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ABSTRACT

Examples of inter- and intramolecular direct co-functioning of lectins and enzymes are summarized. The data indicate importance of lectin-enzyme relationships in molecular and clinical microbiology and medical biotechnology. Lectin-enzyme relationships have extended prospects in medicine and biotechnology.

1. Introduction

Lectins (carbohydrate recognizing proteins) are widely distributed in nature (Lakhtin, 1987; Sharon and Lis, 2003; Lakhtin et al., 2010a). They are distributed as co-functioning systems, and represent universal objective for molecular microbiology (Lakhtin et al., 2010b; Lakhtin et al., 2010c). Studies in the field of lectins and enzymes are quickly developed and converged. The aim of this review is to give briefly current views on relationships between enzymes and lectins, and their prospects in future.

1.1 Lectin Terms

There are a number of important key terms concerning lectin origin, determination, specificity, organization and functioning, which may be taken into consideration when modern current knowledge on lectins is analyzed (Lakhtin et al., 2010d).

Briefly, term “lectin” means:
I) the presence of carbohydrate/glycoconjugate-binding site (CBS) or configuration of non-catalytic nature:
II) Interaction without altering recognizable carbohydrate;
III) Reversible carbohydrate recognition;
IV) inhibition by complementary carbohydrate or glycoconjugate;
I) non-immunoglobulin nature;
II) Ig-like domain/motif can be presented, and may be important in pair together with CBS.
I) non-toxic nature of CBS:
II) Ig-like domain/motif can be presented, and may be important in pair together with CBS.
I) non-toxic nature of CBS:
II) as opposite term to toxic moiety of molecule like in case of counter pair: enzyme and lectin activities in A (toxic enzyme) - or B (non-toxic lectin)- subunit of A-B-type toxins, respectively.

The term “enzyme as true lectin” means the presence of enzyme and lectin activities in different separate positions in the same molecule (different subunits, epitopes, domains, etc).
(see Table 2). Historically, examples of lectin-enzyme relationships were described and accumulated preferentially as intermolecular followed by intramolecular type.

1.2. Intermolecular Lectin-Enzyme Relationships

In the Table 1 the data on successful testing glycoprotein origin of about 500 enzymes (and their protein modulators) from all classes are presented. As a result of lectin-enzyme interaction, modulation, stabilization, and space orientation of enzymes are reached (Lakhtin et al., 2010c).

These data demonstrate high potential for intermolecular enzyme – lectin relationships (lectin-directed enzyme stereo fixation, stabilization, assembling, modulation, etc.).

1.3. Intramolecular Lectin-Enzyme Relationships

Molecular organization of lectins often includes receptor part/fragment/domain/motif of molecule (glycoconjugate binding) in combination with enzyme moiety of the same molecule (Lakhtin, 1994). Up today, the progress in the field of intramolecular lectin-enzyme natural relationships is impressive. Some examples are presented in Table 2.

At present it is clear that all main classified types of enzymes can be represented by true lectins.

It should be noted that the same carbohydrate recognition sites/motifs are often found in proteins including different classified enzymes. For example, Gal-binding domain-like is revealed not only in galactose oxidase but also in tyrosine protein kinase, Lactococcus X-Pro dipeptidyl-peptidase, llysyl endopeptidase, and nicotine adenine dinucleotide glycohydrolase (EBI 2010 > Databases > InterPro.IPR008979). Besides, the same CBM type can be found among archaea, eubacteria and fungi as well (Abbott et al., 2008).

Tables 1 and 2 show that lectin – enzyme relationships are universal ones in any organism. They are involved in any host-bacteria symbiotic or non-symbiotic processes, receptor recognition, cytoplasm-organelle metabolism, etc. For instance, lectins and enzymes are active co-partners in eukaryotic intracellular glycoprotein modification and degradation systems (Yoshida, 2007). Their co-functioning is of importance for any biological process in vitro and in vivo as well as for industrial biotechnology (Lakhtin et al., 2010c).

Aforementioned above data indicate importance of lectins and their intra- and interrelationships with enzymes in regulating metabolic nets in vivo and in vitro.

