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Abstract. Hansen et. al., using the AutoGraphiX software package, conjectured that the Szeged index $Sz(G)$ and the Wiener index $W(G)$ of a connected bipartite graph $G$ with $n \geq 4$ vertices and $m \geq n$ edges, obeys the relation $Sz(G) - W(G) \geq 4n - 8$. Moreover, this bound would be the best possible. This paper offers a proof to this conjecture.

1. Introduction

All graphs considered in this paper are finite, undirected and simple. We refer the readers to [3] for terminology and notation. Let $G$ be a connected graph with vertex set $V(G)$ and edge set $E(G)$. For $u, v \in V(G)$, $d(u, v)$ denotes the distance between $u$ and $v$. If the graph $G$ is connected, then its Wiener index is defined as

$$W(G) = \sum_{\{u,v\} \subseteq V(G)} d(u, v).$$

This topological index has been extensively studied in the mathematical literature; see, e.g., [4, 9, 10, 6]. Let $e = uv$ be an edge of $G$. Define three sets as follows:

$$N_u(e) = \{w \in V(G) : d(u, w) < d(v, w)\}$$
$$N_v(e) = \{w \in V(G) : d(v, w) < d(u, w)\}$$
$$N_0(e) = \{w \in V(G) : d(u, w) = d(v, w)\}.$$
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Thus, \( \{N_u(e), N_v(e), N_0(e)\} \) is a partition of the vertex set of \( G \) with regard to \( e \in E(G) \). The number of elements of \( N_u(e) \), \( N_v(e) \), and \( N_0(e) \) will be denoted by \( n_u(e) \), \( n_v(e) \), and \( n_0(e) \), respectively. Evidently, if \( n \) is the number of vertices of the graph \( G \), then \( n_u(e) + n_v(e) + n_0(e) = n \).

If \( G \) is bipartite, then the equality \( n_0(e) = 0 \) holds for all \( e \in E(G) \). Therefore, for any edge \( e \) of a bipartite graph, \( n_u(e) + n_v(e) = n \).

A long time known property of the Wiener index is the formula \([4, 11, 20]\):

\[
W(G) = \sum_{e=uv \in E} n_u(e)n_v(e)
\]

which is applicable for trees. Motivated by the above formula, one of the present authors \([7]\) introduced a graph invariant, named as the Szeged index, defined by

\[
Sz(G) = \sum_{e=uv \in E} n_u(e)n_v(e).
\]

where \( G \) is any graph, not necessarily connected. Evidently, the Szeged index is defined as a proper extension of the formula (1.1) for the Wiener index of trees.

Details of the theory of the Szeged index can be found in \([8]\) and in the recent papers \([1, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17, 21]\).

In \([12]\) Hansen et. al. used the AutoGraphiX software package and made the following conjecture:

**Conjecture 1.1.** Let \( G \) be a connected bipartite graph with \( n \geq 4 \) vertices and \( m \geq n \) edges. Then

\[
Sz(G) - W(G) \geq 4n - 8.
\]

Moreover the bound is best possible as shown by the graph composed of a cycle \( C_4 \) on 4 vertices and a tree \( T \) on \( n - 3 \) vertices sharing a single vertex.

This paper offers a confirmative proof to this conjecture.

2. Main Results

In \([19]\), another expression for the Szeged index was put forward, namely

\[
Sz(G) = \sum_{e=uv \in E(G)} n_u(e)n_v(e) = \sum_{e=uv \in E(G)} \sum_{\{x,y\} \subseteq V(G)} \mu_{x,y}(e)
\]

where \( \mu_{x,y}(e) \), interpreted as the contribution of the vertex pair \( x \) and \( y \) to the product \( n_u(e)n_v(e) \), is defined as:

\[
\mu_{x,y}(e) = \begin{cases} 
1 & \text{if } \begin{cases} 
d(x, u) < d(x, v) \text{ and } d(y, v) < d(y, u) \\
or 
\end{cases} \\
0 & \text{otherwise.}
\end{cases}
\]

We first show that for a 2-connected bipartite graph Conjecture 1.1 is true.
Lemma 2.1. Let $G$ be a 2-connected bipartite graph of order $n \geq 4$. Then

$$Sz(G) - W(G) \geq 4n - 8$$

with equality if and only if $G \cong C_4$.

