Gesture Recognition using the linear combination of membership degrees of observations
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Abstract

This paper introduces a novel gesture recognition method. In the method, hand trajectory is represented by the sequence of symbols and each symbol has a specific membership degree obtained from the genetic algorithm training. In order to determine the membership degree of input observations sequence in a class, the system uses the linear combination of membership degrees of observations in sequence. Because of using negative and positive samples for training gesture classes in the proposed method, the recognition system has a good performance in distinguishing very similar gestures. Experiments show that the method developed in this study outperforms HMM and SOMM methods in different gesture datasets.
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1. Introduction

Gesture recognition and gesture-based Human-Computer Interaction (HCI) have increasingly attracted the attention of researchers across disciplines such as machine learning, pattern recognition, computer vision, HCI, and linguistic and natural language processing. Gestures are expressive, meaningful body motions involving physical movements of the fingers, hands, arms, head, face, or the body with the intent of: 1) conveying meaningful information or 2) interacting with the environment [1]. Gesture recognition is the process through which the gestures made by the user are recognized by the receiver [1].

In this paper, we focus on hand gestures and information conveyed by them. To use human hands as a natural HCI, glove-based devices such as the Cyber Glove have been used to capture human hand motions. However, the gloves and their attached wires are still quite cumbersome and awkward for users to wear. Moreover, the cost of the gloves is often too expensive for regular users.

With the latest advances in the fields of computer vision, image processing, and pattern recognition, real-time vision-based hand gesture classification is becoming more and more feasible for HCI.

A gesture recognition system comprises of several subsystems that do specific tasks and interact with each other. Topically, these subsystems are hand detection, hand tracking, and recognition subsystems. Figure 1 shows the subsystems of the gesture recognition system and the interaction flows.

The hand detection subsystem is responsible for finding the hand and initializing the hand model for tracking in further frames. The hand tracking subsystem, sometimes known as the real-time hand detection, searches the hand in the current frame with respect to previous hand models and extracts the hand trajectory. Then it passes the hand trajectory to the recognition subsystem and updates the hand model. The recognition subsystem compares the input hand trajectory with pre-learned gestures classes and if some specific criteria are met, the trajectory will be recognized as one gesture class. The criteria can be a pre-defined hard threshold or more complex rules.

In the present paper, we introduce a novel gesture recognition method that uses a genetic algorithm for gesture class training. In the proposed system, hand
trajectories are represented by symbol sequences and each symbol has a specific membership degree which is determined by training. To determine the membership degree of input observations sequence in a class, the system uses the linear combination of membership degrees of all observations in sequence.

![Fig. 1. Sub-Systems of the Gesture Recognition System](image)

The rest of the paper is organized as follows. Section 2 discusses the related studies; Section 3 introduces the proposed system; Section 4 describes system training by a GA; Section 5 presents the feature extraction method; Section 6 shows experimental results of the overall system, and finally Section 7 concludes the article and provides some suggestions for further research.

2. Review of the literature

Gesture recognition can be considered as a time sequence series classification when the isolated movement sequence exists. It is also an instance of pattern spotting when the input sequence is continuous [2]. Pattern spotting is the task of extracting meaningful segments from input signals and recognizing them in a predefined set of data [2], [3] and [4]. For a comprehensive review of pattern spotting methods for sequential data, refer to Dietterich [5], and for a good survey on gesture recognition to Mitra and Acharya [1].

There are several techniques used for gesture recognition. Being one of them, the Hidden Markov Model (HMM) [6] is a widely used model for gesture recognition with spatiotemporal variability. Coogan et al. also presented a quite common architecture involving color-based hand detection, scale and rotation invariant PCA classification for hand shape and discrete hidden Markov models (DHMMs) for position information. While they reported the adequate recognition rate of 94.5% for the static hand shape recognition, in two subject tests the recognition rate of the dynamic hand shape recognition varied from 83% to 98.6% depending on the training/testing ratio [7]. Interestingly, HMM has been also successfully applied in several sign language recognition systems, e.g., [8], [9], [10]. Nickel and Stiefelhagen used it for pointing the gesture classification used in the human-robot interaction [11]. Being concerned with different versions of HMM, Just and Marcel provided a comparison of the two commonly used HMM versions. They compared the discrete HMM (DHMM) with the Input/output HMM (IOHMM) in different datasets of gestures and the results showed that DHMM is better than IOHMM regarding the recognition rate [12].

