsorption. The equilibrium contact time for adsorption was kept constant at 80 min. The adsorption percentage increased with the rise in temperature from 20 to 50 °C. Results suggested that the adsorption process had an endothermic nature. Table 3 explains the effect of temperature on the removal efficiency. To determine the changes in Gibbs free energy ($\Delta G$), heat of adsorption ($\Delta H$) and entropy ($\Delta S$) of the adsorption of strontium from aqueous solutions, the data given in Table 3 were used.

3. 9. Effect of Temperature on Thermodynamics

Different thermodynamic parameters such as the enthalpy change $\Delta H$, free energy change $\Delta G$ and entropy change $\Delta S$ were calculated using Equations (16) to (18) in order to study the thermodynamics of adsorption on zeolite. Table 4 presents these parameters values. Using the following equations, the thermodynamic parameters $\Delta H$, $\Delta S$ and $\Delta G$ for strontium on zeolite system were calculated as follows:

$$K_c = \frac{q_o}{1 - F_s} \quad (16)$$

$$\log K_c = \frac{-\Delta H}{2.303RT} + \frac{\Delta S}{2.303R} \quad (17)$$

$$\Delta G = -RT \ln K_c \quad (18)$$

where $F_s$ is the fraction of strontium sorbed at equilibrium. The values of these parameters are given in Table 4. It shows that the enthalpy change $\Delta H$ is positive (endothermic) because of the increase in adsorption on successive increase in temperature. The negative $\Delta G$ values revealed that the sorption is thermodynamically feasible and spontaneous. The positive value of $\Delta S$ indicates the increased randomness at the solid–solution interface during the fixation of the ion on the active sites of the sorbent.

4. CONCLUSION

In the synthesis experiments with NaOH solution sodalite zeolite crystals were successfully synthesized. Results indicated that the acid treated sodalite zeolite had considerable potential for the removal of strontium from aqueous solutions. The conditions of sorption were found to be: a sorbent dose of 0.25 g in 100 mL of solution, contact time and pH 80 min and 6, respectively. The kinetic data shows that the adsorption process is controlled by pseudo- second order kinetic model (type 2). The results obtained from this study were given by the theoretical Langmuir (type 1). Thermodynamic studies were indicative of a negative $\Delta G$ and positive $\Delta S$ and $\Delta H$. Results showed that the sorption had an endothermic nature. The negative $\Delta G$ values suggested that the sorption had a thermodynamically feasible and spontaneous nature. The positive value of $\Delta S$ revealed the increased randomness at the solid–solution interface during the fixation of the ion on the sites of the sorbent.
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In this investigation, a new sodalite zeolite was synthesized and its structure was analyzed using XRD, SEM, FTIR and XRD. Adsorption of Sr by the new synthesized Sodalite zeolite was studied. The experiments were carried out at different pH, time, temperature and Sr concentration in solution. The Langmuir, Freundlich, Dabir, and Radushkevich isotherm models were used to investigate the Sr adsorption. The obtained results revealed that the adsorption process is endothermic and spontaneous and the maximum adsorption capacity of Sr was 52.0 mg/g. The pseudo-second-order kinetic model was found to be the best model for Sr adsorption. The thermodynamic parameters ΔG, ΔH, and ΔS were calculated and the negative values for ΔG indicated the spontaneous nature of the Sr adsorption process. The obtained results demonstrated that the synthesized Sodalite zeolite is an effective and promising material for Sr adsorption from aqueous solutions.