

SID



سرویس های ویژه



سرویس ترجمه تخصصی



کارگاه های آموزشی



بلاگ مرکز اطلاعات علمی



عضویت در خبرنامه



فیلم های آموزشی

کارگاه های آموزشی مرکز اطلاعات علمی جهاد دانشگاهی



PROPOSAL

پروپوزال

مركز آموزش
پروپوزال نویسی و پایان نامه نویسی

کارگاه آنلاین
پروپوزال نویسی و پایان نامه نویسی



مركز آموزش
روش تحقیق و مقاله نویسی علوم انسانی

کارگاه آنلاین
روش تحقیق و مقاله نویسی علوم انسانی



ISI
Scopus

مركز آموزش
آشنایی با پایگاه های اطلاعات علمی بین المللی و ترکیه های جستجو

کارگاه آنلاین آشنایی با پایگاه های اطلاعات علمی بین المللی و ترکیه های جستجو

Duality of g -Bessel sequences and some results about RIP g -frames

M. S. Asgari ^{*†}, G. Kavian [‡]

Abstract

In this paper, first we develop the duality concept for g -Bessel sequences and Bessel function sequences in Hilbert spaces. We obtain some results about dual, pseudo-dual and approximate dual of frames and fusion frames. We also expand every g -Bessel sequence to a frame by summing some elements. We define the restricted isometry property for g -frames and generalize some results from (B. G. Bodmann et al, Fusion frames and the restricted isometry property, Num. Func. Anal. Optim. 33 (2012) 770-790) to g -frame situation. Finally we study the stability of g -frames under erasure of operators.

Keywords : G -frames; Fusion frames; Dual frames; Pseudo-dual frames; Approximate dual frames; Bessel sequences.

1 Introduction

Let \mathcal{H}, \mathcal{K} be two separable Hilbert spaces and $\{W_i\}_{i \in I}$ be a sequence of closed subspaces of \mathcal{K} , where I is a subset of \mathbb{Z} . For any frame $\{f_i\}_{i \in I}$ there exists at least one dual frame, i.e., a frame $\{g_i\}_{i \in I}$ for which

$$f = \sum_{i \in I} \langle f, g_i \rangle f_i \quad \forall f \in \mathcal{H}.$$

If $\{f_i\}_{i \in I}$ is a Bessel sequence with bound $B < 1$, how can we find two sequences $\{g_i\}_{i \in I}$ and $\{p_i\}_{i \in I}$ such that $\{f_i + g_i\}_{i \in I}$ and $\{p_i\}_{i \in I}$ are dual frames, i.e., such that

$$\begin{aligned} f &= \sum_{i \in I} \langle f, p_i \rangle (f_i + g_i) \\ &= \sum_{i \in I} \langle f, f_i + g_i \rangle p_i, \end{aligned}$$

for all $f \in \mathcal{H}$. In this paper we obtain some the more general results of the type (1). Let $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H}, W_i)$ be the collection of all bounded linear operators from \mathcal{H} into W_i . Recall that a family of operators $\Lambda = \{\Lambda_i \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H}, W_i) : i \in I\}$ is said to be a generalized frame, or simply a g -frame for \mathcal{H} with respect to $\{W_i\}_{i \in I}$ if there exist constants $0 < C \leq D < \infty$ such that

$$C\|f\|^2 \leq \sum_{i \in I} \|\Lambda_i f\|^2 \leq D\|f\|^2 \quad \forall f \in \mathcal{H}. \quad (1.1)$$

The constants C and D are called g -frame bounds and $\sup_{i \in I} \|\Lambda_i\|$ is called the multiplicity of the g -frame. We call Λ a tight g -frame if $C = D$ and it is a Parseval g -frame if $C = D = 1$. Λ is called a ε - g -frame for \mathcal{H} if $C = \frac{1}{1+\varepsilon}$ and $D = 1+\varepsilon$ for some $\varepsilon > 0$. If the right-hand side of (1.1) holds, then Λ is said a g -Bessel sequence for \mathcal{H} with respect to $\{W_i\}_{i \in I}$. The representation space associated with a g -Bessel sequence $\Lambda = \{\Lambda_i\}_{i \in I}$ is defined

*Corresponding author. moh.asgari@iauctb.ac.ir

[†]Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science, Islamic Azad University, Central Tehran Branch, Tehran, Iran.

