Use of Oxycodone in Pain Management
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ABSTRACT

Oxycodone is widely used to alleviate moderate-to-severe acute pain. It is an effective analgesic for many types of pain, and is especially useful for paroxysmal spontaneous pain, steady pain, allodynia associated with postherpetic neuralgia, and it is also increasingly used in the management of cancer-related and chronic pain. Oxycodone has been found to improve the quality of life of patients with many types of pain.

In 2011, following chemical and physical manipulation, an extended-release form of oxycodone was developed in order to maintain its rate-controlling mechanism. This new formulation significantly improved analgesia among patients with moderate-to-severe chronic osteoarthritis pain with an adverse event profile similar to that of other opioids. The long-term safety and efficacy of extended-release form of oxycodone in relieving moderate-to-severe chronic pain has been demonstrated. In this study we discussed about different aspects of this drug in managing of various types of pain.

Implication for health policy/practice/research/medical education:
Oxycodone, an analgesic opioid, is used for many types of pain, and is especially useful for severe and acute pain. The aim of this article is to highlight findings relating to the use of oxycodone in the field of pain management.
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done exert different effects in the sensitized pain system as oxycodone has a greater analgesic effect against skin, muscle, and oesophageal pain. Clinical experience of oxycodone use also indicates that it is superior to morphine in the treatment of some pain conditions (14). Since oxycodone is safer than morphine, it has been used for refractory bone pain, which has a complicated pathophysiological mechanism. Given these characteristics, oxycodone might be a suitable candidate for first-line management of cancer-related pain despite the wide variety of pathophysiology of such pain (15). A new study has recently reported on 5 clinical cases where oxycodone was effective against pain induced by anti-cancer agents during adjuvant therapy. Pain intensity as measured by a numerical rating scale was decreased to less than 3 out of 10 compared to baseline in every patient but one (16). In a previous experimental pain study in healthy volunteers, morphine and oxycodone were found to have comparable analgesic potency in modulating skin and muscle pain, but oxycodone showed greater analgesic potency for visceral pain (17). Subsequently, another experimental pain study was performed in patients with chronic pancreatitis, and oxycodone was found to be more potent than morphine in attenuating experimental skin, muscle, and visceral pain (18). This supports the theory of different analgesic potencies of morphine and oxycodone when hyperalgesia is present (14). A study in 2011 also showed that a fixed-ratio morphine-oxycodone combination (MoxDuo) produced superior analgesic effects compared with each component individually, but comparable efficacy compared with morphine-equivalent doses in moderate-to-severe postoperative pain (19).

When using opioids, whether initiating therapy or changing from another opioid, it is usually necessary to titrate the dose in order to optimally balance analgesia and side effects because of variability in opioid response both between patients and within the same patient. In 2011, following chemical and physical manipulation, an extended-release form of oxycodone was developed in order to maintain its rate-controlling mechanism. This new formulation was named Remoxy® (King Pharmaceuticals, Inc., Bristol, TN, which was acquired by Pfizer Inc in March 2011) (20). Remoxy significantly improved analgesia among patients with moderate-to-severe chronic osteoarthritis pain with an adverse event profile similar to that of other opioids (21). The long-term safety and efficacy of Remoxy in relieving moderate-to-severe chronic pain has been demonstrated (20). The oxycodone-paired stimulus maintained an operant response, but this effect was dependent on the number of conditioning sessions and on the conditioning dose (22). Oxycodone has also been combined and tested with other drugs in order to determine whether better pain relief can be achieved with less adverse effects. Another study has shown that an oxycodone/naloxone combination (ratio 2:1) provides analgesia with less constipation than other analgesics in non-cancer patients receiving relatively low doses of this formulation (23). Treatment with a combination of carbamazepine, a sodium channel blocker, and oxycodone, a mixed κ- and µ-opioid receptor agonist, may also be useful for alleviating symptoms of trigeminal neuralgia (24). A total of 10 mg of oral oxycodone combined with a low dose of ethanol generated abuse liability-related effects, but when tested separately, they did not. Further psychopharmacological investigations of this combination are warranted in light of these findings as well as the fact that nonmedical use of prescription opioids is sometimes accompanied by the use of ethanol (25). The combination of CR oxycodone + pregabalin could be a valuable long-term therapeutic addition to existing pharmacological options for the treatment of non-cancer pain (26). A large study evaluating the adverse effects of oxycodone among 601 respondents found that 84.0% experienced side effects with 30.8% being quite or extremely bothered by these effects. A total of 56.2% experienced drowsiness, 53.1% constipation, 43.6% lightheadedness, 42.1% dizziness, 33.1% headache, 31.3% nausea, 27.6% itching, and 14.8% vomiting (27). Generally, oxycodone is better tolerated than morphine (28). Oxycodone also significantly lengthens time estimations relative to placebo. These results suggest that opioids alter temporal processing for intervals greater than 1 s, raising questions about the effect of these drugs on the valuation of future consequences (29). The symptoms of oxycodone withdrawal are the same as those for other opiate-based painkillers, and may include “anxiety, nausea, insomnia, muscle pain, muscle weakness, fever, and other flu-like symptoms” (30). Withdrawal symptoms have also been reported in newborns whose mothers had injected or orally ingested oxycodone during pregnancy (31).
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Dear Editor,

I read the paper by Imani et al. (1) with interest and must congratulate them in attempting to show the advantages of administering remifentanil for laryngoscopy and tracheal intubation in adult patients. It was interesting to know the experiences of colleagues with regard to tracheal intubation in noncardiac patients, as I use a combination of remifentanil and propofol in my daily practice in cardiac anesthesia. The primary goal of this study was to evaluate patients’ conditions during tracheal intubation after remifentanil compared with alfentanil. Although the scoring criteria were well defined, the question arises as to why the authors did not report the hemodynamic situation of the patients before and after induction of anesthesia, as other studies have done (2-5). Their report did not describe whether the patients had bradycardia or hypotension. We know that hemodynamic instability is a common feature of remifentanil, alfentanil, and propofol, leading some researchers to use atropine as prophylaxis to overcome decreases in heart rate after induction with these drugs (2, 3).

The other question is the time from injection to apnea or respiratory depression, if applicable. It would be helpful for colleagues to know this interval and the incidence of apnea in the remifentanil and alfentanil groups, as we already know that the incidence of apnea is high with opioids, especially in combination with other anesthetics, such as propofol. It is noteworthy that the rate and severity of respiratory depression with different dosages and combinations of anesthetic and analgesics are controversial (6). It appears from the study that there was no significant difference between the remifentanil and alfentanil groups scores regarding intubation condition rather than vocal cord patency score; however, the authors should clarify if there is any difference between genders and age groups.
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