More recently, new techniques such as Conditional Random Fields (CRFs) [13] and its extension called Hidden Conditional Random Fields (HCRFs) [14] are used for recognition tasks. Unlike the HMMs, CRFs and HCRFs create a unique model for all gesture classes in a dataset and have some advantages over the HMMs. CRFs and HCRFs do not have the label bias problem [13]. Besides, they are able to model the dependency between all observations while the HMMs only model the dependency of observations related to their state. Therefore, as observations in gestures have a lot of dependencies to each other, CRFs and HCRFs can model them more accurately than the HMMs.

Another new approach to gesture recognition is SOMM presented by Caridakis et al. [15]. SOMM is a hybrid of a Self Organizing Map neural network (SOM) and a Markov Model (MM). It uses the capacity of SOM for clustering of spatial data and the power of MM for modeling of temporal information. Caridakis et al state that SOMM has a better recognition rate than the HMMs.

3. An overview of the system

As mentioned before, the recognition sub-system maps the input observations sequence to its corresponding gesture class. In this paper, each observation is represented by a specific symbol and each symbol has a membership degree in each class which is determined by a genetic algorithm in the training phase. To determine the membership degree of input observations sequence in a class, the system uses the linear combination of membership degrees of observations in sequence. More formally, if $S={s_1,s_2,...,s_n}$ is the set of all possible observations of symbols and $C={c_1,c_2,...,c_m}$ is the set of gestures classes, class $c_i$ is represented by $M={d_{1,i},d_{2,i},...,d_{n,i}}$ where $d_{i,j}$ is the membership degree of symbol $s_j$ in class $c_i$. Now the membership degree of a given observation sequence $O=[O_1 O_2 O_3 \ldots O_t \ldots O_n]$ where $O_t$ is the observation symbol at time $t$ and $T$ is the length of observation sequence in class $C_t$ at time $t$ is computed by equation (1) as follows:

$$MD_{(c_i,O,t)} = MD_{(c_i,O,t-1)} \times Z + d_{(i,O_t)}$$

$$MD_{(c_i,O,1)} = d_{(i,O_1)}$$

Where $MD_{(c_i,O,0)}$ is the membership degree of observation sequence $O$ in class $C_t$ at time $t$ and $Z$ is the constant factor of previous observations membership.
degrees. If $Z$ is equal to zero, the membership degree of observation sequence $O$ at time $t$ only depends on $O_t$ and $Z=1$ means that the order of observation sequence does not matter. In this situation, the recognition system is not able to recognize the different classes which have the same symbols with different occurrence times from each other. The experiments show that the best value for $Z$ is 0.9. Therefore, the membership degree of observation sequence $O$ in class $C_i$ is equal to $MD_{(C_i,O,T)}$ and observation sequence $O$ is a member of class $C_k$ if $MD_{(C_k,O,T)}$ is the greatest membership degree.

4. Training of the recognition system

The aim of training is to determine the membership degree of each symbol in each gesture class based on the following conditions:

1. Time and number of occurrences of the symbol in the class;
2. Membership degrees of other symbols in the class and order of their occurrences;
3. The membership degree of the same symbol in other classes.

The importance of symbols is directly related to the first condition and indirectly to the second and third conditions.

Statistical models like the HMMs used for the modeling of gestures meet the first two conditions but do not satisfy the third one because they use positive examples for training the model. Yet, the third condition must be considered in many cases.

For example, suppose by the given digits classes (0, 1...9) as hand gestures and the direction of hand movement between consecutive positions as the representation method, we have to distinguish the class of digit 2 from the class of digit 3 while they are represented by symbols in Figure 2. As Figure 2 shows, the classes of digits 2 and 3 are mostly formed of the same symbols and just differ in the directions which are drawn by the red color. The occurrence order of the symbols is also similar in both classes. In this situation, the gestures have common sub-gestures, and common symbols cannot show the difference between these gestures, non-common symbols should be used. Therefore, during the training of a specific class if we neglect other classes which probably have common sub-gestures with the class in training, the low accuracy of classification may occur. This problem can be solved by adding negative samples to the training dataset.

4.1. Genetic Algorithm (GA)

Genetic algorithms (GAs) [16] are a kind of evolutionary computation derived from the evolution theory. According to the theory of evolution, within a population only the individuals well adapted to their environment can survive and transmit some of their characters to their descendants. In genetic algorithms, this principle is introduced into the problem of finding the best individuals represented by chromosomes. Thus, each chromosome encodes a possible solution for a given problem, and starting from a population of chromosomes, the evolution process performs a parallel search through the solution's space. The fitness is measured for each individual by a function related to the objective function of the problem to be solved. Basically, a genetic algorithm consists of three major operations: selection, crossover, and mutation. The selection operation evaluates each individual and keeps only the fittest ones in the population. In addition to those fittest individuals, some less fit ones could be selected according to a small probability. The others are removed from the current population. The crossover operation recombines two individuals to have new ones which might be better. The mutation operation induces changes in a small number of chromosomes units since its purpose is to maintain the diversity of the population during the optimization process.