[‡]Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science, Islamic Azad University, Roudehen Branch, Roudehen, Iran.

by

$$\left(\sum_{i \in I} \oplus W_i\right)_{\ell^2} = \left\{ \{g_i\}_{i \in I} \mid g_i \in W_i, \sum_{i \in I} \|g_i\|^2 < \infty \right\}.$$

The synthesis operator of Λ is defined by

$$T_\Lambda : \left(\sum_{i \in I} \oplus W_i\right)_{\ell^2} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$$

$$T_\Lambda(\{g_i\}_{i \in I}) = \sum_{i \in I} \Lambda_i^* g_i.$$

The adjoint operator of T_Λ , which is called the analysis operator also obtain as follows

$$T_\Lambda^* : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \left(\sum_{i \in I} \oplus W_i\right)_{\ell^2}$$

$$T_\Lambda^* f = \{\Lambda_i f\}_{i \in I}.$$

By composing T_Λ with its adjoint T_Λ^* , we obtain the fusion frame operator

$$S_\Lambda : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$$

$$S_\Lambda f = T_\Lambda T_\Lambda^* f = \sum_{i \in I} \Lambda_i^* \Lambda_i f,$$

which is a bounded, self-adjoint, positive and invertible operator and $CI_{\mathcal{H}} \leq S_\Lambda \leq DI_{\mathcal{H}}$. The canonical dual g -frame for $\{\Lambda_i\}_{i \in I}$ is defined by $\{\tilde{\Lambda}_i\}_{i \in I}$ with $\tilde{\Lambda}_i = \Lambda_i S_\Lambda^{-1}$, which is also a g -frame for \mathcal{H} with g -frame bounds $\frac{1}{D}$ and $\frac{1}{C}$, respectively. Also we have

$$f = \sum_{i \in I} \Lambda_i^* \tilde{\Lambda}_i f = \sum_{i \in I} \tilde{\Lambda}_i^* \Lambda_i f \quad \forall f \in \mathcal{H}.$$

For more details about the theory and applications of frames we refer the readers to [1, 8, 9, 10, 11] and for fusion frames to [2, 4, 5, 7], about g -frames to [3, 12, 13].

The paper is organized as follows: Section 2, contains an extension of g -Bessel sequences to dual g -frames. In this Section, we consider the dual, pseudo-dual and approximate dual frames, fusion frames and we obtain several characterizations of all this dual frames. In Section 3, we generalize the restricted isometry property to the g -frame situation. In Section 4, we study the conditions which under removing some element from a g -frame, again we obtain another g -frame.

2 Dual, approximate dual and pseudo-dual of g -frames

Let $\Lambda = \{\Lambda_i\}_{i \in I}$ and $\Gamma = \{\Gamma_i\}_{i \in I}$ be g -Bessel sequences for \mathcal{H} with synthesis operators T_Λ and T_Γ respectively. Then we say that Λ and Γ are dual g -frames for \mathcal{H} if $T_\Lambda T_\Gamma^* = I_{\mathcal{H}}$ or $T_\Gamma T_\Lambda^* = I_{\mathcal{H}}$.

In the following we show that any pair of g -Bessel sequences can be extended to pair of dual g -frames. This result, generalizes a result of Christensen, Oh Kim and Young Kim [9] to the situation of g -frames.

