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Abstract

Background: Cemented Total Knee Arthroplasty (TKA) provides excellent long-term survival rates and functional results, however, radiolucent lines (RLLs) often appear during early post-operative follow-up and their incidence and clinical significance are unknown. The primary aim was to establish the incidence, location, frequency, and time taken for RLLs to appear within the first year after a primary cemented TKA with an anatomic tibial baseplate (Smith and Nephew, LEGION Total Knee System).

Methods: This was a retrospective analysis of 135 primary cemented TKA in 131 patients over three years. We compared demographics, serial radiographs, and early clinical and functional outcomes.

Results: There were 65 TKAs (48%) in 62 patients who had RLLs within the first year post-operatively. Most were females (58.8%). Mean age was 68.3 ± 7.9 years. There were 88 RLLs, with the most and second commonest location at the medial tibial baseplate (38%) and anterior femoral flange (23%). 89% were in the bone-cement interface. The largest average length of RLLs were at the anterior flange of the femoral component (1.98 ± 1.33 mm). The average time to development was 6.5 ± 4.1 months. None of these patients had infections nor required revision. Patients with RLLs did not do worse in functional and clinical scoring at 1-year.

Conclusion: There was a 48% incidence of physiological RLLs after cemented TKA, with the highest occurrence at the medial tibial baseplate at 38%. These radiolucent lines did not affect early post-operative clinical and functional outcomes of patients.

Level of evidence: III
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Introduction

Total Knee Arthroplasty (TKA) is a popular treatment option for osteoarthritis of the knees, especially in end-stage arthritis, achieving excellent long-term survival rates(1–5). Despite its longevity, revisions of TKA are increasing in prevalence due to the increase in primary TKAs performed(6). The most common cause of revision, especially in late revision, is attributed to aseptic loosening. Aseptic loosening is associated with the presence of radiolucent lines (RLL)(2,7,8). Additionally, there are several studies demonstrating the early development of RLLs, appearing within the first 2 years of primary implantation(9–11). These early RLLs are postulated to be physiological(12–15).

Previous reports on the characterization of physiological RLLs are based on symmetrical tibial baseplates(2,9–11). With a shift towards using asymmetric or anatomic tibial baseplates in modern knee designs, including in our centre, we observed a larger proportion of medial tibial baseplate RLLs in our patients who received anatomic tibial baseplates, as compared to patients who received symmetric tibial baseplates.

Thus far, there have been no reports on the incidence of RLLs in anatomic tibial baseplates. Hence, the primary aim of this study was to establish the incidence, location, frequency, and time taken for RLLs to appear within the first 12 months after a primary cemented TKA with an anatomic tibial baseplate (Smith and Nephew, LEGION Total Knee System).
Total Knee System). The secondary aim was to determine if there was correlation with the development of RLLs and early functional outcomes.

**Materials and Methods**

**Study design and patient selection**

This was a single centre, retrospective analysis on a prospective database on all patients who had undergone total knee replacement at our institution. From our database, we identified all patients who had undergone cemented primary TKA between 1 January 2017 and 31 May 2019. All surgeries were performed by six different fellowship-trained arthroplasty surgeons.

The inclusion criteria were patients who had primary knee osteoarthritis, at least 1-year of follow-up, and TKAs performed using the Smith and Nephew LEGION Total Knee System. Implants were either of cruciate-retaining or posterior stabilized designs (Smith and Nephew, Memphis, TN, USA). Patients who had TKAs other than the study implant were excluded. Patients who also did not have serial radiographs during the follow-up period were excluded. There were 135 primary cemented TKAs (131 patients). Demographic data such as age, gender, body mass index (BMI), pre-surgery and follow-up data including clinical data, knee X-rays, and knee function scores were retrieved from our institutional knee registry. The review of medical records was approved by the local ethical committee, DSRB 2019/01037.

**Surgical procedure**

All six surgeons at our institution perform TKA in a similar fashion. Surgery is performed either under spinal or general anaesthesia, with a midline skin incision and a medial parapatellar approach. A thigh tourniquet is used in all cases. All surgeries were performed with navigation using the KneeAlign 2 System (OrthAlign Inc, Aliso Viejo, CA, USA). After performing all bone cuts, the bone surface is irrigated with 0.9% saline with a high-pressure pulsatile lavage. The bone surface is then carefully dried. The operating room temperature is kept between 20 and 21 degrees Celsius. A single packet of high viscosity bone cement (PALACOS® R+G or SMARTSET™) is prepared using MIXIGUN® from Zimmer Biomet. After the cement is vacuum mixed, pressurization of cement is achieved using MIXIGUN®. The cement (PALACOS® R+G or SMARTSET™) is then inserted and impacted. The tibial component is likewise implanted with the same cement and bone, and the implant and cement, were considered to be RLLs (10,11).

