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Abstract

Background and Objectives: Autism is the most well-known disease that occurs in any age people. There is an increasing concern in appealing machine learning techniques to diagnose these incurable conditions. But, the poor quality of most datasets contains the production of efficient models for the forecast of autism. The lack of suitable pre-processing methods outline inaccurate and unstable results. For diagnosing the disease, the technique handled to improve the classification performance yielded better results, and other computerized technologies were applied.

Methods: An effective and high performance model was introduced to address pre-processing problems such as missing values and outliers. Several base classifiers applied on a well-known autism data set in the classification stage. Among many alternatives, we remarked that combine replacement with the mean and improvement selection with Random Forest and Decision Tree technologies provide our obtained highest results.

Results: The best-obtained accuracy, precision, recall, and F-Measure values of the MVO-Autism suggested model were the same, and equal 100% outperforms its counterparts.

Conclusion: The obtained results reveal that the suggested model can increase classification performance in terms of evaluation metrics. The results an evidence that the MVO-Autism model outperforms its counterparts. The reason is that this model overcomes both problems.

©2022 JECEI. All rights reserved.
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Introduction

Autism diagnosis was a challenging problem in all of the world. It is a pervasive neurodevelopmental disease with signs of lack of social interaction and interchange. Autism Spectrum Disorder (ASD) is a neurodevelopmental disease connected with high health care expenses. Regrettably, long-term diagnostic cause to methods is not cost-effective. The financial influence of this disease has revealed an urgent need to develop easy-to-implement and effective screening methods for autism spectrum datasets. However, these data sets are scarcely getting it hard to achieve comprehensive analyzes to increase the effectiveness, sensibility, etc. The effects of autism include a person’s abilities in socialization, produces repeated behaviors, and influences expressionism or verbalism communicating with disorders that range from easy to strict [1]. Autism signs are most noticeable and clear to recognize in kids with two or three years old. In [2], authors show that 1 in 68 teenagers has autism. Then, the current authors
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worked on a dataset related to screening autism for adults and improving the classification of autism cases. The current authors also reprocessed this data set through the reprocessing method using missing values are replaced using mean and detect outlier with k-nearest neighbor (KNN) method.

The development of studies reveals that children with autism disease have a lowered motive to visit social incentives; also decreased awareness to presentations of people [3]-[5]. These investigations are oriented to promote the social motive theory of autism to reduce time wasted visiting people [4]-[7]. Newly, many studies purpose to improve machine learning systems for the diagnosis of autism. With growing of these studies, the impact of data property for saving vital information matures necessary.

The vital challenges for the autism dataset are of concern: the classification performance in terms of accuracy, to maximize the robustness of disease investigation, a lack of possible dataset, and speedy detection of autism [8]-[10]. Our offered work is an adequate model to address the first challenge.

In this article, we revealed that a proper pre-processing can determines a good prediction performance. For this prediction, the pre-processing techniques can enhance the data property and obtained more reliable results.

Many researchers investigated to predict autism disease newly. But a few studies used extensive preprocessing stages.

Hence, we applied a combination of missing value replacement and outlier detections. In the next paper, we use ensembles and heuristic methods in this context [11]-[13]. Here, the current authors studied the impact of preprocessing stages through machine learning techniques on autism disease in an iterative manner. In the first stage, missing values are identified and replaced through the mean. Then, we detected outliers through the KNN method. In the second step, we apply six algorithms for the classification stage to improve the classification performance. Our model classified performing supervised learning approaches such as a binary class Support Vector Machines (SVM), Feed-Forward Neural Network (NN), Random Forest (RF), Naive Bayes (NB), and Decision Tree (DT) [14].

The Innovations of this research are of concern:

- Proposing a method for addressing the missing values and outlier challenges.
- Offering a high-performance model for classification tasks using base methods on the autism dataset.

The paper is designed as following: After a review of the related works, the proposed model is shown in and estimated by the experiments. Eventually, the paper offers a conclusion.

