The Effect of Antimicrobial Photodynamic Therapy with Radachlorin® on Staphylococcus Aureus and Escherichia Coli: An in Vitro Study
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Abstract:

Introduction: The aim of this study is the evaluation of the effect of Antimicrobial Photodynamic Therapy with Radachlorin on Staphylococcus aureus and Escherichia coli. New windows are open in the antimicrobial field so-called Photodynamic therapy that incorporates a nonpoisonous photosensitizer (PS) with innocuous special wavelength photons to excite the PS.

Methods: Two strains of bacteria used in this study were Methicillin resistant Staphylococcus aureus (ATCC 33591; PTCC 1764) and Escherichia coli (ATCC 25922; PTCC1399). Concentrations of 0.2 ml of Radachlorin® were applied on 0.2 ml of bacterial suspensions and placed in a 48-well microtiter plate. The following groups were used: (I) L− PS− (no laser, no photosensitizer), (II) L− PS+ (treated only with PS), (III) L+ PS− (treated only with laser) and (IV) L+ PS+ (treated with laser and PS: photodynamic therapy group). Aliquots of bacterial suspensions were sensitized with Radachlorin® for 15 minutes in the dark at room temperature and then bacterial suspensions in group III and IV were irradiated with 210 mW (power density) and 12 J/cm² (energy density) on continuous mode.

Results: This study showed that photodynamic therapy reduces 0.14 log 10 in E.Coli (group IV) and there were significant differences for group IV (P<0.01). Photodynamic therapy in S.Aureus showed 6.28 log 10 colony count reduction (group IV) and there were highly significant differences in Photodynamic therapy group (P<0.0001).

Conclusion: Radachlorin® have bactericidal effect on S.aureus (6.28 log 10) and bacteriostatic effect on E.coli (0.14 log 10).
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Introduction

Presently, two of the overt multidrug-resistant pathogens causing worldwide worry are Methicillin-resistant Staphylococcus aureus (MRSA) and “extended-spectrum β-lactamase (ESBL) “producing Escherichia coli 1,2. Some studies showed significant increasing trends of S.Aureus and E.Coli in urinary tract infections (UTI), respiratory tract infections (RTI), and surgical site infections (SSI) 3,4. Many efforts have been done to overcome the pathogens such as producing new antibiotics but the microorganisms are wily and by different methods...
annihilate the antibiotics. New windows are open in antimicrobial field so-call Photodynamic therapy that incorporates a nonpoisonous photosensitizer (PS) with innocuous special wavelength photons to excite the PS to its reactive triplet state, which will then produce reactive oxygen species, such as superoxide and singlet oxygen that are poisonous to cells and kill them. In many research, chlorin e6 as a photosensitiser has been used generally. Radachlorin® which is a chlorophyll derivative, including sodium chloride e6, chlorine p6, purpurine 5, that have been successfully used in tumors diagnosis and tumors treatment. There have been only few studies on the antimicrobial effects of Radachlorin®, although there have been several studies on chlorin e6, which is a major component of Radachlorin®. Fekrazad et al reported that the combination of Radachlorin® and laser was more effective on Streptococcus mutans than Radachlorin® or laser alone (p<0.05). Vahabi et al reported an in vitro study that toluidine blue O (TBO) mediated photodynamic therapy seems to be more efficient than Radachlorin® in reducing the viability of Streptococcus mutans. We can’t find any reaseach on Staphylococcus aureus and Escherichia coli with Radachlorin®. The main purpose of this study was to explore the antimicrobial photodynamic therapy effect of Radachlorin® on Persian Type Culture Collection (PTCC) S. aureus and E. coli.

Methods

Bacteria

Two strains of bacteria used in this study were Methicillin resistant Staphylococcus aureus; Persian Type Culture Collection (PTCC 1764) and Escherichia coli (PTCC 1399). These bacteria were maintained by weekly subculture on nutrient agar (Merck). These bacteria were grown in brain-heart infusion broth in an orbital shaker at 37°C for 24 h. An aliquot of this suspension was then added to nutrient broth and grown to mid-log phase (OD600=0.6, 10⁸ cells/mL).

Photosensitizers and laser sources

Radachlorin® gel (0.1%, 25 g) was obtained from RADA-FARMA Ltd, Russia and stored at 0–8 °C in the dark. The laser source used was a diode laser (Milon-LAHTA, Russia) with a fiber optic diameter of 800 micrometer, a maximum output of 2.5 W and a predominant wavelength of 662 nm.

