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ABSTRACT

MHD free convection over an inclined plate in a thermally stratified high porous medium in the presence of a magnetic field has been studied. The dimensionless momentum and temperature equations have been solved numerically by explicit finite difference technique with the help of a computer programming language Compaq Visual Fortran 6.6a. The obtained results of these studies have been discussed for the different values of well known parameters with different time steps. Also, the stability conditions and convergence criteria of the explicit finite difference scheme has been analyzed for finding the restriction of the values of various parameters to get more accuracy. The effects of various governing parameters on the fluid velocity, temperature, local and average shear stress and Nusselt number has been investigated and presented graphically.
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NOMENCLATURE

\begin{align*}
D & \quad \text{Darcy number} \\
E & \quad \text{Eckert number} \\
k & \quad \text{thermal conductivity} \\
L & \quad \text{characteristic length} \\
i & \quad \text{initial conditions} \\
M & \quad \text{magnetic Parameter} \\
P & \quad \text{Prandtl number} \\
P & \quad \text{pressure} \\
R & \quad \text{rotational Parameter} \\
S_T & \quad \text{thermal stratification parameter} \\
w & \quad \text{conditions at the all} \\
\nu & \quad \text{kinematic viscosity} \\
\varepsilon & \quad \text{porosity parameter} \\
\Gamma & \quad \text{inertial parameter} \\
\phi & \quad \text{inclination angle} \\
\infty & \quad \text{conditions at infinity}
\end{align*}

1. INTRODUCTION

Free convection fluid flow with thermally stratified high porosity medium occurs in an environment has an important applications to the engineers dealing with many industrial process and technological fields such as in geophysics, astrophysics, geothermal energy convection, petroleum reservoirs, magneto hydrodynamics (MHD) accelerators and generator set. Cowling (1957) studied the application of magneto hydrodynamics (MHD) to geophysical and astronomical problems. Angirasa and Srinivasan (1989) have investigated about the natural convection over a vertical surface embedded in a thermally stratified medium due to the combined effects of the buoyancy force caused by the heat and mass diffusion. Hossain et al. (1996) studied the free convection flow form an isothermal inclined plate at an angle to the horizontal. Saxena and Dubey (2011) have analyzed the unsteady MHD heat and mass transfer free convection flow of polar fluid past a vertical moving porous plate in a porous medium with heat generation and thermal diffusion. Recently, Agarwal et al. (2012) have discussed the effect of stratified viscous fluid on MHD free convection flow with heat and mass transfer past a vertical porous plate. Gebhart and Pera (1971) analyzed the nature of vertical natural convection flows resulting from the combined buoyancy effects of thermal and mass diffusion. Fujii et al. (1974) investigated the laminar boundary layer free convection in a temperature stratified environment. The effects of
stratification are one of the important aspects that have to be taken into account in the study of heat and mass transfer. Stratification of fluid occurs due to presents of different fluids having different densities. The notion of stratification is important in lakes and ponds. Similarity solutions for the unrealistic situation where the temperature of the fluid decreases with height have been investigated by Yang et al. (1972). However, for stratified fluid similarity solution exists when the wall and ambient temperature increases with height. Chen and Eicchorn (1976) have investigated the natural convection flow over a heated vertical surface in a thermal stratified medium by using local non-similarity technique. The non-Darcy effects on the natural convection boundary layer flow on an isothermal vertical plate embedded in a high porosity medium has been studied by Chen et al. (1987). Chamkha (1997) has extended the analysis of Chen et al. (1987) which includes the effects of the magnetic field. The case of non-similar laminar natural convection from a vertical flat plate placed in a thermally stratified medium has been studied by Venkatachala and Nath (1981). The non-linear coupled parabolic partial differential equations governing the flow has been solved numerically by Blottner (1970) using an explicit finite difference Scheme.

The purpose of the present study is to extend the work of Takhar et al. (2003), investigates effects of non-uniform wall temperature or mass transfer in finite sections of an inclined plate on the MHD natural convection flow in a temperature stratified high-porosity medium. The proposed model has been transformed into non-similar coupled partial differential equations by usual transformation. Finally, the governing momentum and energy equations are solved numerically by using the explicit finite difference method.

