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Abstract. Let $R$ be a commutative ring with identity and $M$ be an $R$-module. The zero divisor graph of $M$ is denoted by $\Gamma(M)$. In this study, we are going to generalize the zero divisor graph $\Gamma(M)$ to submodule-based zero divisor graph $\Gamma(M, N)$ by replacing elements whose product is zero with elements whose product is in some submodule $N$ of $M$. The main objective of this paper is to study the interplay of the properties of submodule $N$ and the properties of $\Gamma(M, N)$.
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1. Introduction

Let $R$ be a commutative ring with identity. The zero divisor graph of $R$, denoted $\Gamma(R)$, is an undirected graph whose vertices are the nonzero zero divisor of $R$ with two distinct vertices $x$ and $y$ are adjacent by an edge if and only
if $xy = 0$. The idea of a zero divisor graph of a commutative ring was introduced by Beck in [3] where he was mainly interested with colorings of rings. The definition above first is appeared in [2], which contains several fundamental results concerning $\Gamma(R)$. The zero-divisor graph of a commutative ring is further examined by Anderson, Levy and Shapiro, Mulay in [1, 9]. Also, the ideal-based zero divisor graph of $R$ is defined by Redmond, in [12].

The zero divisor graph for modules over commutative rings has been defined by Behboodi in [4] as a generalization of zero divisor graph of rings. Let $R$ be a commutative ring and $M$ be an $R$-module, for $x \in M$, we denote the annihilator of the factor module $M/Rx$ by $I_x$. An element $x \in M$ is called a zero divisor, if either $x = 0$ or $I_xI_yM = 0$ for some $y \neq 0$ with $I_y \subset R$. The set of zero divisors of $M$ is denoted by $Z(M)$ and the associated graph to $M$ with vertices in $Z^*(M) = Z(M) \setminus \{0\}$ is denoted by $\Gamma(M)$, such that two different vertices $x$ and $y$ are adjacent provided $I_xI_yM = 0$.

In this paper, we introduce the submodule-based zero divisor graph that is a generalization of zero divisor graph for modules. Let $R$ be a commutative ring, $M$ be an $R$-module and $N$ be a proper submodule of $M$. An element $x \in M$ is called zero divisor with respect to $N$, if either $x \in N$ or $I_xI_yM \subseteq N$ for some $y \in M \setminus N$ with $I_y \subset R$. We denote $Z(M,N)$ for the set of zero divisors of $M$ with respect to $N$. Also, we denote the associated graph to $M$ with vertices $Z^*(M,N) = Z(M,N) \setminus N$ by $\Gamma(M,N)$, and two different vertices $x$ and $y$ are adjacent provided $I_xI_yM \subseteq N$.

In the second section, we define a submodule-based zero divisor graph for a module and we study basic properties of this graph. In the third section, if $M$ is a finitely generated semisimple $R$-module such that its homogenous components are simple and $N$ is a submodule of $M$, we determine some relations between $\Gamma(M,N)$ and $\Gamma(M/N)$, where $M/N$ is the quotient module of $M$, we show that the clique number and chromatic number of $\Gamma(M,N)$ are equal. Also, we determine some submodule of $M$ such that $\Gamma(M,N)$ is an empty or a complete bipartite graph.

Let $\Gamma$ be a (undirected) graph. We say that $\Gamma$ is connected if there is a path between any two distinct vertices. For vertex $x$ the number of graph edges which touch $x$ is called the degree of $x$ and is denoted by $\deg(x)$. For vertices $x$ and $y$ of $\Gamma$, we define $d(x,y)$ to be the length of a shortest path between $x$ and $y$, if there is no path, then $d(x,y) = \infty$. The diameter of $\Gamma$ is $\diam(\Gamma) = \sup\{d(x,y) | x$ and $y$ are vertices of $\Gamma\}$. The girth of $\Gamma$, denoted by $\text{gr}(\Gamma)$, is the length of a shortest cycle in $\Gamma$ ($\text{gr}(\Gamma) = \infty$ if $\Gamma$ contains no cycle).

A graph $\Gamma$ is complete if any two distinct vertices are adjacent. The complete graph with $n$ vertices is denoted by $K^n$ (we allow $n$ to be an infinite cardinal). The clique number, $\omega(\Gamma)$, is the greatest integer $n > 1$ such that $K^n \subseteq \Gamma$, and $\omega(\Gamma) = \infty$ if $K^n \subseteq \Gamma$ for all $n \geq 1$. A complete bipartite graph is a graph $\Gamma$ which may be partitioned into two disjoint nonempty vertex sets $V_1$ and $V_2$. 