Proof. From Eq. (2.1), we know that

$$Sz(G) - W(G) = \sum_{\{x,y\} \subseteq V(G)} \sum_{e \in E(G)} \mu_{x,y}(e) - \sum_{\{x,y\} \subseteq V(G)} d(x, y)$$

$$= \sum_{\{x,y\} \subseteq V(G)} \left[ \sum_{e \in E(G)} \mu_{x,y}(e) - d(x, y) \right].$$

Claim: For every pair $\{x, y\} \subseteq V(G)$, we have

$$\sum_{e \in E(G)} \mu_{x,y}(e) - d(x, y) \geq 1.$$

In fact, if $xy \in E(G)$, that is $d(x, y) = 1$, then we can find a shortest cycle $C$ containing $x$ and $y$ since $G$ is 2-connected. Then, $G[C]$ has no chord. Since $G$ is bipartite, the length of $C$ is even. There is an edge $e'$ which is the antipodal edge of $e = xy$ in $C$. It is easy to check that $\mu_{x,y}(e') = \mu_{x,y}(e) = 1$. So the claim is true.

If $d(x, y) \geq 2$, let $P_1$ be a shortest path from $x$ to $y$ and $P_2$ be a second-shortest path from $x$ to $y$, that is, $P_2 \neq P_1$ and $|P_2| = \min \{|P| | P$ is a path from $x$ to $y$ and $P \neq P_1\}$. Since $G$ is 2-connected, $P_2$ always exists. If there is more than one path satisfying the condition, we choose $P_2$ as a one having the greatest number of common vertices with $P_1$.

If $E(P_1) \cap E(P_2) = \emptyset$, let $P_1 \cup P_2 = C$, and then $|E(P_2)| \geq |E(P_1)|$ and all the antipodal edges of $P_1$ in $C$ make $\mu_{x,y}(e) = 1$. We also know that $\mu_{x,y}(e) = 1$ for all $e \in E(P_1)$. Hence, $\sum_{e \in E(G)} \mu_{x,y}(e) - d(x, y) \geq d(x, y) > 1$.

If $E(P_1) \cap E(P_2) \neq \emptyset$, then $P_1 \Delta P_2 = C$, where $C$ is a cycle. Let $P'_1 = P_1 \cap C = x'P_1y'$. It is easy to see that $|E(P'_2)| \geq |E(P'_1)|$, and the shortest path from $x$ (or $y$) to the vertex $v$ in $P'_2$ is $xP_2x'$ (or $yP_2y'$) together with the shortest path from $x'$ (or $y'$) to $v$ in $C$. So, all the antipodal edges of $P'_1$ in $C$ make $\mu_{x,y}(e) = 1$. We also know that $\mu_{x,y}(e) = 1$ for all $e \in E(P_1)$. Hence, $\sum_{e \in E(G)} \mu_{x,y}(e) = |E(P_1)| + d(x', y') \geq d(x, y) + 1$, which proves the claim.

Now, let $C = v_1v_2 \ldots v_pv_1$ be a shortest cycle in $G$, where $p$ is even and $p \geq 4$. Actually, for every $e \in E(C)$ we have that $\mu_{v_i, v_{i+p/2+i}}(e) = 1$ for $i = 1, 2, \ldots, \frac{p}{2}$. Then $\sum_{e \in E(G)} \mu_{v_i, v_{i+p/2+i}}(e) = |C| = p$, that is, $\sum_{e \in E(G)} \mu_{v_i, v_{i+p/2+i}}(e) - d(v_i, v_{i+p/2+i}) = p/2 \geq 2$. Combining this with the claim, we have that

$$Sz(G) - W(G) \geq \left(\binom{n}{2}\right) + \frac{p}{2} \left(\frac{p}{2} - 1\right) \geq \left(\binom{n}{2}\right) + 2 \geq 4n - 8.$$
The last two equalities hold if and only if \( p = 4 \), \( n = 4 \) or 5. If \( n = 4, p = 4 \), then \( G \cong C_4 \). If \( n = 5, p = 4 \), then \( G \cong K_{2,3} \), and in this case we can easily calculate that \( Sz(G) - W(G) > 12 \). Thus, the equality holds if and only if \( G \cong C_4 \).

We now complete the proof of Conjecture [1.1] in the general case.

**Theorem 2.2.** Let \( G \) be a connected bipartite graph with \( n \geq 4 \) vertices and \( m \geq n \) edges. Then

\[
Sz(G) - W(G) \geq 4n - 8.
\]

Equality holds if and only if \( G \) is composed of a cycle \( C_4 \) on 4 vertices and a tree \( T \) on \( n - 3 \) vertices sharing a single vertex.