### Related Work

In this section, we review the most number of works for advancing the better performance of autism diagnosis. Most of these works concentrated principally on promoting classification performance through modifying the design of the classifier.

Here, the works that focus on discussions and answer conversations of autism patients are of concern:

In 2020, authors [15] designed an intelligent agent to communicate with children with autism in the face of violent extremism through generating vital characteristics. The obtained results showed two points: 1) having self-report conclusions, and 2) produce significant characteristics to estimate interaction abilities as evidenced through the good enough accuracy of these areas. The authors observed that ICON2 has fit to begin discussions and answer conversation associates in the first work. ICON2 produced 82.93% initiation and 89.33% proper responses. Their result is similar to other operators with conversational skills intended for TD personnel [16]-[18]. The accuracy values of SVM obtained 67.47% and 69.10% for Experiments I and II, respectively. In 2017, author [19] proposed a model to consistently identify the actions of a child with autism disease presenting repeated action through audio/video sensors. As it showed results as shown in the table below, Table 1. This model is applied to recognize hand/arm movements. The author’s investigation revealed that the repeated models described signs of tension or emotion. They demonstrated that both the wrist-based accelerometer and the sound sensor provide self-stimulating ways [20].

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Exercises</th>
<th>$S_1$</th>
<th>$S_2$</th>
<th>$S_3$</th>
<th>$S_4$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>The first activities, Flap</td>
<td>96%</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>91%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The second activities, Drum</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>95%</td>
<td>–</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The thirds activities, Punch</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>84%</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: $S$:Self-stimulatory pattern

Here, the next content in related work is about works in ML area are of concern:

In 2015, the authors [21] presented a study to show a relationship between therapy power and time for supervision. They found age and gender to master training results specific to children’s ABA systems on the spectrum. The methods used here are incorporated into the clinical.

The preparation also indicates the influence of ML and AI in this area. They randomly divided their data as
follows: 65% for training and 30% for testing, and 5% for validation. They used a backpropagation network with Bayesian adjustment [22].

Table 2 summarizes a comparison among our proposed model and its counterparts.

Table 2: The comparison among the existing works and our model on the autism datasets

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Works</th>
<th>Method</th>
<th>P</th>
<th>R</th>
<th>A</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Jiao et al. 2009</td>
<td>Logistic model tree</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>87</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zhang et al. 2020</td>
<td>Intelligent agent, Game</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>89.68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maenner et al. 2016</td>
<td>RF</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>84.5</td>
<td>86.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Liu et al. 2016</td>
<td>ML</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>93.10</td>
<td>88.51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Altay and Ulas 2018</td>
<td>LDA</td>
<td>86.96</td>
<td>95.24</td>
<td>90.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Altay and Ulas 2018</td>
<td>KNN</td>
<td>86.96</td>
<td>81</td>
<td>88.51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bi et al. 2018</td>
<td>Random SVM Cluster</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>96.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anirudh and J. Thiangarajan 2018</td>
<td>Bootstrapping G-CNN</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>70.86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yulita et al. 2019</td>
<td>Regression</td>
<td>79.1</td>
<td>79.6</td>
<td>79.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yulita et al. 2019</td>
<td>Random Committee</td>
<td>87.5</td>
<td>87.7</td>
<td>87.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kazeminejad and sotero 2019</td>
<td>SVM</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cheng et al. 2019</td>
<td>SVM</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>92.70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kazeminejad and Sotero</td>
<td>SVM</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kong et al 2019</td>
<td>DNN</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>97.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Raj and Masood 2019</td>
<td>NB, SVM, LR, KNN, CNN</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>99.53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sherkatghanad et al 2020</td>
<td>CNN</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>70.22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MVO-Autism (proposed)</td>
<td>Base classifiers</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 2**: Comparison of existing works and the proposed model