...
such that two distinct vertices are adjacent if and only if they are in different vertex sets. If one of the vertex sets is a singleton, then we call that $\Gamma$ is a star graph. We denote the complete bipartite graph by $K^{m,n}$, where $|V_1| = m$ and $|V_2| = n$ (again, we allow $m$ and $n$ to be infinite cardinals); so a star graph is $K^{1,n}$, for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

The chromatic number, $\chi(\Gamma)$, of a graph $\Gamma$ is the minimum number of colors needed to color the vertices of $\Gamma$, so that no two adjacent vertices share the same color. A graph $\Gamma$ is called planar if it can be drawn in such a way that no two edges intersect.

Throughout this study, $R$ is a commutative ring with nonzero identity, $M$ is a unitary $R$-module and $N$ is a proper submodule of $M$. Given any subset $S$ of $M$, the annihilator of $S$ is denoted by $\text{ann}(S) = \{ r \in R | rs = 0 \text{ for all } s \in S \}$ and the cardinal number of $S$ is denoted by $|S|$.

2. Submodule-based Zero Divisor Graph

Recall that $R$ is a commutative ring, $M$ is an $R$-module and $N$ is a proper submodule of $M$. For $x \in M$, we denote $\text{ann}(M/Rx)$ by $I_x$.

**Definition 2.1.** Let $M$ be an $R$-module and $N$ be a proper submodule of $M$. An $x \in M$ is called a zero divisor with respect to $N$ if $x \in N$ or $I_x I_y M \subseteq N$ for some $y \in M \setminus N$ with $I_y \subseteq R$.

We denote the set of zero divisors of $M$ with respect to $N$ by $Z(M, N)$ and $Z^*(M, N) = Z(M, N) \setminus N$. The submodule-based zero divisor graph of $M$ with respect to $N$, $\Gamma(M, N)$, is an undirected graph with vertices $Z^*(M, N)$ such that distinct vertices $x$ and $y$ are adjacent if and only if $I_x I_y M \subseteq N$.

The following example shows that $Z(M/N)$ and $Z(M, N)$ are different from each other.

**Example 2.2.** Let $M = \mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}$ and $N = 2\mathbb{Z} \oplus 0$. Then $I_{(m,n)} = 0$, for all $(m,n) \in \mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}$. But $I_{(m,n)} + N = 2n\mathbb{Z}$ whenever $m \in 2\mathbb{Z}$ and $I_{(m,n)} + N = 2n\mathbb{Z}$ whenever $m \not\in 2\mathbb{Z}$. Thus $(1,0), (1,1) \in Z^*(M, N)$ are adjacent in $\Gamma(M, N)$, but $(1,0) + N, (1,1) + N \not\in Z^*(M/N)$.

**Proposition 2.3.** If $Z^*(M, N) = \emptyset$, then $\text{ann}(M/N)$ is a prime ideal of $R$.

**Proof.** Suppose that $\text{ann}(M/N)$ is not prime. Then there are ideals $I$ and $J$ of $R$ such that $IJ \subseteq N$ but $IM \not\subseteq N$ and $JM \not\subseteq N$. Let $x \in IM \setminus N$ and $y \in JM \setminus N$. Then $I_x J_y M \subseteq IJM \subseteq N$ and $I_y \subseteq R$. Thus $x \in Z^*(M, N)$, a contradiction. Hence, $\text{ann}(M/N)$ is a prime ideal of $R$. \hfill $\square$

**Lemma 2.4.** Let $x, y \in Z^*(M, N)$. If $x - y$ is an edge in $\Gamma(M, N)$, then for each $0 \neq r \in R$, either $ry \in N$ or $x - ry$ is also an edge in $\Gamma(M, N)$.

**Proof.** Let $x, y \in Z^*(M, N)$ and $r \in R$. Assume that $x - y$ is an edge in $\Gamma(M, N)$ and $ry \not\in N$. Then $I_x I_y M \subseteq N$. It is clear that $I_{rx} \subseteq I_x$. So that $I_x I_y M \subseteq I_x I_y M \subseteq N$ and therefore, $x - ry$ is an edge in $\Gamma(M, N)$. \hfill $\square$
It is shown that the graphs are defined in [12] and [4], are connected with diameter less than or equal to three. Moreover, it shown that if those graphs contain a cycle, then they have the girth less than or equal to four. In the next theorems, we extend these results to a submodule-based zero divisor graph.