**Proof.** We have proved that the conclusion is true for a 2-connected bipartite graph. Now suppose that \( G \) is a connected bipartite graph with blocks \( B_1, B_2, \ldots, B_k \), where \( k \geq 2 \). Let \( |B_i| = n_i \). Then, \( n_1 + n_2 + \cdots + n_k = n + k - 1 \). Since \( m \geq n \) and \( G \) is bipartite, there exists at least one block, say \( B_1 \), such that \( n_1 \geq 4 \). Consider a pair \( \{x, y\} \subseteq V \). We have the following four cases:

**Case 1:** \( x, y \in B_i \), and \( n_i \geq 4 \). Then for every \( e \in B_j \), \( j \neq i \) we have \( \mu_{x,y}(e) = 0 \), which combined with Lemma [2.1] yields

\[
\sum_{\{x,y\} \subseteq B_i} \left[ \sum_{e \in E(G)} \mu_{x,y}(e) - d(x, y) \right] = \sum_{\{x,y\} \subseteq B_i} \left[ \sum_{e \in E(B_i)} \mu_{x,y}(e) - d(x, y) \right] \geq 4n_i - 8.
\]

**Case 2:** \( x, y \in B_i \), and \( n_i = 2 \). In this case,

\[
\sum_{\{x,y\} \subseteq B_i} \left[ \sum_{e \in E(G)} \mu_{x,y}(e) - d(x, y) \right] = 0 = 4n_i - 8.
\]

**Case 3:** \( x \in B_1 \), \( y \in B_i \), \( i \neq 1 \). Let \( P \) be a shortest path from \( x \) to \( y \), and let \( w_1, w_i \) be the cut vertices in \( B_1 \) and \( B_i \), such that every path from a vertex in \( B_1 \) to \( B_i \) must go through \( w_1, w_i \). By the proof of Lemma [2.1] we can find an edge \( e' \in E(B_1) \setminus E(P) \), such that \( \mu_{x,w_1}(e') = 1 \). Because every path from a vertex in \( B_1 \) to \( y \) must go through \( w_1 \), we have \( \mu_{x,y}(e') = 1 \). We also know that \( \mu_{x,y}(e) = 1 \) for all \( e \in E(P) \). Hence, \( \sum_{e \in E(G)} \mu_{x,y}(e) - d(x, y) \geq 1 \).

We are now in the position to show that for all \( y \in B_i \setminus \{w_i\} \), we can find a vertex \( z \in B_1 \setminus \{w_1\} \) such that \( \sum_{e \in E(G)} \mu_{z,y}(e) - d(z, y) \geq 2 \). Since \( B_1 \) is 2-connected with \( n_1 \geq 4 \), there is a cycle containing \( w_1 \). Let \( C \) be a shortest cycle containing \( w_1 \), say \( C = v_1 v_2 \ldots v_p v_1 \), where \( v_1 = w_1 \) and \( p \) is even. Set \( z = v_p/2+1 \). By the proof of Lemma [2.1] we have that \( \sum_{e \in E(B_1)} \mu_{z,w_1}(e) - d(z, w_1) \geq p/2 \geq 2 \). It follows that there are two edges \( e', e'' \), that are not in the shortest path from \( z \) to \( w_1 \), such that \( \mu_{z,w_1}(e') = 1 \) and \( \mu_{z,w_1}(e'') = 1 \). Thus, \( \mu_{z,y}(e') = 1 \) and \( \mu_{z,y}(e'') = 1 \). Hence, \( \sum_{e \in E(G)} \mu_{z,y}(e) - d(z, y) \geq 2 \).
If we fix $B_i$, we obtain that

$$\sum_{x \in B_i \setminus \{w_1\}} \sum_{y \in B_i \setminus \{w_1\}} \left[ \sum_{e \in E(G)} \mu_{x,y}(e) - d(x, y) \right] \geq (n_1 - 1)(n_i - 1) + (n_i - 1) = n_1(n_i - 1).$$

**Case 4:** $x \in B_i$, $y \in B_j$, $i \geq 2$, $j \geq 2$, $i \neq j$. Let $P$ be a shortest path between $x$ and $y$. If $P$ passes through a block $B_\ell$ with $n_\ell \geq 4$, and $|B_\ell \cap P| \geq 2$, then we have that $\sum_{e \in E(G)} \mu_{x,y}(e) - d(x, y) \geq 1$.