2. MATHEMATICAL FORMULATION

Consider an unsteady MHD free convection flow past infinite vertical porous plate which is thermally stratified. Let us consider an unsteady free convective flow of an electrically conducting viscous fluid through a porous medium along a semi-infinite vertical porous plate $y=0$ in a rotating system under the influence of transversely applied magnetic field. The flow is assumed to be in the $x$-direction which is taken along the plate in the upward direction and $y$-axis is normal to it. Initially the fluid is at rest, after the whole system is allowed to rotate with a constant angular velocity $\Omega$ about the $y$-axis. Since the systems rotate about the $y$-axis, so it is assumed as $\Omega = (0, -\Omega, 0)$. The temperature of the plate raised from $T_w$ to $T_\infty$, where $T_\infty$ be the temperature of the uniform flow. A uniform magnetic field $B$ is taken to be acting along the $y$-axis which is assumed to be electrically non-conducting. There has been an inclination angle $\phi$ with the vertical plate. The assumption is justified when the magnetic Reynolds number of the flow is taken to be small enough so that the induced magnetic field is negligible and is of the form $B = (0, B_0, 0)$ and the magnetic lines of force are fixed relative to the fluid.

Thus accordance with the above assumptions relevant to the problem and under the electromagnetic Boussinesq and non-Darcy approximation and neglecting hall current made by Chen and Lin (1995) Herman Schlichting (1969) and Chamkha (1996), in a rotating frame the basic boundary layer equations are given by;

The continuity equation

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial v}{\partial y} = 0$$

(1)

Momentum equations

$$\frac{1}{\varepsilon} \left( \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} + u \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} + v \frac{\partial u}{\partial y} \right) = g \beta(T - T_\infty) \cos(\phi/2) + \frac{v}{\varepsilon} \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial y^2} - \frac{v}{k} \frac{u}{c} \left( u^2 + w^2 \right) + 2\Omega w - \frac{\sigma B_0^2 u}{\rho}$$

(2)

$$\frac{1}{\varepsilon} \left( \frac{\partial w}{\partial t} + u \frac{\partial w}{\partial x} + v \frac{\partial w}{\partial y} \right) = \frac{v}{\varepsilon} \frac{\partial^2 w}{\partial y^2} + \frac{v}{k} w - \frac{c}{2} \left( u^2 + w^2 \right) - 2\Omega w - \frac{\sigma B_0^2 w}{\rho}$$

(3)

Energy equation

$$\frac{\partial T}{\partial t} + u \frac{\partial T}{\partial x} + v \frac{\partial T}{\partial y} = \frac{k}{\rho c_p} \frac{\partial^2 T}{\partial y^2}$$
with the corresponding initial and boundary conditions are; 
\[ t = 0, \ u = 0, \ v = 0, \ w = 0, \ T \rightarrow T_w \ \text{everywhere} \]  
\[ t > 0, \ u = 0, \ v = 0, \ w = 0, \ T = T_w \ \text{at} \ Y = 0 \]  
\[ u = 0, \ v = 0, \ w = 0, \ T \rightarrow T_w \ \text{at} \ Y \rightarrow \infty \]  
Where \( u, v, w \) are the velocity components in \( x, Y, z \) directions respectively, \( \nu \) is the kinematic viscosity, \( g \) is the acceleration due to gravity, \( \rho \) is the density, \( k \) is thermal conductivity, \( B_0 \) be the uniform magnetic field, \( \varepsilon \) be the porosity, \( C_p \) is the specific heat at constant pressure.

To obtain the governing equations and the boundary conditions in the dimensionless form, the following non-dimensional quantities are introduced as:
\[ U = \frac{G_1^{1/2} u L}{v}, \quad V = \frac{G_1^{1/2} v L}{\nu}, \quad W = \frac{G_1^{1/2} w L}{\nu}, \quad X \sim \frac{x}{L}, \quad Y \sim \frac{Y}{L}, \quad T = \frac{T}{T_L} \]  
Substituting the above relations in equations (1)-(4) and after simplification, the following non-linear coupled partial differentials equations represent the local and average heat transfer coefficients have been investigated. The following quantities are proportional to \( \frac{\partial U}{\partial Y} \) \( Y = 0 \) and average Nusselt number, \( N_{n,A} = \mu \int_{0}^{100} \frac{\partial U}{\partial Y} \ | \_ Y = 0 \ dX \)

\[ \frac{\partial U}{\partial \tau} + \frac{U}{\partial X} \frac{\partial U}{\partial Y} + V \frac{\partial U}{\partial X} = \frac{\partial W}{\partial \tau} + \frac{\partial W}{\partial Y} = \frac{\partial U}{\partial \tau} + \frac{\partial U}{\partial Y} = \frac{\partial U}{\partial \tau} + \frac{\partial U}{\partial Y} + \frac{\partial W}{\partial \tau} + \frac{\partial W}{\partial Y} = \frac{\partial U}{\partial \tau} + \frac{\partial U}{\partial Y} \]  
\[ \\]
with the smaller time step, $\Delta \tau = 0.001$.