**Theorem 2.5.** $\Gamma(M, N)$ is a connected graph and $\text{diam}(\Gamma(M, N)) \leq 3$.

**Proof.** Let $x$ and $y$ be distinct vertices of $\Gamma(M, N)$. Then, there are $a, b \in Z^*(M, N)$ with $I_aI_xM \subseteq N$ and $I_bI_yM \subseteq N$ (we allow $a, b \in \{x, y\}$). If $I_aI_bM \subseteq N$, then $x - a - b - y$ is a path, thus $d(x, y) \leq 3$. If $I_aI_bM \not\subseteq N$, then $Ra \cap Rb \not\subseteq N$, and for every $d \in (Ra \cap Rb) \setminus N$, $x - d - y$ is a path of length 2, $d(x, y) \leq 2$, by Lemma 2.4. Hence, we conclude that $\text{diam}(\Gamma(M, N)) \leq 3$. \hfill $\Box$

**Theorem 2.6.** If $\Gamma(M, N)$ contains a cycle, then $\text{gr}(\Gamma(M, N)) \leq 4$.

**Proof.** We have $\text{gr}(\Gamma(M, N)) \leq 7$, by Proposition 1.3.2 in [7] and Theorem 2.5. Assume that $x_1 - x_2 - \cdots - x_7 - x_1$ is a cycle in $\Gamma(M, N)$. If $x_1 = x_4$ then it is clear that $\text{gr}(\Gamma(M, N)) \leq 3$. So, suppose that $x_1 \neq x_4$. Then we have the following two cases:

**Case 1.** If $x_1$ and $x_4$ are adjacent in $\Gamma(M, N)$, then $x_1 - x_2 - x_3 - x_4 - x_1$ is a cycle and $\text{gr}(\Gamma(M, N)) \leq 4$.

**Case 2.** Suppose that $x_1$ and $x_4$ are not adjacent in $\Gamma(M, N)$. Then $I_{x_1}I_{x_4}M \not\subseteq N$ and so there is a $z \in (Rx_1 \cap Rx_4) \setminus N$. If $z = x_1$, then $z \neq x_4$ and $x_3 - x_4 - x_5 - z - x_3$ is a cycle in $\Gamma(M, N)$, by Lemma 2.4. If $z \neq x_1$, then by Lemma 2.4, $x_1 - x_2 - z - x_7 - x_1$ is a cycle and $\text{gr}(\Gamma(M, N)) \leq 4$.

For cycles with length 5 or 6, by using a similar argument as above, one can shows that $\text{gr}(\Gamma(M, N)) \leq 4$. \hfill $\Box$

**Example 2.7.** Assume that $M = \mathbb{Z}$ and $p, q$ are two prime numbers. If $N = p\mathbb{Z}$, then $\Gamma(M, N) = \emptyset$. If $N = pq\mathbb{Z}$, then $\Gamma(M, N)$ is an infinite complete bipartite graph with vertex set $V_1 \cup V_2$, where $V_1 = p\mathbb{Z} \setminus pq\mathbb{Z}$ and $V_2 = q\mathbb{Z} \setminus pq\mathbb{Z}$ and so $\text{gr}(\Gamma(M, N)) = 4$.

**Corollary 2.8.** If $N$ is a prime submodule of $M$, then $\text{diam}(\Gamma(M, N)) \leq 2$ and $\text{gr}(\Gamma(M, N)) = 3$, whenever it contains a cycle.

**Proof.** Let $x, y$ be two distinct vertices which are not adjacent in $\Gamma(M, N)$. Thus there is an $a \in M \setminus N$ such that $I_aI_xM \subseteq N$. Since $N$ is a prime submodule, then $I_aI_yM \subseteq N$. Thus $I_aI_yM \subseteq N$, and then $x - a - y$ is a path in $\Gamma(M, N)$. Then $\text{diam}(\Gamma(M, N)) \leq 2$. \hfill $\Box$

**Lemma 2.9.** Let $|\Gamma(M, N)| \geq 3$, $\text{gr}(\Gamma(M, N)) = \infty$ and $x \in Z^*(M, N)$ with $\text{deg}(x) > 1$. Then $Rx = \{0, x\}$ and $\text{ann}(x)$ is a prime ideal of $R$.

**Proof.** First we show that $Rx = \{0, x\}$. Let $u - x - v$ be a path in $\Gamma(M, N)$. Then $u - v$ is not an edge in $\Gamma(M, N)$ since $\text{gr}(\Gamma(M, N)) = \infty$. If $x \neq rx$ for some $r \in R$ and $rx \not\in N$, then by Lemma 2.4, $rx - u - x - v - rx$ is a cycle in
Theorem 2.10. If $N$ is a nonzero submodule of $M$ and $\text{gr}(\Gamma(M, N)) = \infty$, then $\Gamma(M, N)$ is a star graph.

