X-ray Diffraction and SEM Studies on the Effect of Temperature on the Formation of Main Phase Sr$_2$MgSi$_2$O$_7$ Using a Wet and Dry Method for its Preparation
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ABSTRACT: A pure Silicate host namely Sr$_2$MgSi$_2$O$_7$ as a support for a long-lasting afterglow when dopped with rare-earth elements was sought after. To this end the process of obtaining firing temperatures of the above mentioned phase in normal condition was carried out using suggested wet and dry methods. The degree of purity obtained in these preparatory methods as well as the different firing temperatures were studied by the aid of SEM and XRD techniques. It was found that for the wet method there exists a temperature beyond which the amount of impurity remained constant. There also existed a temperature at which both the wet and dry methods gave the same amount of impurity. Beyond this temperature the wet method would be preferred.
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INTRODUCTION
Most phosphors are composed of a transparent microcrystalline host (i.e. the matrix) together with an activator (i.e. small amounts of intentionally added impurity atoms distributed within the host’s crystal structure).

The luminescence process therefore is subdivided into processes mainly related to the host and processes occurring around and within the activator. In recent decades, appropriate supports (i.e. superior hosts) giving different and special optical properties upon treatment...
with rare earth ions have interested researchers [1,3]. Alkaline earth silicates are useful luminescent hosts since they have stable crystal structure, high physical and chemical stability. They have also excellent weather resistance and exhibit blue to green emission under excitation with ultraviolet rays and/or visible light rays [6,7]. There are many investigations on rare earth ion activation of these hosts [8,9]. Hase has suggested that the main phase Sr$_2$MgSi$_2$O$_7$ can potentially act as an appropriate support for such purposes [2,4].

Generally, the afterglow and the colour spectrum are related to the treatment of such hosts with rare earth dopants. In the present study the attainment of a pure host using different preparation methods was studied.

**EXPERIMENTAL**

**Materials**

The starting materials were of ANALAR grade and were as follows: SiO$_2$, SrCO$_3$, 4MgCO$_3$. Mg(OH)$_2$. 5H$_2$O, Sr(NO$_3$)$_2$, C$_2$H$_2$O$_4$. 2H$_2$O, and twice distilled water.

**Procedure**

Two different procedures for obtaining the main phase were carried out namely the dry and the wet techniques.

In the dry method the starting materials were milled for around 6 hours using a fast mill and maintained for further processing. In the wet method, Sr(NO$_3$)$_2$ and C$_2$H$_2$O$_4$. 2H$_2$O were separately dissolved in distilled water. They were then added together upon which a solid phase was instantaneously precipitated within the reaction media. The precipitate was separated from the aqueous phase purified and dried in an oven. The resulting powder was fast milled for approximately 6 hours and maintained for further treatments. The resulting powders from the dry and wet methods were further homogenised by the aid of a vibration mixer.

**Characterization**

A scanning electron microscope (ie SEM-Cambridge Stereo Scan 360) was used to study the morphology of the main host Sr$_2$MgSi$_2$O$_7$. An X-ray diffraction instrument (ie XRD - Siemens D-500) was also used in order to study the presence of different phases in the main structure.

**RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

**Wet Method**

XRD spectra of host phase of sintered samples at different temperatures (i.e. 1220, 1300 and 1350°C) are given in Fig. 1.

Based on the XRD reference cards (15-161CPDS-ICDD) for host phase Sr$_2$MgSi$_2$O$_7$ and (10-75JPCDS-ICDD) for the impurity phase MgSr$_3$Si$_2$O$_8$ the maximum observed intensity for the impurity phase is at d=2.734 ± 0.012 A°. It is seen that by increasing the temperature from 1220 °C to 1350 °C, the impurity disappeared from the host phase. The SEM data shown in Fig. 2 gives secondary and backscattering photos of the same location in the host phase.

For the firing temperature of 1350 °C the backscattering photos of SEM show that there exist only one homogeneous layer, evident of the existence of only one phase, (ie the host phase) in the system confirming the XRD data. In this way therefore it is possible to remove impurities from the host phase giving a pure host phase.

**Dry Method**

As it is discussed somewhere else [5] Similar XRD spectra for samples obtained from the dry method sintered at different temperatures are also given in Fig. 1. The maximum intensity for the impurity phase being again at d= 2.734 ± 0.003 A° for the dry method. Again increasing the temperature to 1350 °C has made the impurity to vanish, the SEM photos shown in Fig. 3 once more confirms the XRD data.

**Comparison of the wet and dry methods**

Comparing the results for the two methods show that the quantity of impurity obtained in the dry method is less than that obtained in the wet method. This is evident from their peak intensity I=500 at d=2.733 for the dry compared to I=800 at d=2.746 for the wet method both at 1220°C firing temperature as shown in Fig. 4.

The SEM data for the host phase also confirms that the quantity of impurity as shown by the bright regions in the backscattering is more in the case of the wet method as compared to the dry method. It is also evident that the particles sizes are smaller in the dry method (i.e. <5µ) than in the wet method (i.e. <10µ). Fig. 5 shows the
Fig. 1-1-a: X-Ray Diffraction profiles of host phase in wet method at 1220 °C.

Fig. 1-1-b: X-Ray Diffraction profiles of host phase in dry method at 1220 °C.

Fig. 1-2-a: X-Ray Diffraction profiles of host phase in wet method at 1300 °C.

Fig. 1-2-b: X-Ray Diffraction profiles of host phase in dry method at 1300 °C.

Fig. 1-3-a: X-Ray Diffraction profiles of host phase in wet method at 1350 °C.

Fig. 1-3-b: X-Ray Diffraction profiles of host phase in dry method at 1350 °C.
Fig. 2: Secondary and Back scattering Scanning Electron Micrograph of host phase in the wet method (a) 1220 °C (b) 1350 °C.

Fig. 3: Secondary and Back scattering Scanning Electron Micrograph of host phase in the dry method (a) 1220 °C (b) 1350 °C.
Fig. 4: X-Ray Diffraction profiles of host phase in 1220 °C (a) wet method (b) dry method.

Fig. 5: X-Ray Intensity as a function of temperature.

CONCLUSION

The effect of temperature on the formation of the main phase (i.e. Sr₂MgSi₂O₇) of a phosphorescent compound (i.e. Sr₂MgSi₂O₇: Eu,Dy) were studied. Wet and the dry method was used, both leading to the same solid host phase. XRD and SEM studies were carried out to obtain the relative advantages of the two methods. These studies showed that for the wet method there exists a temperature beyond which the amount of impurity remains constant. For the dry method there also exists a temperature beyond which the amount of impurity increases. There also exists a temperature (i.e. the balance temperature) at which both the wet and the dry methods give the same quantity of impurity. It could be concluded that below the balance temperature it may be better to...
make use of the dry method, however above the balance temperature preferential use of the wet method would be advantageous.
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