Note: P=Precision, R=Recall, and A=Accuracy

In 2018, the authors [23] studied children aged four to 11 years diagnosed with the ASD classification method. The Linear Discriminant Analysis (LDA) in conjunction with the KNN algorithm is used in the classification stage. The authors reveal that the LDA provided a more favorable result than the KNN in terms of accuracy value. They achieved a value of F-measure 90.91% for the LDA and 89.13% for the KNN, respectively. Also, for LDA, a value of sensitivity 95.24%, a value of specificity as 86.67%, a value of precision of 86.96% were obtained, respectively. The value of the F-measure was 90.91%. For LDA and KNN, the accuracy values were 90.80% and 88.51%, as well. While the sensitivity value was 97.62%, the specificity value was 80%, the precision value was 82%, and the F-Measure value was 89.13%. The authors [23] studied several tools for distinguishing autism. In [24], the authors applied the classification method. Wenbo Liu et al. suggested a framework for the recognition of autism through these methods [25]. In [26], the Authors were studied by applying four ML methods like SVM, multilayer perceptron, and logistic trees. Also, SVM was applied for ASD investigation [27]. The obtained sensitivity and specificity values were 88% and 86%, respectively. The authors [28] suggested an Application that could be categorized based on ML techniques. The Regression and Stochastic Committee were applied as classifiers. The result revealed that the performance of the random committees was better than that regression. The performance of classification was higher than 85% in terms of evaluation metrics. Moreover, it performed the reconfiguration process to overwhelm the problems of class imbalance. It seems that the proposed model helped improve the performance of both classifiers. With solving the imbalance problem, the accuracy value was improved from 64.6% to 79.6% for regression and from 66.3% to 87.7% for the random committee. With sampling, the random committee obtained an improvement of about 8.1% for the performance of classification through regression. Also, the authors in [29] proposed a classification system using graph-based approach to diagnosis the ASD problem. They showed that graph neural networks can improve the performance of their system. They proposed a bootstrapping version of graph CNN (G-CNN). The highest accuracy of the system was 70.86%. Due to the less classification accuracy of single SVM, the authors in 2018, applied multiple SVMs to classify ASD problem. Their results showed the highest accuracy of 96.15% using random SVM cluster [30].

In 2019, the authors applied a deep NN (DNN) classifier to handle ASD diagnosis using classification task. They extracted connectivity features which ranked using f-score measurement. Their obtained results showed that the highest accuracy was 97.38% [31]. The authors investigate the effect of ML techniques such as NB, SVM, LR, KNN, NN, and CNN to predict Autism diagnosis using three ASD datasets. In a pre-processing, the authors removed all null values or normalized them to handle the missing values problem for the used datasets. They achieved the highest accuracies equal to 99.53, 98.30, and 96.88% for the three used datasets [32]. Cheng et al. in 2019 proposed a new diagnosis system for the ASD problem. They used new features
which these generate through SVM and the accuracy was 92.7% [33]. The authors applied ASD dataset to investigate the impacts of graph theoretical metrics through SVM. The best accuracy, sensitivity, and specificity were 95, 97, and 95%, respectively [34].

In 2020, the authors concentrated the automated detection of ASD through CNN. The suggested system classified the used dataset and an accuracy of 70.22% obtained [35].

As you have seen in the related work, missing value and outliers were effective in the data quality. Hence, we applied some methods to solve these problems. In this article, we used some methods for classification such as a combination of NN, KNN, DT, NB, SVM, and RF, which have proven successful and yielded the best results. After reviewing the related work, we affirmed that the preprocessing stage conjunction with a fit classifier is more reliable and points to higher results.

**Data Collection**

Here, we explain two used datasets related to autism disease. The autism datasets of the UCI ML repository were used.

The first applied dataset consists of 104 instances and 21 features. All Attributes contain Nominal and Numerical values. This dataset is learned from UCI Machine Learning Database Repository.

The second applied dataset is a new dataset related to autism disease. It included 20 features to be utilized for additional analysis especially in determining substantial autistic traits and developing the classification of ASD cases. In this dataset, ten behavioral features plus ten characteristics were recorded.