Proof. Suppose that $\Gamma(M, N)$ is not a star graph. Then there is a path in $\Gamma(M, N)$ such as $u - x - y - v$. By Lemma 2.9, we have $Rx = \{0, x\}$ and by assumption $u$ and $y$ are not adjacent, thus $I_y M \neq 0$. So that $I_y M = R_y M$. Also, $x - y - v$ is a path, thus $I_x I_y M \subseteq N$ and $I_x I_y M \subseteq N$. Hence, $I_x R_y M \subseteq N$ and $I_x R_y M \subseteq N$. On the other hand, for every nonzero $n \in N$, we have
\[ I_x (I_y + n) \subseteq I_x (R_y + n) \subseteq N \]
and similarly $I_x (I_y + n) \subseteq N$. So that $x - y - v - (y + n) - x$ is a cycle in $\Gamma(M, N)$, a contradiction. Therefore, $\Gamma(M, N)$ is a star graph. \qed

Theorem 2.11. Let $N$ be a nonzero submodule of $M$, $|\Gamma(M, N)| \geq 3$ and $\Gamma(M, N)$ is a star graph. Then the following statements are true:

(i) If $x$ is the center vertex, then $I_x = \text{ann}(M)$.

(ii) $\Gamma(M, N)$ is a subgraph of $\Gamma(M)$.

Proof. (i) By Lemma 2.9, we have $Rx = \{0, x\}$. Thus either $I_x M = 0$ or $I_x M = Rx$. Assume that $I_x M = Rx$. If $y$ is a vertex of $\Gamma(M, N)$ such that $y \neq x$, then $\text{deg}(y) = 1$ and $I_x I_y M \subseteq N$. Thus $I_x R_y M \subseteq N$. Since $I_x (I_y + n) \subseteq I_x (R_y + n) \subseteq N$ for every nonzero element $n \in N$ it concludes that $y = x + n$. In this case, every other vertices of $\Gamma(M, N)$ are adjacent to $y$, a contradiction. Hence, $I_x M = 0$ and $I_x = \text{ann}(M)$.

(ii) It is obvious. \qed

Theorem 2.12. If $|N| \geq 3$ and $\Gamma(M, N)$ is a complete bipartite graph which is not a star graph, then $I_x^2 M \nsubseteq N$, for every $x \in Z^*(M, N)$.

Proof. Let $Z^*(M, N) = V_1 \cup V_2$, where $V_1 \cap V_2 = \emptyset$. Suppose that $I_x^2 M \nsubseteq N$ for some $x \in Z^*(M, N)$. Without loss of generality, we can assume that $x \in V_1$. By a similar argument with Lemma 2.9, either $Rx = \{0, x\}$ or there is an $r \in R$ such that $x \neq rx$ and $rx \in N$. If $Rx = \{0, x\}$, then $I_x M = Rx$. Thus $I_x R_x M \subseteq N$. Now, for every $y \in V_2$ and $n \in N$ we get
\[ I_y (I_x + n) \subseteq I_y (R_x + n) \subseteq N \]
and $I_x I_y + n \subseteq N$. Then, $x + n \in V_1 \cap V_2$, a contradiction. So, assume that $x \neq rx$ and $rx \in N$ for some $r \in R$. Since $I_{rx + x} \subseteq I_x$, then $I_x I_{rx + x} M \subseteq N$ and for all $y \in V_2$, $I_y I_{rx + x} M \subseteq N$. Thus $rx + x \in V_1 \cap V_2$, a contradiction. \qed
An $R$-module $X$ is called a multiplication-like module if, for each nonzero submodule $Y$ of $X$, $\text{ann}(X) \subseteq \text{ann}(X/Y)$. Multiplication-like module have been studied in [8, 13].

A vertex $x$ of a connected graph $G$ is a cut-point, if there are vertices $u, v$ of $G$ such that $x$ is in every path from $u$ to $v$ and $x \neq u, x \neq v$. For a connected graph $G$, an edge $E$ of $G$ is defined to be a bridge if $G - \{E\}$ is disconnected, see [6].

**Theorem 2.13.** Let $M$ be a multiplication-like module and $N$ be a nonzero submodule of $M$. Then $\Gamma(M, N)$ has no cut-points.

**Proof.** Suppose that $x$ is a cut-point of $\Gamma(M, N)$. Then there exist vertices $u, v \in M \setminus N$ such that $x$ lies on every path from $u$ to $v$. By Theorem 2.5, the shortest path from $u$ to $v$ has length 2 or 3.

**Case 1.** Suppose that $u - x - v$ is a path of shortest length from $u$ to $v$. Since $x$ is a cut point, $u, v$ aren’t in a cycle. By a similar argument to that of Lemma 2.9, we have $Rx \subseteq Rx$ and $Rx$ is a multiplication-like module, so we have $I_xM = Rx$. Hence $I_xRx \subseteq N$ and $I_xRx \subseteq N$. Also, for every nonzero $n \in N$, we have $I_nI_{x+n}M \subseteq I_n(Rx + N) \subseteq N$ and $I_nI_{x+n}M \subseteq N$. Therefore, $u - (x + n) - v$ is a path from $u$ to $v$, a contradiction.