The radiographic assessment was conducted independently by three authors, blinded to the medical history of the patients. The average of the three measurements by the three authors was used as the final RLL size. The assessments were performed using Modern Knee Society Radiographic Evaluation System to document the location of the RLLs (16). Using this evaluation system, the components of the implants were divided into different zones to document the location of RLLs [Figure 1].

**Outcomes**

Functional outcome measures were the Oxford Knee Score (OKS) and the 1989 Knee Society Clinical Rating System Score (KSS) (clinical and functional scores), collected pre-operatively, and at 3-months and 1-year post-operatively (17-19). Clinical outcome measures were taken according to a standardized protocol, consisting of a) anteroposterior (AP) weight-bearing view, b) lateral view at 30-degrees of knee flexion, and c) full length lower limb standing radiographs. To ensure correct positioning of all anatomic landmarks the patient was informed to keep the knee in full extension with his/her feet in slight internal rotation.

For this study, serial radiographs taken during the patients’ follow-up period were retrospectively analysed for the presence of RLLs. A radiolucent zone of any size between cement and bone, and the implant and cement, were considered to be RLLs (10,11).

The radiographic assessment was conducted independently by three authors, blinded to the medical history of the patients. The average of the three measurements by the three authors was used as the final RLL size. The assessments were performed using Modern Knee Society Radiographic Evaluation System to document the location of the RLLs (16). Using this evaluation system, the components of the implants were divided into different zones to document the location of RLLs [Figure 1].

**Radiologic assessment**

Post-operative radiographs are performed on post-operative day zero, 3, 12 and 24 months after surgery. In addition, full length lower limb radiographs (hip-knee-ankle radiographs) are taken at 3 and 12 months follow-up to assess the post-operative leg alignment. All radiographs were taken according to a standardized protocol, consisting of a) anteroposterior (AP) weight-bearing view, b) lateral view at 30-degrees of knee flexion, and c) full length lower limb standing radiographs. To ensure correct positioning of all anatomic landmarks the patient was informed to keep the knee in full extension with his/her feet in slight internal rotation.

For this study, serial radiographs taken during the patients’ follow-up period were retrospectively analysed for the presence of RLLs. A radiolucent zone of any size between cement and bone, and the implant and cement, were considered to be RLLs (10,11).

The radiographic assessment was conducted independently by three authors, blinded to the medical history of the patients. The average of the three measurements by the three authors was used as the final RLL size. The assessments were performed using Modern Knee Society Radiographic Evaluation System to document the location of the RLLs (16). Using this evaluation system, the components of the implants were divided into different zones to document the location of RLLs [Figure 1].

**Figure 1.** (A) AP views of the tibial component; (B and C) lateral views of the tibial and femoral component showing zone classification.
complications in terms of the rate of revisions, re-operations, peri-prosthetic fractures or any infections.

Statistical Analysis
Descriptive variables were reported as mean ± standard deviation (SD) or n (%) prevalence. Continuous variables were compared with independent sample t-tests, whilst categorical variables were compared with chi-square test or Fisher’s exact test when appropriate. Statistical significance was taken where p-value < 0.05. All analyses were performed using SPSS version 23.0 (IBM, Chicago, IL, USA).

Results

Table 1. Demographic data

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Total</th>
<th>With RLL</th>
<th>Without RLL</th>
<th>p-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>No. of TKAs</td>
<td>135</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No. of patients</td>
<td>131</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean age (years)</td>
<td>68.2 ± 7.5</td>
<td>68.3 ± 7.9</td>
<td>68.2 ± 7.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI (kg/m²)</td>
<td>28.5 ± 6.7</td>
<td>27.5 ± 4.2</td>
<td>27.7 ± 4.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Males (%)</td>
<td>43 (32.6)</td>
<td>26 (14.2)</td>
<td>18 (26.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Laterality (left: right)</td>
<td>61:74</td>
<td>29:36</td>
<td>32:38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Follow-up period (months)</td>
<td>15.9 ± 5.3</td>
<td>16.4 ± 4.9</td>
<td>16.2 ± 5.1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Pre-operative anatomical knee alignment (°)
- AP Tibial [varus (-), valgus (+)]
- Lateral Tibial [varus (-), valgus (+)]
- Lateral Femur [varus (-), valgus (+)]

Legend: TKA (Total Knee Arthroplasty); RLL (Radiolucent Line); BMI (Body Mass Index)

Radiolucent lines
We found 65 TKAs (48%) in 62 patients (47%) had RLLs post-operatively, including 4 patients who had RLLs bilaterally. There was a total of 88 RLLs. The most frequent location for RLLs was found to be at the medial tibial baseplate (n = 33, 38%), while the second most common location was at the anterior flange of the femoral component (n = 20, 23%). The frequency and location of RLLs are presented in [Table 2]. In terms of the interface of development of RLLs, 78 (89%) were found between the bone and cement interface, and 10 (11%) were found between the cement and implant (IC) interface.