Two explanations included in the autism datasets are as follows:

1. Many limited datasets on autism-linked are open and generative. Therefore, we are working on a different dataset associated with autism for adults that has been downloaded from the UCI Machine Learning Database Repository, which contains 20 features, particularly in identifying influencing autism and improving the classification performance of autism.

2. In the mentioned dataset, ten behavioral characteristics (AQ-10-Adolescent) in conjunction with ten individuals’ features studies.

**The MVO-Autism Model**

The main weakness of the autism data set is the absence of quality. Besides, the data set yields a high volume of missing values and outliers. An extra problem is the need for a good classifier. To succeed in these circumstances, the proposed model, MVO-Autism, is of concern:

In the first stage of our model, we investigate assigning the best values to the missing values using a reprocessing method to replace the missing values using mean and detect outliers with KNN methods. The second solution defines the data reduction task. Subgroup selection techniques are used for the attribute optimization algorithm classification. The proposed model applied an SVM classifier for predicting autism. Also, we applied other methods such as RF, NN, NB, and DT. Experiments exhibit that the DT and the RF are two of the most reliable classifiers for diagnosing autism on the Autism dataset. It is why we choose them in this research. As for the rest of the methods used in this paper, NN and SVM, and NB methods also showed good enough results but less good than the two mentioned algorithms. Fig. 1 shows the stages of the proposed model.

![Fig. 1: The proposed MVO-Autism model.](image-url)
• Replace Missing Values through Mean
  Here, missing values are substituted using the mean. Hence, all missing attribute values will be replaced with the mean of all values for the particular characteristic. In some of the cases, this value can be approximately nearby to the actual value, and thus we will treat the data loss with this command [36].
  Note that other methods such as imputation, KNN, Average, and PCA were used, but the result was not improved.
• Detect Outlier through KNN
  Here, our model detects the outliers by specifying the KNN. The \( k \) is assigned to the number of neighbors, and this value is denoted by a number. Also, \( k \) equals five or \( k \) equals ten, as appropriate for our work. KNN technique substitutes outliers with a similar value from the closest tuple through Euclidean distance [36].

B. Classification Stage

In the classification stage, the actual packages are analyzed, and the headers are extracted. With header values, the data structure created during the preprocessing stages crossed to find the best matching base. Since the classification stage works in the data path, the classification speed is important. We applied six algorithms to improve the classification performance, including DT, NB, RF, NN, SVM, and KNN classifiers. Here, we explain the classifiers in detail.

• SVM
  The SVM is one of the popular base classifiers in ML techniques. In SVM classification, the separation purpose is formulated as a linear collection of kernels correlated with support vectors [37]-[27]. As you see, Fig. 2 presents what was indicated.

![SVM](image)

Fig. 2: The steps of the SVM working

• RF
  RFs are considered to be the best algorithm applied to this data. The obtained accuracy of it was 100%. Regression and other functions that work by producing multiple decision trees at training and outputting a layered class or average/average prediction for individual trees [38]-[39]. Random decision forests are true of the habit of DTs of over-allocation of their training set. Fig. 3 shows a schematic diagram of a random set of decisions.

![Random Forest](image)

Fig. 3: The training and testing phases in conjunction with voting in the RF classifier.

• NN
  NN. is a method that achieved significant results in a diversity of areas associated with pattern recognition, etc. The limitation of parameters in Artificial Neural Networks (ANNs) is the most advantageous regard for Convolutional Neural Networks (CNNs). This performance advised authors to move closer to resolve complicated tasks, which were not otherwise attainable with classic ANNs. A NN is a network consisting of neurons. The synthetic or contract data was used to improve the classification performance, and it showed satisfactory results [36].

• DT
  DT showed very high results and was classified as one of the best techniques used in this paper, with accuracy reaching 100%. DTs are simple yet effective classification algorithms. One of its main advantages is that it provides human-readable classification rules. DTs have several drawbacks, one of which is the need to sort all numerical features to determine where to split a node. This becomes expensive in terms of runtime and memory size, especially when DT is trained on big data [36].