**Case 2.** Suppose that $u - x - y - v$ is a path in $\Gamma(M, N)$. Then, we have $I_xM = Rx$ and for every nonzero $n \in N$, we have $I_yI_{x+n}M \subseteq N$ and $I_yI_{x+n}M \subseteq N$. Thus $u - (x + n) - y - v$ is a path from $u$ to $v$, a contradiction. □

**Theorem 2.14.** Let $M$ be a multiplication-like module and $N$ be a nonzero submodule of $M$. Then $\Gamma(M, N)$ has a bridge if and only if $\Gamma(M, N)$ is a graph on two vertices.

**Proof.** If $|\Gamma(M, N)| = 3$, then $\Gamma(M, N) = K^3$, by Theorem 2.11, and it has no bridge. Assume that $|\Gamma(M, N)| \geq 4$ and $x - y$ is a bridge. Thus there is not a cycle containing $x - y$. Without loss of generality, we can assume that $\deg(x) > 1$. Thus, there exists a vertex $z \neq y$ such that $z - x$ is an edge of $\Gamma(M, N)$. Then $Rx = \{0, x\}$ and $I_xM = Rx$. Hence, for every $n \in N$, $I_xI_{x+n}M \subseteq N$ and $I_xI_{x+n}M \subseteq N$, a contradiction. Therefore, $\Gamma(M, N)$ has not a bridge. The converse is clear. □

3. Module-based Zero Divisor Graph of Semisimple Modules

A nonzero $R$-module $X$ is called simple if its only submodules are $(0)$ and $X$. An $R$-module $X$ is called semisimple if it is a direct sum of simple modules. Also, $X$ is called homogenous semisimple if it is a direct sum of isomorphic simple modules.

In this section, $R$ is a commutative ring and $M$ is a finitely generated semisimple $R$-module such that its homogenous components are simple and
N is a submodule of \( M \). The following theorem has a crucial role in this section.

**Theorem 3.1.** Let \( x, y \in M \setminus N \). Then \( x, y \) are adjacent in \( \Gamma(M, N) \) if and only if \( Rx \cap Ry \subseteq N \).

**Proof.** Let \( M = \bigoplus_{i \in I} M_i \), where \( M_i \)'s are non-isomorphic simple submodules of \( M \). By assumption \( N \) is a submodule of \( M \), so there exists a subset \( A \) of \( I \) such that \( M = N + (\bigoplus_{i \in A} M_i) \) and so \( \text{ann}(M/N) = \text{ann}(\bigoplus_{i \in A} M_i) = \bigcap_{i \in A} \text{ann}(M_i) \). Assume that \( x, y \in M \setminus N \) are adjacent in \( \Gamma(M, N) \) and \( Rx \cap Ry \not\subseteq N \). Thus there exists \( \alpha \in I \) such that \( M_\alpha \subseteq (Rx \cap Ry) \setminus N \). Also, there exist subsets \( B \subseteq I \) and \( C \subseteq I \) such that \( M = Rx \oplus (\bigoplus_{i \in B} M_i) \) and \( M = Ry \oplus (\bigoplus_{i \in C} M_i) \). Therefore, \( I_x = \bigcap_{i \in B} \text{ann}(M_i) \) and \( I_y = \bigcap_{i \in C} \text{ann}(M_i) \). Since \( I_x I_y M \subseteq N \), we have \( I_x I_y \subseteq \text{ann}(M/N) \). For every \( i, j \in I \), \( \text{ann}(M_i) \) and \( \text{ann}(M_j) \) are coprime, then

\[
I_x I_y = \left( \bigcap_{i \in B} \text{ann}(M_i) \right) \left( \bigcap_{i \in C} \text{ann}(M_i) \right) = \prod_{i \in B \cup C} \text{ann}(M_i) \subseteq \left( \bigcap_{i \in A} \text{ann}(M_i) \right) \subseteq \text{ann}(M_r),
\]

for all \( r \in A \). Thus for any \( r \in A \) there exists \( j_r \in B \cup C \) such that \( \text{ann}(M_{j_r}) \subseteq \text{ann}(M_r) \). So that \( \text{ann}(M_{j_r}) = \text{ann}(M_r) \) implies that \( M_{j_r} \cong M_r \) and by hypothesis \( M_{j_r} = M_r \). Hence,

\[
M_\alpha \subseteq \bigoplus_{i \in A} M_i \subseteq \bigoplus_{j \in B \cup C} M_j.
\]

Thus there exists \( \gamma \in B \cup C \) such that \( M_\gamma = M_r \), also

\[
M_\alpha \subseteq Rx \cap Ry = (\bigoplus_{i \in I \setminus B} M_i) \cap (\bigoplus_{i \in I \setminus C} M_i).
\]

Therefore, \( \alpha \in I \setminus (B \cup C) \), a contradiction. The converse is obvious. \( \square \)

**Corollary 3.2.** Let \( x, y \in M \setminus N \) be such that \( x + N \neq y + N \). Then

(i) \( x \) and \( y \) are adjacent in \( \Gamma(M, N) \) if and only if \( x + N \) and \( y + N \) are adjacent in \( \Gamma(M/N) \).

