Is Holmium Laser Enucleation of the Prostate a Good Surgical Alternative in Benign Prostatic Hyperplasia Management? A Review Article
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Abstract
Several therapeutic approaches such as holmium laser enucleation of the prostate (HoLEP) have been introduced to relieve bladder outlet obstruction caused by benign prostatic hyperplasia (BPH). Compared with other techniques including the transurethral resection of the prostate (TURP) and simple open prostatectomy, HoLEP results in a shorter hospital stay and catheterization time and fewer blood loss and transfusions. HoLEP is a size-independent treatment option for BPH with average gland size from 36 g to 170 g. HoLEP is a safe procedure in patients receiving an anticoagulant and has no significant influence on the hemoglobin level. Also, HoLEP is an easy and safe technique in patients with a prior history of prostate surgery and a need for retreatment because of adenoma regrowth. The postoperative erectile dysfunction rate of patients treated with HoLEP is similar to TURP or open prostatectomy and about 77% of these patients experience loss of ejaculation. Patients with transitional zone volume less than 30 mL may suffer from persistent stress urinary incontinence following HoLEP so other surgical techniques like bipolar TURP are a good choice for these patients. In young patients, considering HoLEP with high prostate-specific antigen density and a negative standard template prostate biopsy, multiparametric MRI needs to be considered to exclude prostate cancer.
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disadvantages of this treatment as much as possible.

Mechanism of Action
The holmium: YAG (Ho: YAG) laser is a pulsed solid laser with a wavelength of 2140 nm, which is absorbable by water and water-containing tissues, leading to a very short penetration depth into the (prostatic) tissue (~0.4 mm). Therefore, depending on the distance between the end of the laser fiber and prostatic tissue, the surgeon will have hemostasis (<3 mm), or (in direct contact) cutting and vaporization of prostatic tissue. These properties turn the Ho: YAG laser into an ideal device to enucleate the prostatic lobes. The lower energy settings are applicable for reducing the chance of external urethral sphincter injury. A 550-µm end-firing fiber is generally used. Low-power and high-power lasers were used for HoLEP and a randomized controlled trial revealed no inferiority for the low-power laser in mean enucleation efficacy and post-operative dysuria, International Prostate Symptom Score (IPSS) and Q-max in a one-year follow-up.

Two techniques have been described for HoLEP: the three-lobe technique and the two-lobe or en-bloc technique. Ho: YAG laser enucleation can be used according to the patient’s individual prostate anatomy.

The Procedure of HoLEP and Morcellation
HoLEP is very technical surgery and should be done by well-experienced urologists in well-equipped centers.

HoLEP is a stepwise procedure that should be performed precisely for expected outcomes. Kuo et al mentioned some steps as follows:

Step 1: Urethral calibration up to 30 Fr.
Step 2: The insertion of a 26-28 Fr continuous resectoscope and the visualization of the anatomy.
Step 3: The enucleation of prostate lobes. In trilobar hypertrophy, the median lobe should be enucleated first.
Step 4: The inspection of the prostatic fossa. After finishing enucleation and pushing the lobes into the bladder, the prostatic fossa and the capsular surface should be inspected carefully and all bleeding points should be coagulated to reach complete hemostasis because the dry fossa is necessary for the next step.
Step 5: A morcellator is introduced to the bladder via an outer resectoscope sheath and the morcellation of prostatic lobes is done and fragmented tissue is sucked by a vacuum pump.

Prostate Size
The anatomy and size of the prostate are the most important factors when the surgical management of BPH is considered.

Many non-randomized researches have revealed that HoLEP is a size-independent treatment option for BPH with a mean adenoma size from 36 g to 170 g. Recently, a study has shown that in patients with a small prostate (30 g), HoLEP is as effective as PVP in improving voiding parameters and urinary symptoms. Furthermore, its efficacy in patients with prostates > 125 g has been reported. A comparison between HoLEP and suprapubic prostatectomy has revealed that HoLEP is an effective and safe surgical approach in large prostate management, with similar amelioration regarding maximal urinary flow, IPSS and re-treatment rates after 5 years (5% vs. 6.7% respectively).

A major limitation in patients with a very large prostate (up to 400 g) is the capability of the equipment to reach the bladder neck. On the other hand, very large prostates are usually more difficult to morcellate and occasionally need cystotomy to take away the large enucleated adenomas. In that case, some urologists prefer to create a perineal urethrostomy which then allows the performance of HoLEP with a standard instrument. Other surgeons consider simple prostatectomy or robotic-assisted laparoscopic methods as an alternative to HoLEP. In terms of prostate shape, HoLEP is a shape independent surgical approach. Wisenbaugh et al reported similar outcomes of HoLEP in patients with biliob and trilobar (including median lobe enlargement) prostate morphology.

With increasing surgeons’ experience, the time of enucleation decreased; however, the morcellation time is fixed because this is instrument and tissue volume dependent.