• NB
  Due to simplicity in both the training and classification phases, the NB classifier has been widely used [10]. Several researchers have proven that it is effective enough to classify text in many areas [40]. The NB models recognize each characteristic to provide decision-making on an equal footing independently. This theorem presents a method of computing the following possibility, \( P(c | x) \), from \( P(c) \), \( P(x) \), and \( P(x | c) \). NB implies that the impact of predictor \( x \) on an addressed class \( c \) is autonomous of other predictors. This theory is named class qualified autonomous, (1).

\[
P(c | x) = \frac{P(x | c)P(c)}{P(x)} \tag{1}
\]

\[
P(x) = P(x1 | c) \times P(x2 | c) \times \ldots \times P(xn | c) \times P(c)
\]

where \( P(c | x) \) is the following possibility of the target,
P(c) is the previous possibility of the target, P(x|c) is the reasonableness which is the possibility of predictor assigned class, and P(x) is the previous possibility of predictor.

- **KNN**

  The KNN classifier exhibited high performance on large data sizes for example, such as near infinity, its error rate is almost as large as Bayes improvement under very moderate conditions. The KNN rating performance can be affected by some issues like k-value choice, distance metrics selection, etc. Recently, several technologies have been developed to succeed the problems. A KNN method can consolidate a confidence portion scale into the traditional KNN [41]-[43]. See KNN Classification in Fig. 4.

![Fig. 4: A classification model through the KNN with k = 5.](image)

**Results and Discussion**

For implementation, Rapid Miner 9th Software was employed to handle our experiments on the autism dataset. For building the proposed model, SVM, RF, KNN, NN, BN, and DT classifiers were applied. The experiments propose estimating the influence of our pre-processing. To evaluate the performance of classification, four important methods are used, namely accuracy, precision, recall, and measure. These formulas, (2), (3), (4), and (5) are of concern:

\[
\text{Accuracy} = \frac{TP + TN}{P + N} \quad (2)
\]

\[
\text{Precision} (Pr) = \frac{TP}{TP + FP} \quad (3)
\]

\[
\text{Recall} (Re) = \frac{TP}{TP + FN} \quad (4)
\]

\[
F - \text{Measure} = \frac{2 \times \text{Pr} \times \text{Rec}}{\text{Pr} + \text{Rec}} \quad (5)
\]

Note that TP=True positive, TN=True negative, FP=False positive, and FN=false negative.

Accuracy is a measurement of classification ends to the original values without regarding the class labels. Precision is a measurement of accuracy given that the subject class has been prophesied [40]. The recall is measured as the number of true positives arranged through the both number of true positives and false negatives. The F-Measure is a wide measurement that gives a method to join accuracy and recall into an individual scale to arrests both parts [36]. Table 3 and Table 4 present the comparative results in terms of evaluation metrics.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Classifier</th>
<th>Positive Precision</th>
<th>Negative Precision</th>
<th>Positive Recall</th>
<th>Negative Recall</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Dataset 1</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SVM</td>
<td>86.36</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KNN</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>91.67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RF</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NB</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>92.31</td>
<td>94.74</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NN</td>
<td>94.12</td>
<td>78.57</td>
<td>84.21</td>
<td>91.67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DT</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Dataset 2</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SVM</td>
<td>77.78</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>73.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KNN</td>
<td>91.11</td>
<td>97.62</td>
<td>97.62</td>
<td>91.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RF</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NB</td>
<td>90.70</td>
<td>93.18</td>
<td>92.86</td>
<td>91.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NN</td>
<td>86.96</td>
<td>95.12</td>
<td>95.24</td>
<td>86.67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DT</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Classifier</th>
<th>Accuracy</th>
<th>F-Measure</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Dataset 1</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Support Vector</td>
<td>90.32</td>
<td>90.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Machine</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K-nearest neighbor</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Random Forest</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Naïve Bayes</td>
<td>96.77</td>
<td>96.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neural Network</td>
<td>87.10</td>
<td>87.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Decision Tree</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Dataset 2</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Support Vector</td>
<td>86.21</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Machine</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K-nearest neighbor</td>
<td>94.25</td>
<td>97.62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Random Forest</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Naïve Bayes</td>
<td>91.95</td>
<td>93.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neural Network</td>
<td>90.80</td>
<td>95.18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Decision Tree</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 3 presents the obtained results for solving missing values through mean, an outlier through KNN in terms of precision and recall. The obtained results revealed that the DT and RF are the best. When the pre-treatment stage is not performed, the performance of the classification is more moderate than that of cases which applied namely, DT, NN, and NP, RF, and SVM. As you can see, Table 3 exhibits the achieved results for resolving missing values and outliers in terms of precision and recall. Table 4 displays the obtained results for solving missing values and outliers in terms of accuracy and F-Measure. The achieved results confirmed that the DT algorithm and RF algorithm give the best results. The best results of the accuracy for the DT algorithm reached 100% and precision of 100%. Also, the RF algorithm gets accuracy 100% and precision of 100% on the autism dataset. These two algorithms in the two tables are considered the best results in improving classification performance and have shown successful results. Therefore, we used two methods to increase the results significantly. Fig. 5, Fig. 6, Fig. 7, Fig. 8, Fig. 9, and Fig. 10 show the obtained ROC curves for all classifiers.