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{fitness} &= \frac{Tval}{R\times T} + (TP - FP) \\
\text{If } MD_{(c_k,o_{(i,j)},T)} > TH \text{ and } o_{(i,j)} \text{ is a Positive Sample} \\
\text{Then } TP &= TP + 1, Tval = Tval + |MD_{(c_k,o_{(i,j)},T)}|
\end{align*}
\]

Fig. 2. Two digit gestures classes (2, 3) and the symbols. The direction symbols are shown by the arrows. The blue arrows are common in both gestures but the red arrows are not. Numbers on the arrows describe the order of symbols.

4.2. Problem formulation

If set $S = \{s_1, s_2, ..., s_n\}$ is representative of all possible symbols, then the length of chromosomes are $n$ which is equal to the number of symbols. Each unit of chromosomes called gene corresponds to the membership degree of a symbol which is in the range of $[-R, R]$ where $R$ is a real value.

Also if $d=\{O_{(1,1)}, O_{(1,2)}, ..., O_{(2,1)}, O_{(2,2)}, ..., O_{(2,m)}, ...\}$ is the set of training dataset where $O_{(i,j)}$ is the $j$th instance observation sequence for gesture class $C_i$ and $MD_{(C_i,O,(i,j),T)}$ is the membership degree of $O_{(i,j)}$ in class $C_i$, the fitness function of the GA for class $C_k$ training is equation (2).
If $MD(c_i,o_{(i,p)}) < TH$ and $O_{(i,j)}$ is a Positive Sample
Then $Fval = Fval + |MD(c_i,o_{(i,p)})|
If $MD(c_i,o_{(i,p)}) < TH$ and $O_{(i,j)}$ is a Negative Sample
Then $Fval = Fval + |MD(c_i,o_{(i,p)})|
If $MD(c_i,o_{(i,p)}) > TH$ and $O_{(i,j)}$ is a Negative Sample
Then $FP = FP + 1, Fval = Fval + |MD(c_i,o_{(i,p)})|

Where $f_{best}$ is the fitness value of chromosome $ch(c_i)$, $T$ is the length of $O_{(i,j)}$ and TH is the predefined threshold value.

It is worthy to mention that training is done separately for each class. The selection method for parent’s selection is the tournament [17] where the best individual is selected as a parent among a randomly selected set of individuals with respect to the fitness values. Note that the number of parents corresponds to the population size. An advantage of the tournament method for parent selection is bearing the selection pressure and preventing the early convergence.

The selection method for the survivor selection is steady state [17] where some good individuals of the previous population are kept and others are replaced with offspring – children population.

The crossover operation is an arithmetic crossover that combines two parent chromosomes $P1$ and $P2$ by applying equation (3) as follows.

\[ Ch1 = P1 \times \beta + P2 \times (\beta - 1) \]

\[ Ch2 = P2 \times \beta + P1 \times (\beta - 1) \]

Where $\beta$ is a random number in the range of $(0,1)$ and $Ch1$ and $Ch2$ are two offspring.

The mutation operation on chromosome $CH$ is applied by equation (4).

\[ CH = CH + \alpha \]

Where $\alpha$ is a random number in the range of $(-R/10, R/10)$. Note that crossover and mutation operators apply in every gene of chromosomes.

Finally, we add some domain knowledge to the GA to improve chromosomes fitness. Before the old population replacement stage in every generation, we define an additional operator called “modifier” which applies to some chromosomes with the highest fitness. This operator changes the membership degree of the symbols which are not seen in the positive samples of the class in the training dataset equal to $-R$. This means that if, for example, symbol $s_j$ does not have any role in gesture class $c_i$, the membership degree of $s_j$ in gesture class $c_i$ must be very small.

Reaching to the maximum predefined number of generations while there is no improvement in the fitness of the best individual is the stop condition for training.

The algorithm of training is as follows:
1) For every class $C_i$, do step 2 to 10;
2) initialize the population with random individuals;
3) compute the fitness of individuals;
4) when the stop condition is not satisfied, do step 5 to 9;
5) select parents;
6) apply crossover and mutation operators;
7) compute the fitness of offspring;
8) apply the modifier to some best individuals;
9) replace the old population with the offspring population;
10) select the best individual of population as the Model of $C_i$.

5. Feature Extraction

In this study we use skin color segmentation and motion information for the hand detection and tracking as introduced by Yang et al. [18].