Here we have used the maximum mesh size ($m=200$ and $n=200$) for computing required result to get better accuracy. If we use less than the above mentioned mesh size then we will not get better result. If we consider higher mesh size then the program will not convergence due to shortage of memory of our computer. We have calculated the grid independent test for different mesh size; $m=100, n=100; m=150, n=150; m=200, n=200; m=225, n=225; m=225, n=250; m=250, n=250; m=275, n=275; m=275, n=300; m=280, n=280; m=285, n=285; m=290, n=290; m=295, n=295; m=300, n=300.$

From these mesh size we have used $m=200, n=200$, because we get better accuracy for this mesh size $m = 200$ and $n = 200$. If we used the mesh size more than $m = 300, n = 300$, then that will be time consuming and if we increase mesh size more than that like $m=400, n = 400$, then the program will not convergence. Here we have shown a figure of primary velocity for $Pr = 0.71$ below for the grid independent test.

Now $U', W'$ denote the values of $U, W$ at the end of a time-step respectively. The explicit finite difference approximation gives;

\[ U_{i,j+1} - U_{i,j-1} + \frac{V_{i,j} - V_{i,j+1}}{\Delta X} = 0 \]  
\[ \frac{U'_{i,j+1} - U'_{i,j}}{\Delta \tau} + \frac{U'_{i,j+1} - U'_{i,j-1}}{\Delta X} \]  
\[ \frac{V'_{i,j+1} - V'_{i,j}}{\Delta \tau} + \frac{V'_{i,j+1} - V'_{i,j+1}}{\Delta Y} \]  
\[ \frac{W'_{i,j+1} - W'_{i,j}}{\Delta \tau} + \frac{W'_{i,j+1} - W'_{i,j-1}}{\Delta X} \]  
\[ \frac{W'_{i,j+1} - W_{i,j+1} + W_{i,j+1} - W_{i,j}}{\Delta Y} \]  
\[ \frac{W'_{i,j+1} - W_{i,j+1} + W_{i,j+1} - W_{i,j}}{\Delta Y} \]  
\[ \frac{U_{i,j+1} - U_{i,j}}{\Delta \tau} + \frac{U_{i,j+1} - U_{i,j-1}}{\Delta X} \]  
\[ \frac{V_{i,j+1} - V_{i,j}}{\Delta \tau} + \frac{V_{i,j+1} - V_{i,j+1}}{\Delta Y} \]  
\[ \frac{W_{i,j+1} - W_{i,j}}{\Delta \tau} + \frac{W_{i,j+1} - W_{i,j-1}}{\Delta X} \]  
\[ \frac{W_{i,j+1} - W_{i,j+1} + W_{i,j+1} - W_{i,j}}{\Delta Y} \]  
\[ \frac{U_{i,j+1} - U_{i,j}}{\Delta \tau} + \frac{U_{i,j+1} - U_{i,j-1}}{\Delta X} \]  
\[ \frac{V_{i,j+1} - V_{i,j}}{\Delta \tau} + \frac{V_{i,j+1} - V_{i,j+1}}{\Delta Y} \]  
\[ \frac{W_{i,j+1} - W_{i,j}}{\Delta \tau} + \frac{W_{i,j+1} - W_{i,j-1}}{\Delta X} \]  
\[ \frac{W_{i,j+1} - W_{i,j+1} + W_{i,j+1} - W_{i,j}}{\Delta Y} \]  

And the initial and boundary conditions with the finite difference scheme are:

\[ U_{i,j}^{0} = 0, W_{i,j}^{0} = 0, \theta_{i,j}^{0} = 0 \]
\[ U_{i+1,j}^{0} = 0, W_{i+1,j}^{0} = 0, \theta_{i+1,j}^{0} = 1 - S_{i}X \]
\[ U_{i,j}^{n} = 0, W_{i,j}^{n} = 0, \theta_{i,j}^{n} = 0 \] where \( L \rightarrow \infty \)

Here the subscript \( i \) and \( j \) designates the grid points with \( x \) and \( y \) coordinate and \( n \) represents a value of time \( \tau = n \Delta \tau \) where \( n = 1, 2, 3, \ldots \). At the end of the time step \( \Delta \tau \), the new primary velocity \( U_{i,j}^{n+1} \), the new secondary velocity \( W_{i,j}^{n+1} \) and the new temperature distributions \( \theta_{i,j}^{n+1} \) at all interior nodal points, may be calculated by successive applications of equations (11)-(14) respectively. Also the numerical values of the local shear stress and Nusselt number are evaluated by five-point approximation formula for their derivatives and the average shear stress and Nusselt number are calculated by the use of the Simpson's \( \frac{1}{3} \) integration formula.
5. STABILITY AND CONVERGENCE ANALYSIS