(ii) if \( x \) and \( y \) are adjacent in \( \Gamma(M, N) \), then all distinct elements of \( x + N \) and \( y + N \) are adjacent in \( \Gamma(M, N) \).

**Proof.** (i) Let \( M = \bigoplus_{i \in I} M_i \), where \( M_i \)'s are non-isomorphic simple submodules of \( M \). Suppose that \( x \) and \( y \) are adjacent in \( \Gamma(M, N) \), \( Rx = \bigoplus_{i \in A} M_i \), \( Ry = \bigoplus_{i \in B} M_i \) and \( N = \bigoplus_{i \in C} M_i \). Then \( Rx + N = \bigoplus_{i \in A \cup C} M_i \) and \( Ry + N = \bigoplus_{i \in B \cup C} M_i \). Thus,

\[
(Rx + N) \cap (Ry + N) = \bigoplus_{i \in (A \cup C) \cap (B \cup C)} M_i = \bigoplus_{i \in (A \cap B) \cup C} M_i = (Rx \cap Ry) + N.
\]

By Theorem 3.1, we have \( Rx \cap Ry \subseteq N \) hence,

\[
I_x + N I_{y + N} M \subseteq (Rx + N) \cap (Ry + N) = (Rx \cap Ry) + N = N.
\]
Therefore, $x + N$ and $y + N$ are adjacent in $\Gamma(M/N)$. The converse is obvious.

(ii) Let $x, y \in Z^*(M, N)$ be adjacent in $\Gamma(M, N)$. Then $Rx \cap Ry \subseteq N$ by Theorem 3.1. So for every $n, n' \in N$ we have

$$I_{x+n}I_{y+n'}M \subseteq R(x+n) \cap R(y+n') \subseteq (Rx+N) \cap (Ry+N) = N.$$ 

Hence, $x + n$ and $y + n'$ are adjacent in $\Gamma(M, N)$. □

In the following theorem, we prove that the clique number of graphs $\Gamma(M, N)$ and $\Gamma(M/N)$ are equal.

**Theorem 3.3.** If $N$ is a nonzero submodule of $M$, then $\omega(\Gamma(M/N)) = \omega(\Gamma(M, N))$.

**Proof.** First we show that $I^2_{m+N}M \not\subseteq N$ for each $0 \neq m + N \in M/N$.

Assume that $N = \oplus_{i \in A} M_i$ and $m = (m_i)_{i \in I} \in M \setminus N$. Then $I_{m+N} = \bigcap_{i \in A, m_i \neq 0} \text{ann}(M_i)$. Hence, $I_{m+N} = I^2_{m+N}$. Thus $I^2_{m+N}M \not\subseteq N$ since there is at least one $j \in I \setminus A$ such that $m_j \neq 0$.

Now, Corollary 3.2 implies that $\omega(\Gamma(M/N)) \leq \omega(\Gamma(M, N))$. Thus, it is enough to consider the case where $\omega(\Gamma(M/N)) = d < \infty$. Assume that $G$ is a complete subgraph of $\Gamma(M, N)$ with vertices $m_1, m_2, \ldots, m_{d+1}$, we provide a contradiction. Consider the subgraph $G_i$ of $\Gamma(M/N)$ with vertices $m_1, m_2, \ldots, m_{d+1}$. By Corollary 3.2, $G_i$ is a complete subgraph of $\Gamma(M, N)$. Thus $m_j + N = m_k + N$ for some $1 \leq j, k \leq d+1$ with $j \neq k$ since $\omega(\Gamma(M/N)) = d$. We have $I_{m_j}I_{m_k}M \subseteq N$. Therefore, $R_{m_j} \cap R_{m_k} \subseteq N$ and so $I_{m_j+N}I_{m_k+N}M \subseteq N$. Hence, $I^2_{m_j+N}M \subseteq N$, that is a contradiction. □

In the following theorem, we show that there is a relation between $\omega(\Gamma(M, N))$ and $\chi(\Gamma(M, N))$.

**Theorem 3.4.** Assume that $M = \bigoplus_{i \in I} M_i$, where $M_i$’s are non-isomorphic simple submodules of $M$ and $N = \bigoplus_{i \in A} M_i$ is a submodule of $M$ for some $A \subset I$. Then $\omega(\Gamma(M,N)) = \chi(\Gamma(M,N)) = |I| - |A|$.