Theorem 2.1 Let $\Lambda = \{\Lambda_i\}_{i \in I}$ and $\Gamma = \{\Gamma_i\}_{i \in I}$ be two g -Bessel sequences for \mathcal{H} with respect to $\{W_i\}_{i \in I}$. Then there exist g -Bessel sequences $\{\Xi_j\}_{j \in J}$ and $\{\Omega_j\}_{j \in J}$ for \mathcal{H} with respect to $\{V_j\}_{j \in J}$, such that $\{\Lambda_i\}_{i \in I} \cup \{\Xi_j\}_{j \in J}$ and $\{\Gamma_i\}_{i \in I} \cup \{\Omega_j\}_{j \in J}$ form a pair of dual g -frames for \mathcal{H} with respect to $\{W_i\}_{i \in I} \cup \{V_j\}_{j \in J}$.

Proof. Assume that $\{\Phi_j\}_{j \in J}$ and $\{\Psi_j\}_{j \in J}$ are any pair of dual g -frames for \mathcal{H} with respect to $\{V_j\}_{j \in J}$ and let $\Theta = I_{\mathcal{H}} - T_\Gamma T_\Lambda^*$. Then for any $f \in \mathcal{H}$ we have

$$f = \Theta f + T_\Gamma T_\Lambda^* f$$

$$= \sum_{j \in J} \Psi_j^* \Phi_j \Theta f + \sum_{i \in I} \Gamma_i^* \Lambda_i f.$$

If we set $\Xi_j = \Phi_j \Theta$ and $\Omega_j = \Psi_j$ for all $j \in J$. Then $\{\Lambda_i\}_{i \in I} \cup \{\Xi_j\}_{j \in J}$ and $\{\Gamma_i\}_{i \in I} \cup \{\Omega_j\}_{j \in J}$ are dual g -frames for \mathcal{H} with respect to $\{W_i\}_{i \in I} \cup \{V_j\}_{j \in J}$.

Theorem 2.2 Let \mathcal{F} be a Bessel sequence for \mathcal{H} with Bessel bound $B < 1$ and let \mathcal{E} be Parseval frame for \mathcal{H} . Then there exists a Bessel sequence \mathcal{G} for \mathcal{H} such that $\mathcal{F} + \mathcal{E}$ and $\mathcal{G} + \mathcal{E}$ are dual frames.

Let $\mathcal{F} = \{f_i\}_{i \in I}$ and $\mathcal{E} = \{e_i\}_{i \in I}$. Since $B < 1$, $I_{\mathcal{H}} + T_{\mathcal{F}} T_{\mathcal{E}}^*$ is an invertible operator in $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$. If we define

$$\Theta = -(I_{\mathcal{H}} + T_{\mathcal{F}} T_{\mathcal{E}}^*)^{-1} T_{\mathcal{F}} T_{\mathcal{E}}^*$$

and $g_i = \Theta^* e_i$ for all $i \in I$. Then $\mathcal{G} = \{g_i\}_{i \in I}$ is a

Bessel sequence for \mathcal{H} and for all $f \in \mathcal{H}$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} f &= (I_{\mathcal{H}} + T_{\mathcal{F}}T_{\mathcal{E}}^*)\Theta f + T_{\mathcal{E}}T_{\mathcal{E}}^*f + T_{\mathcal{F}}T_{\mathcal{E}}^*f \\ &= T_{\mathcal{E}}T_{\mathcal{E}}^*\Theta f + T_{\mathcal{E}}T_{\mathcal{E}}^*f + T_{\mathcal{F}}T_{\mathcal{E}}^*\Theta f + T_{\mathcal{F}}T_{\mathcal{E}}^*f \\ &= \sum_{i \in I} \langle \Theta f, e_i \rangle e_i + \sum_{i \in I} \langle f, e_i \rangle e_i \\ &+ \sum_{i \in I} \langle \Theta f, e_i \rangle f_i + \sum_{i \in I} \langle f, e_i \rangle f_i \\ &= \sum_{i \in I} \langle f, g_i + e_i \rangle (f_i + e_i), \end{aligned}$$

which this finishes the proof. The following corollaries are generalizations of Theorem 2.2 to the g -frames situation. We leave the proofs to interested readers.