Otherwise, $\sum_{e \in E(G)} \mu_{x,y}(e) - d(x, y) \geq 0$. So,

$$\sum_{x \in B_i \setminus \{w_1\}} \sum_{y \in B_j \setminus \{w_1\}} \left[ \sum_{e \in E(G)} \mu_{x,y}(e) - d(x, y) \right] \geq 0.$$

Equality holds if and only if $P$ passes through a block $B_\ell$ with $n_\ell = 2$ or $n_\ell \geq 4$, and $|B_\ell \cap P| = 1$.

From the above four cases it follows that

$$Sz(G) - W(G) = \sum_{\{x,y\} \subseteq V(G)} \sum_{e \in E(G)} \mu_{x,y}(e) - \sum_{\{x,y\} \subseteq V(G)} d(x, y)$$

$$= \sum_{\{x,y\} \subseteq V(G)} \left[ \sum_{e \in E(G)} \mu_{x,y}(e) - d(x, y) \right]$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^{k} \sum_{\{x,y\} \subseteq B_i} \left[ \sum_{e \in E(G)} \mu_{x,y}(e) - d(x, y) \right] + \sum_{j=2}^{k} \sum_{x \in B_i \setminus \{w_1\}} \sum_{y \in B_j \setminus \{w_1\}} \left[ \sum_{e \in E(G)} \mu_{x,y}(e) - d(x, y) \right]$$

$$+ \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i \neq j \neq j} \sum_{x \in B_i \setminus \{w_1\}} \sum_{y \in B_j \setminus \{w_1\}} \left[ \sum_{e \in E(G)} \mu_{x,y}(e) - d(x, y) \right] \geq \sum_{i=1}^{k} \left( 4n_i - 8 + n_1 \sum_{j=2}^{k} (n_j - 1) \right)$$

$$= 4(n + k - 1) - 8k + n_1(n - n_1) = 4n - 4k - 4 + n_1(n - n_1).$$

Since $n_1 + n_2 + \cdots + n_k = n + k - 1$, $n_1 \geq 4$, $n_2 \geq 2$, for $2 \leq i \leq k$, we have that $4 \leq n_1 \leq n - k + 1$, and $2 \leq k \leq n - 3$.

If $k \geq 5$, then $n_1(n - n_1) \geq 4(n - 4)$. Thus,

$$4n - 4k - 4 + n_1(n - n_1) \geq 8n - 4k - 20 \geq 8n - 4(n - 3) - 20 = 4n - 8.$$

Equality holds if and only if $n_1 = 4$, $n_2 = n_3 = \cdots = n_{n-3} = 2$ i.e., if $B_2, B_3, \ldots, B_{n-3}$ form a tree $T$ on $n - 3$ vertices, that shares a single vertex with $B_1$.

If $2 \leq k \leq 4$, then $n_1(n - n_1) \geq (n - k + 1)(k - 1)$.
If \( k = 2 \), then \( 4n - 4k - 4 + (n - k + 1)(k - 1) = 5n - 13 \geq 4n - 8 \). Equality holds if and only if \( n = 5 \), \( G \) is a graph composed of a cycle on 4 vertices and a pendant edge.

If \( k = 3 \), then \( 4n - 4k - 4 + (n - k + 1)(k - 1) = 6n - 20 \geq 4n - 8 \). Equality holds if and only if \( n = 6 \), \( G \) is a graph composed of a cycle on 4 vertices and a tree on 3 vertices sharing a single vertex.

If \( k = 4 \), then \( 4n - 4k - 4 + (n - k + 1)(k - 1) = 7n - 29 \geq 4n - 8 \). Equality holds if and only if \( n = 7 \), \( G \) is a graph composed of a cycle on 4 vertices and a tree on 4 vertices sharing a single vertex.

By this, the proof of Theorem 2.2 is completed. \( \square \)

**Remark 2.3.** The method used in the proof of Theorem 2.2 is not applicable to non-bipartite graphs. This is because given a 2-connected non-bipartite graph \( G \), for any two vertices \( x, y \in V(G) \), if \( C \) is an odd cycle, where \( C \) is defined as in Lemma 2.1, we cannot get \( \sum_{e \in E(G)} \mu_{x,y}(e) - d(x,y) \geq 1 \). Hence, for non-bipartite graphs we do not have an auxiliary result like Lemma 2.1.
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