**Proof.** Suppose that $I \setminus A = \{1, \ldots, n\}$ so $M_1, \ldots, M_n \not\subseteq N$. Let for $1 \leq k \leq n - 1$

$$L^k = \{m \in M : m \text{ has } k \text{ nonzero components} \}$$

and let for $1 \leq s \leq n$

$$L^s_1 = \{m \in L^1 : \text{the } s^{th} \text{ component of } m \text{ is nonzero} \}.$$ 

If $m \in L^s_1$ and $m' \in L^t_1$ for some $1 \leq s, t \leq n$ with $s \neq t$, then $m$ and $m'$ are adjacent and so $K^n$ is a subgraph of $\Gamma(M, N)$. Thus $\omega(\Gamma(M, N)) \geq n$.

If $m, m' \in L^s_1$ for some $1 \leq s \leq n$, then $m, m'$ are not adjacent because $\text{ann}(M_s) \not\subseteq I_mI_{m'}$ and so the elements of $L^s_1$ have same color. On the other hand, if $x \in L^s$ with $t > 1$, then there is not a complete subgraph $K^b$ of $\Gamma(M,N)$ containing $x$, such that $b \geq n$. Thus $\omega(\Gamma(M,N)) = n \leq \chi(\Gamma(M,N))$. Also, if $x \in L^s$ with $t > 1$, then there is an $s$ with $1 \leq s \leq n$ such that $x$ is not
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adjacent to each element of $L^1_s$. Thus the color of $x$ is same as the elements of $L^1_s$. Thus $\chi(\Gamma(M,N)) = n$. \hfill \Box

The Kwartowski’s Theorem states: A graph $G$ is planar if and only if it contains no subgraph homeomorphic to $K^5$ or $K^{3,3}$.

**Theorem 3.5.** Let $N$ be a nonzero proper submodule of $M$ such that $N$ is not prime. Then $\Gamma(M,N)$ is not planar.

**Proof.** Assume that $M = \bigoplus_{i \in I} M_i$, where $M_i$’s are non-isomorphic simple submodules of $M$ and $N = \bigoplus_{i \in A} M_i$ for some $A \subseteq I$. Let $I \setminus A = \{i, j\}$. Then $\Gamma(M,N)$ is a complete bipartite graph $K^{n,m}$, where $n = (|M_i| - 1)(\prod_{k \in I \setminus \{i,j\}} |M_k|)$ and $m = (|M_j| - 1)(\prod_{k \in I \setminus \{i,j\}} |M_k|)$. By hypotheses $N$ is a nonzero module and $M_i$’s are non-isomorphic, so we have $n, m \geq 3$. Hence $\Gamma(M,N)$ has a subgraph homeomorphic to $K^{3,3}$. The cases $|I \setminus A| \geq 3$ are similar to that of the case $|I \setminus A| = 2$. \hfill \Box

**Theorem 3.6.** A nonzero submodule $N$ of $M$ is prime if and only if $Z^*(M,N) = \emptyset$.

**Proof.** Let $M = \bigoplus_{i \in I} M_i$, where $M_i$’s are non-isomorphic simple submodules of $M$ and $N$ is prime. Then $N = \bigoplus_{i \in I \setminus \{k\}} M_i$, for some $k \in I$. If $x \in Z^*(M,N)$, then there exists $y \in M \setminus N$ such that $I_xI_y M \subseteq N$. If $x \neq y$, then $Rx \cap Ry \subseteq N$, by Theorem 3.1. Thus either $M_k \nsubseteq Rx$ or $M_k \nsubseteq Ry$. Hence, either $Rx \subseteq N$ or $Ry \subseteq N$, a contradiction. Now, suppose that $x = y$ so by $I_x^2 M \subseteq N$ and hypotheses $I_x M \subseteq N$. Thus $I_{x+n}I_x M \subseteq N$ for every $0 \neq n \in N$. By a similar argument, we have either $x \in N$ or $x + n \in N$, a contradiction. Hence, $Z^*(M,N) = \emptyset$.

Conversely, assume that $Z^*(M,N) = \emptyset$. Then $\text{ann}(M/N)$ is prime ideal of $R$ by Proposition 2.3 and there exists a $k \in I$ such that $\text{ann}(M/N) = \text{ann}(M_k)$. Hence, $N = \bigoplus_{i \in I \setminus \{k\}} M_i$ is a prime submodule of $M$. \hfill \Box

A proper submodule $N$ of $M$ is called 2-absorbing if whenever $a, b \in R$, $m \in M$ and $amn \in N$, then $am \in N$ or $bm \in N$ or $ab \in \text{ann}(M/N)$, see [10, 11]. In the following results, we study the behavior of $\Gamma(M,N)$ whenever $N$ is a 2-absorbing submodule of $M$.