Corollary 2.1 Let $\Lambda = \{\Lambda_i\}_{i \in I}$ be a g -Bessel sequence for \mathcal{H} with respect to $\{W_i\}_{i \in I}$ with g -Bessel bound $B < 1$. Then there exists g -Bessel sequence $\{\Gamma_i\}_{i \in I}$ for \mathcal{H} with respect to $\{W_i\}_{i \in I}$, such that $\{\Xi_i + \Lambda_i\}_{i \in I}$ and $\{\Xi_i + \Gamma_i\}_{i \in I}$ are dual g -frames for \mathcal{H} with respect to $\{W_i\}_{i \in I}$, where $\{\Xi_i\}_{i \in I}$ is a Parseval g -frame for \mathcal{H} with respect to $\{W_i\}_{i \in I}$.

Corollary 2.2 For every g -Bessel sequence $\Lambda = \{\Lambda_i\}_{i \in I}$ with Bessel bound $B < 1$ and each Parseval g -frame $\Xi = \{\Xi_i\}_{i \in I}$ for \mathcal{H} with respect to $\{W_i\}_{i \in I}$, there exists g -Bessel sequence $\{\Gamma_i\}_{i \in I}$ for \mathcal{H} with respect to $\{W_i\}_{i \in I}$ such that $\{\Lambda_i + \Xi_i\}_{i \in I}$ and $\{\Gamma_i\}_{i \in I}$ are dual g -frames for \mathcal{H} with respect to $\{W_i\}_{i \in I}$.

Corollary 2.3 For every g -Bessel sequence $\{\Lambda_i\}_{i \in I}$ for \mathcal{H} with respect to $\{W_i\}_{i \in I}$ there exist g -Bessel sequence $\{\Gamma_i\}_{i \in I}$ and a tight g -frame $\{\Xi_i\}_{i \in I}$ for \mathcal{H} with respect to $\{W_i\}_{i \in I}$ such that $\{\Lambda_i + \Xi_i\}_{i \in I}$ and $\{\Gamma_i\}_{i \in I}$ are dual g -frames for \mathcal{H} with respect to $\{W_i\}_{i \in I}$.

Let $\mathcal{W} = \{W_i\}_{i \in I}$ be a sequence of closed subspaces in \mathcal{H} , and let $\mathcal{A} = \{\alpha_i\}_{i \in I}$ be a family of weights, i.e., $\alpha_i > 0$ for all $i \in I$. A sequence $\mathcal{W}_{\alpha} = \{(W_i, \alpha_i)\}_{i \in I}$ is a fusion frame, if there exist real numbers $0 < C \leq D < \infty$ such that for all $f \in \mathcal{H}$:

$$C\|f\|^2 \leq \sum_{i \in I} \alpha_i^2 \|\pi_{W_i}(f)\|^2 \leq D\|f\|^2, \quad (2.2)$$

where π_{W_i} is the orthogonal projection from \mathcal{H} onto W_i . The constant C, D are called the fusion frame bounds. If the right-hand inequality of (2.2) holds, then we say that \mathcal{W}_{α} is a

Bessel fusion sequence with Bessel fusion bound D . Moreover if $\mathcal{F}_i = \{f_{ij}\}_{j \in J_i}$ is a frame for W_i for all $i \in I$. Then $\mathcal{W} = \{(W_i, \alpha_i, \mathcal{F}_i)\}_{i \in I}$ is called a fusion frame system for \mathcal{H} . The constants A, B are called the local frame bounds if they are the common frame bounds for the local frame $\mathcal{F}_i = \{f_{ij}\}_{j \in J_i}$ for all $i \in I$. A collection of dual frames $\mathcal{G}_i = \{g_{ij}\}_{j \in J_i}$, $i \in I$ associated with the local frames is called local dual frames. By Theorem 3.2 from [7], if $\mathcal{W} = \{(W_i, \alpha_i, \mathcal{F}_i)\}_{i \in I}$ is a fusion frame system for \mathcal{H} with fusion frame bounds C, D and local frame bounds A, B , then $\mathcal{F} = \{\alpha_i f_{ij}\}_{i \in I, j \in J_i}$ is a frame for \mathcal{H} with frame bounds AC and BD . Also if $\mathcal{F} = \{\alpha_i f_{ij}\}_{i \in I, j \in J_i}$ is a frame for \mathcal{H} with frame bounds C and D , then $\mathcal{W} = \{(W_i, \alpha_i, \mathcal{F}_i)\}_{i \in I}$ is a fusion frame system for \mathcal{H} with fusion frame bounds $\frac{C}{B}$ and $\frac{D}{A}$.