HoLEP in Patients With Concomitant Bladder Diverticula
The main cause of acquired bladder diverticula is bladder outlet obstruction and open diverticulectomy is preferred surgical procedure in symptomatic patients, but a retrospective study revealed that HoLEP is an effective treatment for bladder outlet obstruction in patients with bladder diverticula. In that study, 51 patients with bladder diverticula (mean size: 5.5±2.6 cm) who underwent HoLEP were evaluated and more than 200% improvement in PVR and urine peak flow within 12 months follow-up were reported and only 6% of patients required diverticulectomy in longer follow-up.

Anticoagulation
The BPH surgery of patients who received an anticoagulant is a challenging issue because these patients are at higher risk of bleeding during an operation. On the other hand, discontinuing of anticoagulants increases the risk of thromboembolism accidents. Thus, perioperative anticoagulation management is on the basis of risk assessment for thromboembolism accidents and bleeding.

Several studies have reported that HoLEP is a safe procedure in patients who receive anticoagulants, with no significant influence on the hemoglobin level.

Literature indicated that the blood transfusion rate was significantly higher in patients who received anticoagulants (9.4% vs. 4.4%, P<0.001), but in patients
treated with antiplatelet agents, this trend was not significant (2.9% in antiplatelet receiver vs. 5.7% in non-receiver, P = 0.320). 33

Despite HoLEP is feasible in choosing patients on an anticoagulant. Given the different mechanisms of action of anticoagulants, 34 it seems to be incorrect to assume that the risk between different agents is similar.

In cases that a continuous anticoagulant agent is needed, preoperative bridging with low molecular weight heparin and postoperative resuming are typically safe. Some studies do not suggest HoLEP to patients in dual Antiplatelet therapy. The surgery of these patients should be postponed until at least one of the antiplatelet agents can be held with reasonable risk. 5

Urologists have to consider that some drugs have interaction with platelet function, including Serotonin reuptake inhibitors 19 that increase the risk of postoperative hemorrhage. 35

Retreatment After HoLEP
The rate of retreatment due to adenoma regrowth in a seven-year follow-up after TURP was 17.7%, but after HoLEP was 0%-1.4%, suggesting that HoLEP is an excellent approach for BPH surgery. 36,37

HoLEP in the Re-Treatment Setting
Elschal et al found that HoLEP was a technically practical and safe method for retreatment in patients with a prior history of prostate surgery and a need for retreatment because of adenoma regrowth. 38 Marien et al showed that retreatment with HoLEP caused lower blood loss, shorter operation time and length of stay, and less tissue resected in comparison to primary treatment with HoLEP. Moreover, the risk of clot retention and urethral stricture is low in a re-treatment setting but significantly higher than the primary HoLEP. 39

Sexual Function
One-third of men older than 50 years complain of simultaneous erectile dysfunction (ED) and LUTS/ BPH. 4,40 The influence of BPH surgery on erection function is controversial amongst urologists. ED was reported in 13% of the patients who underwent TURP. 41,42 Some authors 43 believe that ED is age-related, but others attribute it to preexisting ED. 44 Hanbury et al 45 propose that injury to the prostate capsule and neurovascular bundles during an operation causes erectile dysfunction.

HoLEP is an effective surgical technique for treating BPH, 27 with similar functional results to those of TURP and OP in terms of subjective symptom relief and urodynamic parameters and postoperative ED. 46,47,48

Elschal et al compared PVP, HoLEP and holmium laser ablation of the prostate in terms of sexual dysfunction and they concluded that HoLEP group experienced more International Index of Erectile Function (IIEF) improvement (41.4, 60.6, and 29.4% respectively). It could be due to lower total energy that was used during HoLEP, which resulted in diminishing damage to adjacent neurovascular tissues.

Patients with severe LUTS and a lower baseline IIEF score most likely benefit from HoLEP in terms of clinically significant erectile function improvement, regardless of their age. 5

The bladder neck closure mechanism during ejaculation prevents the backward movement of semen into the bladder 23,28 and the impairment of this mechanism after transurethral surgery for the prostate causes retrograde ejaculation. 29

Kim et al reported that 76.9% of men experienced a total loss of ejaculation after HoLEP, 18.7% suffered from a decrease in ejaculation, and 4.4% had no change. 46 Similar findings were reported by Briganti et al and these rates were quite similar to TURP. 52 For patients with complete retrograde ejaculation, due to urinary function improvement, long-term sexual function was tolerable. 46

Post-HoLEP Urinary Incontinence
Stress urinary incontinence (SUI) following BPH surgery may negatively influence patients’ quality of life. It happens 3%-9% after OP, 48,49 almost 2% after TURP, and 4.9%-12.5% after HoLEP, most of which recovered within one year. 44,50,51

Diabetes mellitus, longer operation and enucleation time, surgeon’s experience, larger prostate size, higher blood loss are some factors associated with SUI after HoLEP. 52-54 Furthermore, the resection of tissue near the external sphincter might result in temporary UI. 52-54

The modified bilobar approach of HoLEP decreased the incidence of retrograde ejaculation and UI, improving the patients’ quality of life after surgery compared to the traditional three-lobed technique. 39

