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Abstract
Despite the fact that HIV epidemic is mainly driven by injection drug use in Iran, partners of People Who Inject Drugs (PWID) have been seriously neglected in terms of effective preventive interventions. Currently, sexual partners of PWID might have access to some harm reduction services at Voluntary Counselling and Testing (VCT) centers; however, their needs have not been effectively targeted and met. Unfortunately, the current programs implemented by the Ministry of Health have overlooked the importance of this population in the course of the HIV epidemic throughout the country. In this policy brief, we are trying to draw the health policy-makers’ attention to this overlooked population and while reviewing the advantages and disadvantages of some of the readily available options on the table, come up with a recommended action to tackle this problem. Our recommended action that seems to have had promising results elsewhere in Asia would try to implement preventive interventions targeting this particular population through peer prevention programs.
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Background
What is the HIV epidemic look like in Iran?
Injecting drug use continues to be the dominant mode of HIV transmission in Iran. Iran has the highest proportion of heroin and opium drug dependence in the world and studies suggest that up to 300,000 People Who Inject Drugs (PWID) are living throughout the country (1,2). The prevalence of HIV among the general population in Iran is less than 0.2%, but it stands at 15.07% among injecting drug users (2). Although prevention programs during the last decade have successfully slowed the epidemic down among PWID, they and their sexual partners are still the most affected population in Iran (2,3).

What is a bridging population?
In addition to most at-risk marginalized populations—PWID, Females Sex Workers (FSW), and Men who have Sex with Men (MSM)—bridging/bridge populations are also at a higher risk of HIV infection in compare to general population. These populations usually include clients of FSWs, sexual partners of PWID and MSM. Other groups such as truck drivers, military staffs, sailors, and migrant labor could also fit this category (4). Very few interventions have targeted such bridge populations in Iran and the accessibility, availability and use of such services have not been evaluated; mostly due to the difficulties in verifying and reaching them (particularly for clients of FSW and sexual partners of PWID).

Who are Sexual Partners of PWID and Why Should We Care?
A major overlooked population affected by HIV in Iran are sexual partners of PWID. Worldwide, and in Iran, women are the most vulnerable population due to a number of cultural, political, religious, and biological factors. A considerable part of HIV infected women, are assumed to have acquired the infection through their husbands or sexual partners through unsafe shared injection or sex. Around 8.7% of all detected HIV cases in Iran are women. Moreover, 76% of HIV-infected women in Iran have acquired the infection from their husbands (who are primarily PWID) (2,3). In 2010, majority of new infected cases (6222 Out of 9136) were estimated to belonged to PWID and their sexual partner sub-populations (5). Clearly, sexual partners of PWID in Iran have been underserved regarding HIV preventive programs, given the fact that it is a PWID driven HIV epidemic.

Current situation
A recent cross-sectional study conducted in 2011 in three major cities (Tehran, Mashhad, and Shiraz) estimated an HIV prevalence of 7.7% and 2.8% among injecting and non-injecting sexual partners of PWID, respectively. The high prevalence among those partners who inject drugs themselves could be due to the dual risk of unsafe sex and injection (3). These findings are consistent with the findings of a recent modeling study regarding the modes of HIV transmissions in Iran. It is estimated that more than 1100 new HIV infections occur annually among sexual partners of PWID, putting them as the second-rank HIV affected population in Iran (Figure 1) (5). Currently, sexual partners of PWID like any other women might have access to some harm reduction services at Voluntary Counselling and Testing (VCT) centers or similar clinics; however, none have particularly targeted their needs and tailored interventions (2). Obviously, this high-priority sub-population and their particular needs are overlooked.
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transmission risk factors (2). It seems such knowledge was not translated into safer practices. Targeting PWID and their sexual partners as a couple/family not individual could be a better approach as reported in other studies globally. It could be a particularly useful approach for 50% of PWID who were found to be ever-married and 30% of them who were living with their spouses at the time of the study (2).

Recommended action

Why do we think this intervention could work in the context of Iran?

Iran is a family-oriented society in which gender norms are set in a way that women take care of all family members, their husband in particular. For example, it is the woman who reminds her partner about their medications. This cultural norm although may put an additional burden on women and could be challenged by women activists, but can also be the sweet spot for intervention. We can help improve the adherence and retention to therapy among HIV-positive PWID through educating their partners. In other words, sexual partners of PWID would encourage their partners to take their medications and in fact they would be protecting themselves. A notable profit of such programs would be increasing sexual partners of PWID’s access to both healthcare and education along with decreasing their risky behaviour (7,8). In conclusion, we suggest implementing peer counselling and education programs among sexual partners of PWID. Similar projects, elsewhere in Asia, have shown promising results in increasing the level of knowledge and practice of partners (7,8). Findings of studies in Vietnam for example, suggested that sexual partners of PWID could be reached, and their relationships were improved and consistent condom use was increased through peer based HIV prevention interventions (8).

In the case of Iran, access to sexual partners of PWID may be possible through their injecting drug partners who seek services in various centers and are in fact, reachable. Peer educators will provide risk reduction information, materials, supplies, and referrals tailored to their individual and couple related factors. Other services such as regular HIV testing, sexually transmitted infections testing and treatment, reproductive health and family planning services, and harm reduction programs (for sexual partners of PWID who are injecting drug users themselves), could be delivered through family-friendly centers using lessons learned from PWID harm reduction programs.

Challenges and concerns

Unfortunately, the main challenge in front of implementing this approach is the deeply rooted stigma at different social and political levels against HIV and PWID (9). Another major challenge would be the limited knowledge and paucity of research about sexual partners of PWID’s network. We need to get a better understanding of how their network (if there is any) operates and then come up with points of intervention. We know very little about the structure of their network and how it functions; data that is not impossible to gain. We need further qualitative and quantitative researches to provide us with estimations of their network’s strength, PWID’s length of relationship with their sexual partners, and the applicability of this approach in smaller cities. We also need to design appropriate and applicable interventions which are most appropriate for the
context and current condition of the country. Another less challenging concern could be training of the peer educators which could be undertaken based on the WHO guidelines. Having a clear policy and curriculum in training the staff may be helpful in this regard. Due to the nature of this work and the occupational hazards around, some peers may quit working after a while which would waste all those training efforts and their experience of working in the field. Providing the trained peers with adequate salary and other benefits such as health insurance can motivate them to take this task seriously and hold on to their job.
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