The hand tracker returns the hand trajectory as an absolute hand center coordinate in the 2D space related to the upper left corner of the frame. Therefore, in this step, the hand trajectory can be represented by the sequence of its absolute $(x,y)$ coordinates. For example, $O=[(x_1,y_1), (x_2,y_2),...,(x_n,y_n)]$ is the trajectory of hand absolute coordinates in 2D space where $(x,y)$ presents the hand coordinate at time $t$ related to the upper left corner of the frame.

This representation for hand positions is not suitable since the distance from the camera and the position of the user within the frame during recording is not known beforehand. What’s more, differences in the distance from the camera would result in differences in the height and arm length between users. We, therefore, need to normalize the hand trajectory so that it would become invariant to the user position and distance from camera. We use two normalizing methods:

In the first method, the head position is used as the coordinate center and the head size as the scaling parameter in this way: First, according to Rowley et al. [19], the head detector finds the head. Then the hand position is calculated in relation to the head position and its elements are normalized with respect to the head size. Finally, the conversion of hand coordinates to symbols is done by clustering hand coordinates using a Self Organizing Map (SOM) [20] trained by all hand positions in all trajectories of gesture classes in the training dataset. The number of SOM units which have a hexagonal architecture is determined through a trial-and-error process. Afterwards, each cluster is interpreted as a symbol. Note that clustering reduces noises caused by different hand trajectories from the same class which do not have the same coordinate sequence.

The second normalizing method does not require head detection and thus is simpler to implement. But it leads to more similar gestures classes, and in turn makes the recognition of different classes from each other difficult. In this normalization method, hand trajectories are represented by orientations instead of their coordinates.

In the second method, we use the mean orientations of five consecutive hand coordinates as local hand motion orientations. Then the obtained orientation is quantized by dividing it by 10 in order to generate symbols from 1 to 36.
If \( O = [(x_1, y_1), (x_2, y_2), \ldots, (x_t, y_t), \ldots, (x_T, y_T)] \) is the trajectory of hand absolute coordinates in 2D space where \((x_t, y_t)\) presents the hand coordinate at time \( t \) related to the upper left corner of the frame, the orientation of hand is computed by equation (5) as follows.

\[
\theta_t = \frac{\sum_{i=t-4}^{t} \arctan \left( \frac{y_{i+4} - y_i}{x_{i+4} - x_i} \right)}{5}, \quad t=5\ldots T
\]  

(5)

6. Experimental results

In this section, we use two different hand gesture datasets for evaluating our proposed method for gesture recognition against HMM- [12] and SOMM- [15] based gesture recognition. The first dataset contains 30 gaming gestures classes with each gesture class including 10 hand trajectories normalized by the first normalization method mentioned above. As Figure 3 shows, the classes vary in complexity from very simple directive gestures to very complex ones.

The second dataset has 10 gesture classes where each class, according to Figure 4, shows a number from zero to nine. The number of trajectories, normalized by the second normalization method, in each class is 10.

In the HMM-based recognition, we use the discrete bounded left to right HMM architecture which is the most commonly used one in gesture recognition. In this architecture, the leftmost side state is the first state and the rightmost side state is the final state. Each state is only connected to itself and immediately to its left state. The number of states is determined through a trial-and-error process. We use the Baum-Welch algorithm for the HMM training [21].

However, in the SOMM-based recognition, two models represented by the Markov Model are created to describe the orientation and position information of each gesture class. Having the unknown gesture trajectory as the input for classification, SOMM finds the probability of this trajectory belonging to each class with respect to the both models of each class, and finally selects the most probable class as the input gesture trajectory class.

It should be pointed out that since SOMM needs hand position and hand orientation for training, it is only evaluated in the first dataset.

For the evaluation within the gaming gestures dataset, we use \%50 of each class samples as the training set and \%50 as the test set. Table 1 shows the accuracy of different methods for the training and test sets. Furthermore, for evaluating the gestures dataset of numbers, \%60 of each class samples is used as the training set and \%40 as the test set. Table 2 reports the accuracy of the method proposed in this paper and HMM for this dataset.
According to Tables 1 and 2, the proposed method outperforms HMM and SOMM methods in accuracy. In addition, when the gestures classes are similar, the method developed in this study has a good accuracy while HMM treats an almost random classification (see Table 2).

Other advantages of the proposed method for gesture recognition are faster recognition and smaller size of models. In the recognition stage, the method is linear in terms of time and only depends on the number of symbols in the trajectory. However, HMM's addition to the number of symbols depends on the number of states, and SOMM needs to do more preprocessing for extracting features and also needs more storage space to store models of gestures. Table 3 shows the time order in the recognition and storage order of each method when the number of symbols is S, the number of classes is C, the length of trajectory is T, and the number of states in HMM is N.
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