Photodynamic therapy

Preparation of suspension of microbial cells was performed, preparation of liquid media (brain-heart infusion broth, BHI, for bacteria) and autoclave. Preparation of solid media was performed by addition of 1.5% microbiological agar to above broth and poured into 10 × 10 cm square petri dishes. Concentration of 0.2 ml of Radachlorin® was applied on 0.2 ml of the bacterial suspensions and placed in a 48-well microtiter plate. The following groups were used: (I) L− PS− (no laser, no photosensitizer), (II) L−PS+ (treated only with PS), (III) L+ PS− (treated only with laser) and (IV) L+ PS+ (treated with laser and PS: photodynamic therapy group). Aliquots of bacterial suspensions were sensitized with Radachlorin® for 15 minutes in the dark at room temperature and then bacterial suspensions in group III and IV were irradiated with continuous mode, 23 second, 213 mW (power density) and 12 J/cm² (energy density). The focal point of laser was matched by one of 48-well microtiter plate and the fiber optic of the laser was at 1mm above the microtiter plate. The plates were incubated at 37°C overnight. The laboratory technician was blinded to the study and the numbers of colonies was counted to determine the survival fractions.

Statistical analysis

Values were expressed as log 10 means±standard deviation. Comparisons between means of groups were used as well as the univariate analysis of variance and Post P<0.05 was considered statistically significant.

Results

This study showed that photodynamic therapy reduces 0.14 log 10 in E.Coli (group IV) and there were significant differences for group IV (P<0.01) (Table 1) but no differences in other groups and control group (group I) were obtained.

Profile pilot diagram of E.Coli show colony count

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Group</th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>Std. Deviation</th>
<th>N</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(I)</td>
<td>7.1530</td>
<td>.06542</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(II)</td>
<td>7.0930</td>
<td>.06602</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(III)</td>
<td>7.0445</td>
<td>.07244</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(IV)</td>
<td>7.0105</td>
<td>.15388</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Photodynamic therapy in S. aureus showed 6.28 log 10 colony count reduction (group IV) and there were highly significant differences in Photodynamic therapy group ($P<0.0001$) and other groups (Table 2).

Other groups of S. aureus (group II and III) showed no significant differences in comparison to the control group. Profile pilot diagram of S. aureus shows colony count mean values in each group (Figure 2).

For analysis of difference between E. coli and S. aureus in response to photodynamic therapy Independent t-test was performed. This test showed that colony count of S. aureus was significantly reduced compared to E. coli (between group II and group IV) ($P<0.0001$).

### Discussion

The ability of Radachlorin® to act as a photosensitizer after irradiation with laser photons has been demonstrated in a few studies but several studies were done on chlorin e6, which is a major component of Radachlorin®. In the present study, we examined the antimicrobial effect of Radachlorin® mediated PDT against S. aureus and E. coli. Statistical analysis showed that Radachlorin® mediated PDT is very effective in inhibiting the growth of S. aureus. **Bactericidal activity** of an antimicrobial agents means $>3$ log10 reduction of bacterial counts and **Bacteriostatic activity** of one antimicrobial agents means $<3$ log10 reduction of bacterial counts. According to this study Radachlorin® has Bactericidal effect on S. aureus ($6.28 \text{ log}_{10}$) and Bacteriostatic effect on E. coli ($0.14 \text{ log}_{10}$). Several studies showed that Gram negative bacteria are largely resistant to antimicrobial photodynamic therapy due to their special cell wall structure. Park et al reported pure chlorin e6 mediated PDT also nearly inhibited the colony formation of S. aureus and P. aeruginosa, and partially inhibited that of E. coli and S. Typhimurium. Fomichev et al. reported the effectiveness of E. coli photoinactivation in the presence of chlorines was 100-200 times lower as compared with that of B. subtilis. Hope et al. reported that The SnCe6/Phi11 conjugate achieved a statistically significant reduction in the number of viable bacteria of both Staphylococcus aureus NCTC 8325-4 and EMRSA-16 strains by 2.31 log (10) and 2.63 log(10), respectively. The conjugate could not however instigate lethal photosensitization of Escherichia coli.

The advantages of antimicrobial photodynamic therapy over usual antimicrobial agents are non-invasive nature, good selectivity, no resistance to drugs, rapid killing of target microorganisms in a few minutes depending on the energy densities delivered and antimicrobial effects of PS may be confined to the site of the lesion.

Although Radachlorin® mediated antimicrobial photodynamic therapy is very effective in inhibiting Gram-positive bacteria such as S. aureus, it is further necessary to design suitable strategies enhancing the permeability of the outer wall for PS in Gram negative bacteria such as E. coli.
Conclusion

Radachlorin® have Bactericidal effect on S.aureus (6.28 log 10) and Bacteriostatic effect on E.coli (0.14 log 10).
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