Definition 2.1 Let $\mathcal{W}_{\alpha} = \{(W_i, \alpha_i)\}_{i \in I}$ and $\mathcal{Z}_{\beta} = \{(Z_i, \beta_i)\}_{i \in I}$ be Bessel fusion sequences for \mathcal{H} with synthesis operators $T_{\mathcal{W}_{\alpha}}$ and $T_{\mathcal{Z}_{\beta}}$ respectively. Then

- (i) $\mathcal{W}_{\alpha}, \mathcal{Z}_{\beta}$ are dual fusion frames for \mathcal{H} if $T_{\mathcal{W}_{\alpha}}T_{\mathcal{Z}_{\beta}}^* = I_{\mathcal{H}}$ or $T_{\mathcal{Z}_{\beta}}T_{\mathcal{W}_{\alpha}}^* = I_{\mathcal{H}}$.
- (ii) $\mathcal{W}_{\alpha}, \mathcal{Z}_{\beta}$ are approximate dual fusion frames for \mathcal{H} if $\|I_{\mathcal{H}} - T_{\mathcal{W}_{\alpha}}T_{\mathcal{Z}_{\beta}}^*\| < 1$ or $\|I_{\mathcal{H}} - T_{\mathcal{Z}_{\beta}}T_{\mathcal{W}_{\alpha}}^*\| < 1$.
- (iii) $\mathcal{W}_{\alpha}, \mathcal{Z}_{\beta}$ are called pseudo-dual fusion frames for \mathcal{H} if $T_{\mathcal{W}_{\alpha}}T_{\mathcal{Z}_{\beta}}^*$ or $T_{\mathcal{Z}_{\beta}}T_{\mathcal{W}_{\alpha}}^*$ is a bijection on \mathcal{H} .

Theorem 2.3 For each $i \in I$ let $\alpha_i > 0$ and $J_i = J_{i1} \cup J_{i2}$ be a partition of J_i and let $\mathcal{W} = \{(W_i, \alpha_i, \{f_{ij}\}_{j \in J_{i1}})\}_{i \in I}$ and $\mathcal{Z} = \{(Z_i, \beta_i, \{g_{ij}\}_{j \in J_{i2}})\}_{i \in I}$ be two fusion frame system for \mathcal{H} . Define

$$u_{ij} = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}f_{ij} & j \in J_{i1} \\ \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\pi_{W_i}\tilde{g}_{ij} & j \in J_{i2} \end{cases}$$

and

$$v_{ij} = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\pi_{Z_i}\tilde{f}_{ij} & j \in J_{i1} \\ \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}g_{ij} & j \in J_{i2} \end{cases}$$

for all $i \in I, j \in J_i$. Then the following conditions are equivalent:

- (1) $\mathcal{W}_{\alpha} = \{(W_i, \alpha_i)\}_{i \in I}$ and $\mathcal{Z}_{\beta} = \{(Z_i, \beta_i)\}_{i \in I}$ are (dual, pseudo-dual, approximate dual) fusion frames.

- (2) $\{\alpha_i u_{ij}\}_{i \in I, j \in J_i}$ and $\{\beta_i v_{ij}\}_{i \in I, j \in J_i}$ are (dual, pseudo-dual, approximate dual) frames for \mathcal{H} .

