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Introduction. Arteriovenous fistulas and grafts are two common permanent access methods for hemodialysis. Selection of an appropriate access, appropriate surgical techniques, and treatment of complications are basic principles of long-term maintenance of vascular access. We aimed to assess the survival of arteriovenous fistulas and grafts in our dialysis center.

Materials and Methods. A total of 200 cases of vascular access placement in 155 patients were reviewed. Data on the type of access and the duration of a functional access were collected for survival analysis.

Results. The median duration of dialysis was 70.6 months. Among different methods of vascular access, elbows’ wrinkle arteriovenous fistulas (57.0%) were the most frequently used vascular access. The longest mean survival period based on the anatomical location was seen in patients with the arteriovenous fistulas of the wrist (100.2 months); however, there were no significant relationships between anatomical location and durability of vascular access. The mean survival of vascular access was 96.4 months in men and 104.9 months in women. The mean vascular access survival in diabetic patients was 78.8 months as compared with 101.6 months in nondiabetics.

Conclusions. Antecubital arteriovenous fistulas were the most common forms of vascular access among our hemodialysis patients; however, arteriovenous fistulas of the wrist provided a better survival. Monitoring of the arteriovenous access and early diagnosis of its insufficiency can guarantee timely intervention and a better survival time.

INTRODUCTION

The population of patients with end-stage renal disease (ESRD) is increasing by 6% per year, and annually, vascular access and treatment of its complications will exceed more than a billion dollar.1-3 In Iran, the same increasing trend is seen in the ESRD population.4 Although the favorable treatment in patients with ESRD is kidney transplantation, due to the high costs and failure of transplantation, long-term hemodialysis has become the most common therapy used in these patients.5

One of the important issues in these patients is creating and maintaining a permanent vascular access.6 Two types of permanent access used in hemodialysis patients are arteriovenous fistula (AVF), which is made from patients’ vascular structure, and arteriovascular graft (AVG), which
is created by synthetic materials or animals’ vein.7,8 Reducing morbidity and costs of vascular access maintenance is now a challenge for nephrological care teams. Vascular access problems, occurring in about 16% to 25%, often lead to hospital admission. Therefore, appropriate access selection, appropriate surgical techniques, and treatment of complications are basic principles of long-term success in the delivery of care to hemodialysis patients.9 We aimed to assess the survival of arteriovenous fistulas and grafts in our dialysis center.

MATERIALS AND METHODS
In a retrospective study, we reviewed vascular access surgery in hemodialysis patients referred to Razi Educational-Care Center of Guilan University of Medical Sciences, which is the only center of dialysis in Rasht, Iran. Between April and June 2006, a total of 200 vascular access surgeries were done in 155 patients. We collected data on their clinical characteristics to study the survival of the AVFs and AVGs. Diagnosis of inadequate vascular access was done clinically by a vascular surgeon. Then collected data were imported into the SPSS software (Statistical Package for the Social Sciences, version 10.0, SPSS Inc, Chicago, Ill, USA), and survival analysis was done on vascular access data using the Kaplan-Meier statistic method.

RESULTS
Of the 155 patients studied, 58.5% were men and 41.5% were women. The mean age of the patients was 54.9 ± 14.6 and the median duration of dialysis was 70.6 months. Of the patients, 78.5% had experienced temporary central venous catheter placement. Among different methods of vascular access, elbows’ wrinkle AVFs (57.0%) were the most frequently used vascular access (Table).

The summary of the survival analysis of different AVFs and AVGs are listed in the Table, and the Figure shows survival curves for AVFs and AVGs. The longest mean survival period based on the anatomical location was seen in patients with an AVF of the wrist; however, there were no significant relationships between anatomical location and durability of vascular access. The mean survival of vascular access was 96.4 months in men and 104.9 months in women. Despite the higher survival period in female patients, there were no significant relationships between sex and vascular access survival. The mean vascular access survival in diabetic patients was 78.8 months as compared with 101.6 months in nondiabetics.

DISCUSSION
In this study, the survival of vascular access in hemodialysis patients of Razi Hospital in Rasht, Iran, was evaluated. In a review by Nobakht Haghighi and associates, the majority of ESRD patients in Iran were in the age group of 45 to 64 years,4 indicating a younger population than the European and American patients. In our study, the mean age of our patients was 54.8 ± 14.0 years. The most frequent vascular access was the antecubitals AVF and the highest mean survival

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Vascular Access Types and Their Survival*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Access Type</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Elbows’ wrinkle arteriovenous fistula</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wrists’ arteriovenous fistula</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hands’ arteriovenous graft</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Legs’ arteriovenous graft</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Survival at 24 months was not reported for the patients with graft due to the small number of patients (ellipses).
period was related to AVF of the wrists. Although there were no significant relationships between anatomical location and duration of vascular access survival in our cohort and some others, such an association has been confirmed by another report from Iran by Khavaninzadeh and colleagues. It is generally recommended that AVF of the wrists be the first choice, due to the acceptable circulation, low rate of complications, and the maintenance of access location.

In the studies published to date, the 1-year survival of AVFs of elbows wrinkle and wrist, respectively, were 64% to 93% and 76% to 95%, and our results fall in these ranges (91% and 87%, respectively). In our center, the survival of secondary vascular access within 1 year could not be evaluated by the Kaplan-Meier statistical method, because of small numbers of thrombectomy cases. In our cohort, a higher mean survival of vascular access survival was reported in women, as compared to men. However, there were no statistically significant relationship between survival period of vascular access and sex. In other studies, the mean period of vascular access survival was higher in men. Moreover, there were no relationship between a certain period of Access survival and sex.

Temporary central venous catheterization in ESRD patients will increase chances of central veins synostosis and hypertension in the upper limb venous flow of the same site, which ultimately reduces vascular access survival and its removal in case of complications. Therefore, it is recommended to minimize the central vein catheterization. Monitoring of arteriovenous access helps early diagnosis of insufficiency and timely intervention would lead to longer survival time.

CONCLUSIONS

In our study, survival of vascular access in the AVF is longer than AVG. On the other hand, the costs of AVG are more than AVF. Therefore, it is recommended, except in specific cases, AVF be the preferred access. Timely recognition of ESRD patients via the appropriate screening and early referral for vascular placement prevent from temporary central venous catheterization, and the monitoring of the vascular access in order to early identification and timely action will lead to increased access survival.
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