1.4. Probiotic Microbial Lectins

Among lectin sources, probiotic microbes are of increased interest. Probiotic microbial strains of human origin are important perspective sources of bioactives and useful metabolites for drug forms (Aleshkin et al., 2008; Lakhtin et al., 2008). Among such metabolites, there is a variety of lectin – enzyme potentially co-functioning systems involving in recognition type interactions between probiotic microbes and the host (Lakhtin et al., 2006b; Lakhtin et al., 2010c) (Table 2). The researchers are investigating lectins of probiotic bacteria species and strains (Bifidobacterium adolescens MC-42, B. bifidum 1, Lactobacillus acidophilus NK1, K3II24, 100ash, and L. plantarum 8RA3) – key ingredients of probiotics and symbiotics in Russia (Shenderov, 2008). Isolated and standardized on their properties lectins of probiotic lactobacilli and bifidobacteria have revealed different useful synergistic properties (anti-pathogen, etc.) (Lakhtin et al., 2006a, Lakhtin et al., 2010a, Lakhtin et al., 2010b). It is clear now that probiotic multistrain lactobacillus consortium Acilact possesses three different lectin systems involving in different protection reactions by different mechanisms (Lakhtin et al., 2011).

It is waiting for extended panel of probiotic microorganism glycoconjugate-recognizing activities at the level of:

- truncated lectin molecules (in the presence of hydrolases of surrounding media when lectin activity is become unmasked, increased, or changed);
- lectin complexes and multimere assemblies when expression of new type of glycoconjugate recognition is possible (which is absent at the level of monomer ingredients);
- lectin-like molecular pool (bacteriocin-like substances which can be selectively and reversibly associated with glycoconjugates; etc).
Table 1
Typical selected examples on interactions between classified enzymes (also their protein modulators) from about 450 taxonomic sources and extended panel of carbohydrate sensitive agents (mainly commercial lectins) (Lakhtin M et al., 2010c).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>EC*</th>
<th>Enzymes</th>
<th>Interaction with carbohydrate sensitive agents</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Oxidoreductases</td>
<td>57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Transferases</td>
<td>78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Hydrolases</td>
<td>330</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.1</td>
<td>Esterases</td>
<td>75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.2</td>
<td>Glycosyl hydrolases</td>
<td>68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.4</td>
<td>Peptidyl hydrolases</td>
<td>148</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.5 - 3.10</td>
<td>Other hydrolases</td>
<td>39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Lyases</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Isomerases</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Ligases</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


Table 2
Examples of bifunctional enzymes with intrinsic non-catalytic lectin properties

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>EC, Enzymes (sources)</th>
<th>Carbohydrate-binding* domains, motifs, etc.</th>
<th>References</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1.1.3.9. Galactose oxidase (Fungi)</td>
<td>Galactose-binding motif</td>
<td>Ito et al., 1991</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.4.1.-. UDP-GalNAc:Polypeptide α-N-Acetyl-GalNAc-transferase-2 (human)</td>
<td>lectin domain: 3 sites</td>
<td>Fritz et al., 2006</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.4.2.30. ADP-ribosyltransferases (Bacillus, Clostridium)</td>
<td>Domains in B-subunit of A-B toxins</td>
<td>Barth et al., 2004</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.7.-. Protein kinases (M. truncatula)</td>
<td>lectin-like domains</td>
<td>Navarro-Gochicoa et al., 2003</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.1.1.2. Cellulose acetate esterase (N. sieca SB)</td>
<td>CBM2</td>
<td>Moriyoshi et al., 2010</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.1.1.6. Acetyl esterase (A. fumigatus)</td>
<td>CBM1</td>
<td>Moriyoshi et al., 2010</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.2.1.1. α-Amylase (L. amylovorus NRRL B-4540, L.plantarum, L. manihotivorans)</td>
<td>CBM26</td>
<td>Guillen et al., 2007</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.2.1.3. Glucoamylase (Rhizopus oryzae)</td>
<td>CBM21: sites I, II</td>
<td>Chou et al., 2006</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.2.1.8. Large exo-α-sialidase (C. perfringens)</td>
<td>CBM40+CBM32</td>
<td>Boraston et al., 2007</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.2.1.51. 1,3,1,4-α-L-fucosidase (B. bifidum JCM1254)</td>
<td>CBM32+FIVAR</td>
<td>Ashida et al., 2009</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.2.1.-. Lacto-N-biosidase (B. bifidum)</td>
<td>CBM32</td>
<td>Ashida et al., 2009</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.2.1.-. Endo-α-N-acetylgalactosaminidase (B. longum JCM 1217)</td>
<td>CBM32</td>
<td>Ashida et al., 2009</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.4.15/24.-. Zinc** proteases (Bacillus, Clostridium)</td>
<td>Domains in B-subunit of A-B toxins</td>
<td>Barth et al., 2004</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.5.1.-. Peptide:N-glycanase (S. cerevisiae)</td>
<td>Chitobiase-binding groove</td>
<td>Zhao et al., 2009</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peptide:N-glycanase (murine)</td>
<td>Mannose-binding module</td>
<td>Zhao et al., 2009</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.6.1.5. Apyrase (M. truncatula)</td>
<td>(Chito)α2-binding</td>
<td>Eizler et al., 1999</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>non-hevein type domain</td>
<td>N-terminal domain</td>
<td>Huang et al, 2003</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.2.2.-. Chondroitin sulfate ABC lyase I (P. vulgaris)</td>
<td>Mannose-1-phosphate</td>
<td>Tran et al., 2005</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.4.2.-. Phosphomannomannose isomerase (S. chungbukensis DJ77)</td>
<td>binding conserved motif</td>
<td>Yoshida, 2007</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6.1.-. E3 ubiquitin ligase (human)</td>
<td>C-terminal conserved motif</td>
<td>Yoshida, 2007</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Differences in occurrence of repeated combinations of domains, cooperation of sites or motifs of few aminoacids, specific combinations with another type neighbor domain, position in B-subunit. CBM: carbohydrate binding module.
Thus, probiotic bacterial lectins and related systems may serve as a power source of anti-stress activities, cytokine-like and immunomodulating factors, anti-tumor and antiviral agents (Lakhtin et al., 2010a, Lakhtin et al., 2010b, Lakhtin et al., 2010c, Lakhtin et al., 2010d).