RLLs were found to appear at an average of 6.5 ± 4.1 months post-operatively, with an average length of 1.5 ± 0.9 mm on first presentation. Within the first 3 months post-operatively, 24 TKAs developed RLLs, while at 4-6 months post-operatively, 12 further TKAs developed RLLs. The remaining RLLs developed within 6-12 months post-operatively.

A total of 6 RLLs in 5 patients progressed in length. The average increase was 0.41 mm. In 4 patients, the progressive RLL was located at the medial tibial baseplate (1). In 1 patient, there was progression of 2 RLLs, 1 located at the anterior tibial baseplate (1), and 1 at the anterior flange [1] of the femur component.

Table 2. Frequency, location and associated length of RLL

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Location</th>
<th>AP Tibial</th>
<th>Lateral Tibial</th>
<th>Lateral Femur</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Frequency</td>
<td>1 2 3M 3L 5 1</td>
<td>2 3A 3P 5 1</td>
<td>2 3 3A 3P 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average Length/mm</td>
<td>1.28 1.38 - - 1.75 1.1 - - 1.98 1.35 1.05 - - -</td>
<td>- - - - 1.33 0.66 0.65 - - -</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SD/mm</td>
<td>0.59 0.71 - - 0.62 0.71 - - -</td>
<td>- - - - 1.33 0.66 0.65 - - -</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Legend: RLL (Radiolucent Line); AP (Antero-posterior); SD (Standard Deviation)
Outcomes

There was no significant difference of KSS and OKS scores between the two groups at all time points [Table 3]. There was no significant difference of pre-operative and 3-month post-operative KSS functional scores between the 2 groups. However, TKAs with RLLs had higher 1-year post-operative KSS functional score (82.1 ± 14.3), as compared to TKAs without RLLs (73.0 ± 15.0), which was significant (p = 0.007).

Table 3. Outcome scores of patients

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Outcome scores (% available at follow-up)</th>
<th>TKAs with RLLs (n=65)</th>
<th>TKAs without RLLs (n=70)</th>
<th>p-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pre-operative KSS</td>
<td>46.0 ± 15.9</td>
<td>42.8 ± 20.8</td>
<td>0.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3-month post-operative KSS</td>
<td>86.5 ± 8.5</td>
<td>82.8 ± 13.8</td>
<td>0.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1-year post-operative KSS</td>
<td>75.6 ± 22.9</td>
<td>83.4 ± 13.8</td>
<td>0.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pre-operative KSS Functional Score</td>
<td>47.1 ± 20.6</td>
<td>48.6 ± 18.9</td>
<td>0.69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3-month post-operative KSS Functional Score</td>
<td>68.1 ± 19.9</td>
<td>66.3 ± 18.8</td>
<td>0.66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1-year post-operative KSS Functional Score</td>
<td>82.1 ± 14.3</td>
<td>73.0 ± 15.0</td>
<td>0.007</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pre-operative OKS</td>
<td>74 ± 10.4</td>
<td>57.8 ± 8.7</td>
<td>0.31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3-month post-operative OKS</td>
<td>39.6 ± 5.0</td>
<td>38.4 ± 5.4</td>
<td>0.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1-year post-operative OKS</td>
<td>42.8 ± 5.7</td>
<td>43.2 ± 4.3</td>
<td>0.36</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Legend: TKA (Total Knee Arthroplasty); RLL (Radiolucent Line); KSS (Knee Society Clinical Rating System Score); OKS (Oxford Knee Score)

Discussion

The most important finding of our study was that a high incidence of radiolucent lines were present at one year post-operatively, with the reported incidence ranging from 6.7% to 35.1% (2,9,20–26). While there was progression of 6 RLLs in 5 patients, this may be due to intra or inter-observer variability, or rotation of the radiographs, rather than actual progression of the RLLs. Despite this high incidence of RLLs, our patients were clinically asymptomatic and no revisions were required during the follow-up period.

Of concern, there was a high incidence of RLLs on the medial tibial baseplate, at 38%. While early radiolucency development under the lateral tibial baseplate can be explained by inadequate compression during cementing which can occur in a knee with severe pre-operative varus, adequate compression in the medial compartment after implantation is to be expected. Other studies have similarly found that RLLs commonly develop at the tibial component during early follow-up post-operatively, with the medial baseplate to be where RLLs develop most frequently (2,8,9).