Fig. 11 shows a comparison between our model and the mentioned works in this context. The results are evidence that the MVO-Autism model outperforms its counterparts. The reason is that this model overcomes both problems, that is, missing values and outliers. Finally, experiments showed that the utility of pre-treatment methods points to a significant improvement in the predictive model of autism diagnosis. Among all existing methods, our model showed that a compound of replacement with average is a method to replace the lost value with improvement. For performing classification, using techniques such as DT, RF, NB, NN, SVM, and KNN gives high results in terms of predictive accuracy. This finding suggests that the aforementioned group could improve autism diagnosis. However, there is no guarantee that the obtained results are also suitable for different datasets. Hence, different experiments need to be performed on other types of the dataset in this context. The decision tree and random forest algorithm showed the best results in this paper.

According to Figs. 5-10, we found that the best AUC of our model belongs to tree methods like DT and RF.
Fig. 6: The ROC for the DT.

Fig. 7: The ROC for the KNN.
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**Fig. 8:** The ROC for the SVM.

**Fig. 9:** The ROC for the NN.
Conclusions

Autism is the most common disease that occurs in any age people. For the diagnosis of this disease, the techniques used to improve the classification performance gave better results, and other computerized technologies were applied. These technologies compete for performance standards. However, poor quality leads most therapeutic datasets to unreliability and inaccurate results. The failure to use pretreatment techniques on these datasets prevents producing effective models for predicting autism. This article remains on a compound of pre-treatment methods consist of lost and value substitution characteristics. The missing values are replaced by using the Replace Missing Value through mean and detect outlier through KNN. Methods for selecting a subgroup during classification on known autism. The dataset is the autism dataset. Experiments were conducted to evaluate the impact of missing value analysis and choosing a set of algorithms, including DT, NB, NN, SVM, and KNN classifiers to achieve the best performance for our model. We observed that Combine substitution with the mean (e.g. Missing Value Analysis Method) and improvement selection with RF technology and DT technology. The accuracy of the two technologies has reached 100%, and Precision 100% outperforms their counterparts. The obtained results reveal that the suggested model can increase classification performance and exceeds autism prediction. For future work, we want to work on ensemble heuristic methods and other pre-treatment techniques in this context.
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Abbreviations

| I | Samples            |
| J | Variables          |
| P | Probability        |
| c | Class              |
| S | Data               |
| T | Number of trees    |
| d | Current data to be assigned |

Value: The various values that the variable j can have

P(c|x): The following possibility of class
P(c): The previous possibility of class
P(x|c): The probability is the possibility of predictor provided class
P(x): The previous likelihood of predictor
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