1.5 Prospects of Lectin-Enzyme Relationships

Aforementioned data indicate great importance and extended prospects of lectin-enzyme relationship studying and application in future. First of all, our knowledge on lectin-enzyme relationships will help to understand deeper mechanisms of natural processes of cell recognition and surviving under conditions of abnormal events and development of disease in organism. Indeed, many bacterial, fungal and animal lectins are the same in term of the presence of the same types of lectins (for example, C-, L-, R-, or CBM-type) (Lakhtin et al., 2010). Another example is similarity of bacterial and vertebrate F-type lectins (fucose-binding) including Streptococcus proteins, pentraxin-1, etc (Drickamer, 2006). Such similarly functioning lectins may reveal similar ways of lectin-directed realization of key enzyme activities at the levels of organelle, cell, tissue, organ, or biotope in human organism. In case of lectin-regulated hydrolases it may be possible to control and influence the pool of signals of different types (stress, abnormal, cross-talking, quorum sensing, hierarchic between human and microbiota, etc.). The latter aspect is of especially importance because it takes into consideration the functioning of the so called “super system of lectins in human” (components of complement, blood clotting system, protein hormone – hormone receptor system, some of defensins and cytokines, etc) (Lakhtin et al., 2009).

Secondly, aforementioned knowledge on lectin-enzyme relationships will allow development of value universal molecular and supramolecular constructions in medical and bioengineering. For example, the presence of at least 60 families of CBM in natural glycoside hydrolases (Montanier et al., 2010) will create newly constructed CBM-containing enzymes of usefulness for probiotic, prebiotic, symbiotic and synbiotic system functioning in organism, organ, tissue and cellular models or artificial bioreactors of industrial significance. Another promise possibility may be perspective use of probiotic microbial lectins (Lakhtin et al., 2010a, Lakhtin et al., 2010b). It seems, in normal healthy conditions probiotic bifidobacterial and lactobacillus lectins are involved in supporting healthy level of equilibration of the system host – microbiota. Prospects of the use of human probiotic bacterial lectins can be considered as strategic direction in probiotic therapy (Lakhtin et al., 2008).

Conclusion

At present, points of views with respect of lectin – enzyme relationships are closed, similar and converged. These relationships are universal and can be applied to any aspect of hostmicrobiota relationships. The knowledge on lectinenzyme relationships opens new promised prospects in future in construction of new generation of bioactives and drugs, biomarkers and sensors for successful use in clinical microbiology and medical biotechnology.
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