**Theorem 3.7.** A submodule $N$ of $M$ is 2-absorbing if and only if at most two components of $M$ are zero in $N$.

**Proof.** Let $M = \bigoplus_{i \in I} M_i$, where $M_i$’s are non-isomorphic simple submodules of $M$. Suppose that $N$ is a 2-absorbing submodule of $M$ and $N = \bigoplus_{i \in A} M_i$, where $A = I \setminus \{s, t, k\}$. Since for all $i \in I$, $\text{ann}(M_i)$ is prime, there are $a \in \text{ann}(M_s) \setminus (\text{ann}(M_t) \cup \text{ann}(M_k))$, $b \in \text{ann}(M_t) \setminus (\text{ann}(M_s) \cup \text{ann}(M_k))$ and $c \in \bigcap_{j \in I \setminus \{s,t\}} \text{ann}(M_j) \setminus (\text{ann}(M_s) \cup \text{ann}(M_t) \cup \text{ann}(M_k))$. Now, $abc \in \text{ann}(M/N)$ but $ab \notin \text{ann}(M/N)$, $ac \notin \text{ann}(M/N)$ and $bc \notin \text{ann}(M/N)$. This contradicts with
Theorem 2.3 in [10]. Thus $|A| \geq |I| - 2$ and at most two components of $M$ are zero in $N$.

Conversely, if one component of $M$ is zero in $N$, then $N$ is a prime submodule of $M$. Suppose that $N = \bigoplus_{i \in A} M_i$, where $A = I \setminus \{i, j\}$. Thus $M_i, M_j \not\subset N$. Suppose that $a, b \in R, (m_i)_{i \in I} = m \in M \setminus N$ and $abm \in N$. Then either $m_i \neq 0$ or $m_j \neq 0$. If $m_i \neq 0$ and $m_j \neq 0$, then $ab \in \text{ann}(M_i) \cap \text{ann}(M_j) = \text{ann}(M/N)$. If $m_i \neq 0$ and $m_j = 0$, then $ab \in \text{ann}(M_i)$ and so either $a \in \text{ann}(M_i)$ or $b \in \text{ann}(M_i)$. Hence, $am \in N$ or $bm \in N$. The case $m_i = 0$ and $m_j \neq 0$, is similar to the previous case. Therefore, $N$ is a 2-absorbing submodule of $M$.

\begin{flushright}
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**Theorem 3.8.** $N$ is a 2-absorbing submodule of $M$ if and only if $Z^*(M, N) = \emptyset$ or $\Gamma(M, N)$ is a complete bipartite graph.

**Proof.** Let $N$ be a 2-absorbing submodule of $M$. If $N$ is prime, then $Z^*(M, N) = \emptyset$, by Theorem 3.6. Now, assume that $N = \bigoplus_{i \in I \setminus \{j, k\}} M_i$, for some $j, k \in I$ and $(m_i)_{i \in I} = m \in M \setminus N$. Thus $I_m = \bigcap_{i \in I, m_i = 0} \text{ann}(M_i)$. If $m_i \neq 0$ and $m_k \neq 0$, then $m \notin Z(M, N)$. Let $V_1 = \{(m_i)_{i \in I} \in M \setminus N : m_j = 0\}$ and $V_2 = \{(m_i)_{i \in I} \in M \setminus N : m_k = 0\}$. Thus $m - m'$ is an edge of $\Gamma(M, N)$ for every $m \in V_1$ and $m' \in V_2$. Also, every vertices in $V_1$ and $V_2$ are not adjacent. Hence, $\Gamma(M, N)$ is a complete bipartite graph.

Now, suppose that $\Gamma(M, N)$ is a complete bipartite graph and $N$ is not 2-absorbing. By Theorem 3.7, there are at least three components $M_s, M_t, M_k$ such that $M_s, M_t, M_k \not\subset N$. For $i = s, t, k$ let $v_i = (m_i)_{i \in I}$, where $m_i \neq 0$ and $m_j = 0$ for all $j \neq i$. Then $v_s - v_t - v_k - v_s$ is a cycle in $\Gamma(M, N)$. Thus $\text{gr}(\Gamma(M, N)) = 3$ and so $\Gamma(M, N)$ is not bipartite graph, by Theorem 1 of Sec. 1.2 in [5]. Hence, $N$ is a 2-absorbing submodule of $M$.

\begin{flushright}
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**Example 3.9.** Let $M = \mathbb{Z}_2 \oplus \mathbb{Z}_5 \oplus \mathbb{Z}_7$. Then every nonzero submodule $N$ of $M$ is 2-absorbing. Thus either $Z^*(M, N) = \emptyset$ or $\Gamma(M, N)$ is a complete bipartite graph. In particular, if $N = \mathbb{Z}_7$, then $\Gamma(M, N) = K_7^{28}$.
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