There have been several theories, but no definite consensus, to account for the development of RLLs, which can be due to surgical technique or prosthesis related issues. It is possible that a high incidence of RLLs on the tibial aspect was from an irregular surface of the tibia due to inaccurate tibia cut. Theoretically, these irregularities should have been compensated for by the cement. Thermal necrosis to the bone due to the heat generated by cement polymerization may have resultant bony resorption and cement loosening due to micromotion, although lavage may reduce this incidence (9,13,27). Alternatively, the tibial keel preparation with additional preparation for the cement mantle and movement during the inter-locking phase may increase the force on the tibial baseplate, causing prosthesis loosening (2).

We also found that the highest incidence of RLLs was at the bone-cement interface. This may be related to the cementing technique, where the ideal cementing technique is still unclear (27–30). Bone-cement radiolucencies have also been hypothesized to be due to inadequate bone preparation such as the lack of use of a high-volume, high-pressure lavage or with finger packing of the cement; bony hemostasis where active bleeding reduces shear strength of bone-cement interface by 50%; and poor cement pressurization (31,32).

In our institution, meticulous care is taken in the preparation of cementing to reduce early post-operative RLLs on radiographs. All TKAs are performed with a thigh tourniquet, with a high-pressure lavage used prior to cementation. Suction drying is carried out, and cement is pressurized. We postulate that the higher incidence of early RLL formation, especially at the medial tibial baseplate, may be due to the design of the tibial baseplate.

The LEGION tibial baseplate has an asymmetric design, with a smaller lateral component to avoid tibial overhang. The stem and keel are medialized to align with the centre of the tibial canal. Anatomic designs have been shown to demonstrate meaningful increases in tibia coverage with accurate rotational alignment (33–35). However, asymmetrical tibial baseplate designs may cause greater stress shielding, leading to greater asymptomatic tibial bone loss (36,37).

From a technical perspective, the design of the keel is long and narrow, with short, low profile fins on each side.
Comparatively, in older designs, the keel is large in diameter with broader fins. In sclerotic medial tibial bone, broaching and impaction during preparation of the fin slots can be inadequate, leading to uneven impaction of the tibial baseplate during implantation, a problem not encountered in older designs. This would result in immediate RLL on the post-operative radiograph. We suggest that this can be mitigated by performing additional preparation using a reciprocating saw to widen the medial fin slot.

Interestingly, the largest average RLL interval in this study was reported at the femoral component anterior flange [1], with an average length of 1.9 ± 1.3 mm. This was also the second most common location for RLL development (23%). We propose that this finding is likely related to implant design. The LEGION femoral component has a built-in 3 degree divergence at the anterior flange to minimize notching. If the femur is implanted in flexion, a gap, or appearance of “RLL” at the anterior flange of the femur may occur. The anterior flange by design is also longer and broader than other knee implants e.g. Zimmer NexGen or the Stryker Triathlon systems. Achieving a fully cemented contact point under the anterior flange given the curvature of the anterior distal femur can be technically challenging. Similarly, Kraay et al found that RLLs were most frequently seen at the most proximal area of the anterior flange, speculating that this was due to the nonconformity of the Miller-Galante femoral component to the anterior bone cut of the femur (38). As such, we postulate that this finding is likely insignificant to the longevity and performance of the implant. However, we propose that care should be taken by ensuring a sufficient amount of cement on the anterior flange.

Implant design may be associated with developing physiological RLLs, but further work exploring other factors such as positioning factors (implant position, tibial slope, and rotation), the balancing of the knee, and even osteoporosis, is needed to draw definite conclusions.

Despite the high incidence of RLLs in our study, we found that there was no significant association between RLL development to hip-knee-ankle angle, nor to tibial keel alignment. While other authors have found an association between malalignment and aseptic loosening, none of our patients had early complications or had revision surgery (39–41). It is also reassuring that the development of RLLs did not affect our patients functional outcomes. These findings are consistent with other studies that found that non-progressive RLLs were not associated with poorer clinical outcomes (10,42).

**Limitations**

All demographic and functional outcome data were collected in a prospective manner into our knee registry. However, this study and the analysis of the presence of RLLs was done retrospectively, which has the inherent limitations of a retrospective study design, and may introduce selection bias. Furthermore, we examined the incidence of RLLs in only one type of implant, namely the Smith and Nephew LEGION Total Knee System. A comparison study against other implants with or without an anatomic tibial baseplate could better illustrate the differences in outcomes.

In conclusion, there was a 48% incidence of physiological RLLs after cemented TKA with Smith and Nephew LEGION implants, with the highest occurrence at the medial tibial baseplate at 38%. While technical reasons that can explain their early development, more work is needed to determine if implant factors or implant positioning make a significant difference. Reassuringly, these RLLs do not affect early post-operative clinical and functional outcomes of patients.

Further long term follow-up is needed to determine if these RLLs progress and affect clinical and functional outcomes.
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