A comparative study of apical microleakage using the conventional lateral condensation and mechanical lateral condensation techniques
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Abstract

Introduction: This study compared apical dye penetration using lateral condensation technique (LC) and LC technique with a reciprocal handpiece (mechanical lateral condensation or MLC) as a new method.

Materials and Methods: Forty-eight human extracted straight canine teeth were used. After crown amputation, the teeth were randomly divided into four experimental groups of 10 teeth each and two negative and positive control groups of 4 teeth each. The groups were as follows: IA, 10 obturations completed by operator A using the LC technique; Group IB, 10 obturations completed by operator B using the LC technique; Group IIA, 10 obturations completed by operator A using the MLC technique; and Group IIB, 10 obturations completed by operator B using the MLC technique. All roots were placed in 2% methylene blue dye and centrifuged at 3000 rpm for 3 minutes. Following centrifugation, the roots were cut along their long axis and evaluated under a stereomicroscope to measure the depth of dye penetration.

Results: A t-test showed that the teeth which were filled by the MLC technique had less dye penetration in comparison with LC technique (P<0.05).

Conclusion: This in vitro study illustrates that canals obturated with the MLC technique had superior apical seal than canals filled with the LC technique. (Iranian Endodontic Journal 2008;3:79-82)
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(Nakanishi Inc., Tokyo, Japan), Kerr (Kerr/Sybron, Orange, CA, USA), and Myco (Myco Union Broach, York, PA, USA) have marketed reciprocating handpieces. Numerous studies have evaluated the apical sealing ability of root canal fillings using methods such as dye leakage (7). Dye penetration is commonly used to evaluate leakage due to its simplicity and cost-effectiveness (8). The purpose of this study was to compare the apical microleakage when the same type and size of gutta-percha cones were compacted into the canals using the MLC or traditional LC techniques as well as to assess the effect of the operator’s skill on completely sealing the canals.

**Materials and Methods**

Forty-eight freshly extracted canine teeth with mature apices were selected. Preoperative radiographs were taken to confirm absence of root caries, fractures, multiple canals, calcifications, radicular resorption or excessive curvatures. After crown amputation, the obtained canals, 16-18 mm in length, were enlarged to a size 35 master apical file with a K-file (Mani, Tochigi, Japan) and flared to size #80 using step-back technique. With a size #10 K-file, apical patency was performed for all canals, after instrumentation the canals were irrigated with 17% EDTA and 5.25% NaOCl to remove the smear layer. Teeth were randomly divided into four experimental and two negative and positive control groups. In the four experimental groups, one-half of the obturations were accomplished using the LC technique and one-half using the MLC technique.

For the LC technique, a size #35 master cone (Aria Dent, Tehran, Iran) with AH26 sealer (DeTrey. Dentsply, Konstanz, Germany) was placed to the working length, then a medium-fine NiTi finger spreader (Hygenic Corp., Chicago, IL) was advanced into the canal until resistance occurred (9). The spreader was seated into the head of the reciprocating handpiece NSK (TEP-E10R, Nakanishi Inc., Tokyo, Japan). A rubber stopper was placed on the spreader at working length and then inserted into the canal alongside the master cone until resistance was felt. The handpiece was activated and a light force was used to slowly advance the spreader apically to the desired or maximum level of penetration. Activation was continued at this level for 1-5 s and during removal of the spreader. Accessory cones were placed and obturation was completed using the same procedures that were used with traditional LC (6).

Operator A was a general dentist and did not have experience with MLC but had experience using LC. Operator B was endodontist experienced MLC and LC techniques. The following four experimental groups were created: Group IA, 10 obturations completed by operator A using the LC technique; Group IB, 10 obturations completed by operator B using the LC technique; Group IIA, 10 obturations completed by operator A using the MLC technique; and Group IIB, 10 obturations completed by operator B using the MLC technique.

In the positive control group, the canals were not obturated. In the negative control group, two canals were obturated with the MLC technique and two canals with the LC technique. In order to create coronal seal, 3 mm of the root canal obturation was removed and replaced with temporary filling material (Coltozol). After placing the samples in an incubator at 37°C for 24 hours, the resected area and the surface of all roots in experimental and positive control groups were then covered with two layers of
nail polish, except for the apical area. In the negative control group all surfaces of the roots, including the apical area, were covered with two layers of nail polish. The roots were then placed in 2% methylene blue dye and centrifuged at 3000 rpm for 3 minutes. Following centrifugation, the roots were cut along their long axis and evaluated under a stereomicroscope to measure the depth of dye penetration. The results were analyzed using t-test.

**Results**

The negative controls showed no dye penetration while, the positive controls showed completely dye penetration. Mean and standard deviation of leakage for experimental groups LCA, LCB, MLCA, and MLCB were 2.75±0.85, 3.05±1.87, 2.50±1.35, and 1.45±0.49 mm, respectively. The mean leakage for all MLC and LC obturations were 1.9 and 2.9 mm respectively. The difference was statistically significant (P<0.05).

The difference between the two operators in groups IA and IB was not statistically significant, but in groups IIA and IIB, the difference was statistically significant (P<0.05).

**Discussion**

Under the condition of this *in vitro* study, leakage in MLC group was significantly lower than in LC group and experience with MLC significantly reduced dye leakage. There are many methods to evaluate the sealing quality of filling material (8), but dye penetration is most commonly used. This technique is simple to carry out but appears to overestimate microleakage, i.e., more than the bacteria infiltration method. This may be due to the difference between the sizes of molecular dyes and bacteria (10). In this study, a centrifugation method was used for determining microleakage in the samples (11). Another study (12) has showed that this method leads to increased dye penetration and therefore more meticulous than the conventional plunging method. In addition, this method requires less time for evaluation of dye penetration. For control of the method, two positive and negative control groups were used. Dye penetration in the positive control group and absence of dye penetration in the negative control group confirmed the integrity of dye penetration. In this study, we tried to create similar conditions for the samples. The same type and size of master cones, spreaders, and size of accessory points were used. In this study, dye penetration in teeth obturated with the LC technique was compared to the MLC techniques. The results showed that microleakage in the MLC technique was less than in the LC technique (P<0.05). Gound *et al.* compared the weight of gutta-percha between LC and MLC techniques. They found that the MLC obturations were significantly heavier than LC obturations (6). In another study the same group also compared the effect of spreader and accessory cone size on density of obturation using conventional or mechanical lateral condensation. They found that the MLC fills were significantly heavier and had greater depth of penetration than conventional lateral condensation. The best combination for heavy fills was MLC, fine-medium spreaders and fine accessory cones. The greatest mean accessory cone depth occurred with MLC, fine-medium spreaders and size 25 accessory cones (13).

Jarrett *et al.* compared the apical density of several obturation techniques used in palatal roots of extracted maxillary molars. Results recommended that Thermafil, mechanical lateral and warm vertical (Schilder Technique) obturation techniques created more complete obturation at the 2 and 4 mm levels than cold lateral and warm vertical compaction (continuous wave) (14). Two different operators were employed to investigate the effect that different levels of experience may have on the results. The difference between two operators in groups IA and IB was not statistically significant, however in groups IIA and IIB the difference was significant. This result suggests that a difference in experience levels was important.

**Conclusion**

We may conclude that it seems the MLC technique is a better and more suitable method for root canal obturation. However further studies are required to confirm this relationship.
as well as evaluating the amount of gutta-percha used and spreader depth penetration and so forth.
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