Proof. This claim follows immediately from the fact that for $f \in \mathcal{H}$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{i \in I} \sum_{j \in J_i} \langle f, \beta_i v_{ij} \rangle \alpha_i u_{ij} \\ &= \sum_{i \in I} \alpha_i \beta_i \sum_{j \in J_{i1}} \langle f, v_{ij} \rangle u_{ij} \\ &+ \sum_{i \in I} \alpha_i \beta_i \sum_{j \in J_{i2}} \langle f, v_{ij} \rangle u_{ij} \\ &= \sum_{i \in I} \alpha_i \beta_i \sum_{j \in J_{i1}} \langle f, \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \pi_{Z_i} \tilde{f}_{ij} \rangle \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} f_{ij} \\ &+ \sum_{i \in I} \alpha_i \beta_i \sum_{j \in J_{i2}} \langle f, \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} g_{ij} \rangle \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \pi_{W_i} \tilde{g}_{ij} \\ &= \sum_{i \in I} \frac{\alpha_i \beta_i}{2} \sum_{j \in J_{i1}} \langle \pi_{Z_i}(f), \tilde{f}_{ij} \rangle f_{ij} \\ &+ \sum_{i \in I} \frac{\alpha_i \beta_i}{2} \pi_{W_i} \left(\sum_{j \in J_{i2}} \langle f, g_{ij} \rangle \tilde{g}_{ij} \right) \\ &= \sum_{i \in I} \alpha_i \beta_i \pi_{W_i} \pi_{Z_i}(f) \end{aligned}$$

Theorem 2.4 Let $\{(W_i, \alpha_i, \{f_{ij}\}_{j \in J_i})\}_{i \in I}$ be a fusion frame system and let $\mathcal{Z}_\beta = \{(Z_i, \beta_i)\}_{i \in I}$ be a fusion Bessel sequence for \mathcal{H} . Put $g_{ij} = \pi_{Z_i}(\tilde{f}_{ij})$ for all $i \in I, j \in J_i$. Then the following conditions are equivalent:

- (1) $\mathcal{W}_\alpha = \{(W_i, \alpha_i)\}_{i \in I}$ and $\mathcal{Z}_\beta = \{(Z_i, \beta_i)\}_{i \in I}$ are (dual, pseudo-dual, approximate dual) fusion frames.
- (2) $\mathcal{F} = \{\alpha_i f_{ij}\}_{i \in I, j \in J_i}$ and $\mathcal{G} = \{\beta_i g_{ij}\}_{i \in I, j \in J_i}$ are (dual, pseudo-dual, approximate dual) frames for \mathcal{H} .

Proof. First we prove that \mathcal{G} is a Bessel sequence for \mathcal{H} . Let D be the Bessel fusion bound of \mathcal{Z}_β and A, B be the local frame bounds of $\{(W_i, \alpha_i, \{f_{ij}\}_{j \in J_i})\}_{i \in I}$, then for all $f \in \mathcal{H}$ we

have

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{i \in I} \sum_{j \in J_i} |\langle f, \beta_i g_{ij} \rangle|^2 \\ &= \sum_{i \in I} \sum_{j \in J_i} \beta_i^2 |\langle f, \pi_{Z_i}(\tilde{f}_{ij}) \rangle|^2 \\ &= \sum_{i \in I} \beta_i^2 \sum_{j \in J_i} |\langle \pi_{Z_i}(f), \tilde{f}_{ij} \rangle|^2 \\ &\leq \sum_{i \in I} \frac{\beta_i^2}{A} \|\pi_{W_i} \pi_{Z_i}(f)\|^2 \\ &\leq \frac{1}{A} \sum_{i \in I} \beta_i^2 \|\pi_{Z_i}(f)\|^2 \leq \frac{D}{A} \|f\|^2. \end{aligned}$$

Let $T_{\mathcal{F}}$ and $T_{\mathcal{G}}$ be the synthesis operators for \mathcal{F} and \mathcal{G} respectively. Then for all $f \in \mathcal{H}$ we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} T_{\mathcal{W}_\alpha} T_{\mathcal{Z}_\beta}^*(f) &= \sum_{i \in I} \alpha_i \pi_{W_i} \pi_{Z_i}(f) \\ &= \sum_{i \in I} \alpha_i \sum_{j \in J_i} \langle \pi_{Z_i}(f), \tilde{f}_{ij} \rangle f_{ij} \\ &= \sum_{i \in I} \sum_{j \in J_i} \langle f, \beta_i g_{ij} \rangle \alpha_i f_{ij} \\ &= T_{\mathcal{F}} T_{\mathcal{G}}^*(f). \end{aligned}$$

This finishes the proof.