Multivariate analysis revealed that the prostate transition zone volume was significantly associated with the early recovery of SUI. The patients with transition zone volume less than 30 mL may suffer from persistent stress urinary incontinence following HoLEP so other surgical techniques like bipolar TURP are a good choice for these patients. 52

Incidental Prostate Cancer
A prostate biopsy is routinely performed to diagnose prostate cancer, but false negative results may be reported. 55-58 Different from other laser therapy for BPH, HoLEP is a surgical approach which provides a specimen for pathologic examination and therefore final specimen histology might disclose incidental prostate cancer (IPca). Despite preoperative prostate cancer risk assessment with biopsy, up to 13% of patients will be diagnosed with IPca following HoLEP. 59,60

Several factors such as age, smaller prostate volume, PSA density and preoperative PSA were introduced as the predictors of IPca; however, PSA density and increased
The main drawback of HoLEP is its steep learning curve. Learning Curve of HoLEP and reoperation after HoLEP are most similar to OP. and long-term urinary function, strictures, incontinence is significantly longer than OP, but it has a shorter catheter.

Similar results were seen whenever HoLEP and PVP were compared. A single-center experience showed that enucleation and morcellation efficiency was reached after 30 and 20 cases respectively and the complication rate, the operation time and the conversion rate decreased significantly with time. A systematic review including 24 studies and 5173 patients revealed that an acceptable learning curve based on different outcomes was reached with 25-50 cases.

**HoLEP Cost**

Another disadvantage of HoLEP is its cost that is higher than TURP (gold standard method). To determine the clinical effectiveness and cost-utility of an alternative procedure to TURP, a systematic review was conducted in 2008 and its results showed that HoLEP was more cost-effective than a single TURP but it was less effective than a strategy involving repeated TURP. Finally, it was concluded that TURP is clinically effective and cost-effective.

**HoLEP operation time**

Longer operation time is one of the disadvantages of HoLEP. In an RCT, Mavuduru et al revealed that HoLEP operation time is significantly higher than TURP (53 ± 9.84 vs. 43 ± 9.36 min respectively; P = 0.001) and this result was confirmed in a systematic review and the meta-analysis of RCTs in 2013. Furthermore, operation time in HoLEP is significantly higher than OP.

**Conclusion**

In summary, HoLEP is an independent gland size technique for managing BPH, which has some advantages including shorter catheterization time and hospital stay, fewer bleeding, a lower complication and reoperation rate. While operation time in HoLEP is often longer than TURP and OP, when analyzed by the grases of tissue removed per unit time, HoLEP is equivalent to OP and better than TURP. Despite some drawbacks including a steep learning curve, high cost and longer operation time, HoLEP can be used as a suitable alternative for any methods in different situations.
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age are the independent predictors of IPCa. Active surveillance, radical prostatectomy and radiotherapy are options for men diagnosed with IPCa after HoLEP. Although active surveillance is appropriate for most patients, a proper surveillance regimen has not been recognized. Radical prostatectomy is another feasible choice, but the risk of incontinence and erectile dysfunction is higher. The outcomes of radiotherapy after HoLEP need further study.

Gellhaus et al reported that only 27% of patients who underwent robotic-assisted laparoscopic prostatectomy after HoLEP developed with UI. Other oncologic parameters like the positive margin and the biochemical recurrence rate were similar to patients without a previous history of BPH surgery.

In young patients with high PSA density and a negative standard template prostate biopsy that candidate for HoLEP, multiparametric MRI needs to be considered to exclude prostate cancer. This novel approach leads to low rates of incidental prostate cancer.

**Comparison With TURP**

In comparison with TURP, HoLEP causes fewer blood loss and transfusions, a shorter catheter and hospital stay, but longer operation time. One meta-analysis revealed that between TURP and HoLEP, the rates of urethral stenosis (4.4% vs. 2.6%), stress urinary incontinence (1.5% vs. 1.5%), and re-intervention (8.8% vs. 4.3%) were not significantly different.

One randomized controlled trial (RCT) that compared HoLEP with TURP with a 7-year follow-up indicated sustained improvements beyond 7 years from the preoperative states of unhappiness and dissatisfaction to the pleased and delighted states. Another meta-analysis bolded the advantage of HoLEP when compared to TURP with regard to post-operative maximal flow. Similar results were seen whenever HoLEP and PVP were compared.

A retrospective study with the longest follow-up (mean 62 months) reported durable functional results with low re-operation rates. A comprehensive meta-analysis showed that IPSS improvement after HoLEP is significantly better than TURP and these urinary function improvements (Qmax, PVR, and IPSS) are sustained beyond 5-10 years.

Cornu et al showed a lower risk of bleeding in HoLEP compared with TURP due to the hemostatic properties of the surgical approach and thermal laser energy.

Several RCTs showed that the operation time of HoLEP is significantly longer than OP, but it has a shorter catheter and hospital stay and fewer blood transfusions. Short-term and long-term urinary function, strictures, incontinence and reoperation after HoLEP are most similar to OP.

**Learning Curve of HoLEP**

The main drawback of HoLEP is its steep learning curve.
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