Since an explicit procedure is being used, the analysis will remain incomplete unless the discussion of the stability and convergence of the finite difference Scheme. For the constant mesh size the stability criteria of the scheme may be established as follows;

\[ \frac{2\Delta \tau}{(\Delta Y)^2} + \varepsilon'(D_{1}^{2} + M^{2}) \frac{\Delta \tau}{2} + \epsilon' \frac{U \Delta \tau}{2} \]
\[ + U \frac{\Delta \tau}{\Delta X} \frac{V \Delta \tau}{\Delta Y} \leq 1 \tag{15} \]

\[ \frac{2\Delta \tau}{(\Delta Y)^2} + \varepsilon'(D_{1}^{2} + M^{2}) \frac{\Delta \tau}{2} + \epsilon' \frac{W \Delta \tau}{2} \]
\[ + U \frac{\Delta \tau}{\Delta X} \frac{V \Delta \tau}{\Delta Y} \leq 1 \tag{16} \]

\[ \frac{1}{P} \frac{2\Delta \tau}{(\Delta Y)^2} \frac{U \Delta \tau}{\Delta X} \frac{V \Delta \tau}{\Delta Y} \leq 1 \tag{17} \]

From the above equations (15) – (17), the convergence limit of the model of flow are \( P \geq 0.51, D_{c} \geq 0.1, \varepsilon \leq 1.90 \) and \( \Gamma \leq 1.0 \).

6. RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS

The results have been presented for various values
of thermal stratification parameter \((S_r)\), Darcy number \((D)\) and magnetic parameter \((M)\). Figs. 4 to 6 represented the primary, secondary velocity and the temperature distributions for different values of thermal stratification parameter \((S_r)\). From these figure it has been observed that the primary velocity and temperature distribution decreases with the increases of the thermal stratification parameter \((S_r)\) while the secondary velocity increase with the increases of the values of thermal stratification parameter \((S_r)\). Figs. 7 to 9 represented the primary, secondary velocity and the temperature distributions for different values of Darcy number \((D)\). From these figure it has been observed that the primary velocity increases with the increase of the Darcy number \((D)\) while the secondary velocity decreases with the increase of the Darcy number \((D)\). There exhibits minor effects in the temperature distributions with the increasing values of Darcy number \((D)\). Figs. 10 and 11 represented the primary and secondary velocity for different values of magnetic parameter \((M)\). From these figure it has been observed that the primary velocity decreases with the increases magnetic parameter \((M)\) while the secondary velocity increases with the increases of magnetic parameter \((M)\).

Figs. 12 to 15 represented the local and average primary and secondary shear stress for different values of thermal stratification parameter \((S_r)\). From these figures it has been observed that the local and average primary shear stress decreases with the increase of thermal stratification parameter \((S_r)\) while the local and average secondary shear stress increases with the increase of thermal stratification parameter \((S_r)\). Figs. 16 to 19 represented the local and average primary and secondary shear stress for different values of magnetic parameter \((M)\). From these figures it has been observed that the local and average primary shear stress decreases with the increase of magnetic parameter \((M)\) while the local and average secondary shear stress increases with the increase of magnetic parameter \((M)\).

Figs. 20 and 21 represented the average Nusselt number for different values of magnetic parameter. From these figure it has been observed that the average nusselt number decreases with the increase of magnetic parameter \((M)\).

\[\text{Fig. 16. Local primary shear stress for different values of magnetic parameter } (M)\]

\[\text{Fig. 17. Local secondary shear stress for different values of magnetic parameter } (M)\]

\[\text{Fig. 18. Average primary shear stress for different values of magnetic parameter } (M)\]

9. CONCLUSIONS

It has been observed that the primary velocity increases with the increase of Darcy number and while the reverse effect shows for thermal stratification parameter, Prandlt number and magnetic parameter. The secondary velocity increases with the increase of thermal stratification parameter, Prandlt number and magnetic parameter while the reverse effect shows for Darcy number. There has been cross flow shown for the primary
and secondary velocity for the porosity parameter. Temperature distributions decreases for thermal stratification parameter and Prandtl number and minor effects exhibits for Darcy number. Local and average primary shear stress increases for Darcy number and porosity parameter while reverse effect shows for thermal stratification parameter and magnetic parameter. Local and secondary shear stress increases for thermal stratification parameter and magnetic parameter while reverse effect shows for Darcy number and porosity parameter.

Fig. 19. Average secondary shear stress for different values of magnetic parameter \( (M) \).

Fig. 20. Average Nusselt number for different values of magnetic parameter \( (M) \).
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