Theorem 2.5 Let $\mathcal{W}_\alpha = \{(W_i, \alpha_i)\}_{i \in I}$ and $\mathcal{Z}_\beta = \{(Z_i, \beta_i)\}_{i \in I}$ be Bessel fusion sequences for \mathcal{H} and let $T \in B(\mathcal{H})$ be a bounded invertible operator such that $T^*TW_i \subseteq W_i, T^*TZ_i \subseteq Z_i$. Then

- (1) \mathcal{W}_α and \mathcal{Z}_β are (dual, pseudo-dual) fusion frames if and only if $T\mathcal{W}_\alpha = \{(TW_i, \alpha_i)\}_{i \in I}$ and $T\mathcal{Z}_\beta = \{(TZ_i, \beta_i)\}_{i \in I}$ are (dual, pseudo-dual) fusion frame for \mathcal{H} .
- (2) If \mathcal{W}_α and \mathcal{Z}_β are approximate dual fusion frames and $\|T\| \|T^{-1}\| = 1$ then $T\mathcal{W}_\alpha = \{(TW_i, \alpha_i)\}_{i \in I}$ and $T\mathcal{Z}_\beta = \{(TZ_i, \beta_i)\}_{i \in I}$ are also approximate dual fusion frames for \mathcal{H} .

Proof. (1) Since T is invertible and $T^*TW_i \subseteq W_i, T^*TZ_i \subseteq Z_i$ hence for all $i \in I \pi_{TW_i} = T\pi_{W_i}T^{-1}, \pi_{TZ_i} = T\pi_{Z_i}T^{-1}$. This implies that $T_{T\mathcal{W}_\alpha} T_{T\mathcal{Z}_\beta}^* = TT_{\mathcal{W}_\alpha} T_{\mathcal{Z}_\beta}^* T^{-1}$, that from this the claim follows immediately.

(2) We have

$$\begin{aligned} & \|Id_{\mathcal{H}} - T_{T\mathcal{W}_\alpha} T_{T\mathcal{Z}_\beta}^*\| \\ &= \|TT^{-1} - TT_{\mathcal{W}_\alpha} T_{\mathcal{Z}_\beta}^* T^{-1}\| \\ &\leq \|Id_{\mathcal{H}} - T_{\mathcal{W}_\alpha} T_{\mathcal{Z}_\beta}^*\|. \end{aligned}$$

From this the result follows at once.

Theorem 2.6 Let $\mathcal{W}_\alpha = \{(W_i, \alpha_i)\}_{i \in I}$ be a fusion frame and let $\mathcal{Z}_\alpha = \{(Z_i, \alpha_i)\}_{i \in I}$ be a Bessel fusion sequence for \mathcal{H} . Suppose that $T : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$ is a bounded invertible operator such that $TW_i \subseteq Z_i$ for all $i \in I$. Then $\mathcal{Z}_\alpha = \{(Z_i, \alpha_i)\}_{i \in I}$ and $\mathcal{TW}_\alpha = \{(TW_i, \alpha_i)\}_{i \in I}$ are pseudo-dual fusion frames for \mathcal{H} . Moreover if \mathcal{TW}_α is a Parseval fusion frame then \mathcal{Z}_α and \mathcal{TW}_α are dual fusion frames.

Proof. Since $TW_i \subseteq Z_i$ hence $\pi_{TW_i} \pi_{Z_i} = \pi_{Z_i} \pi_{TW_i} = \pi_{TW_i}$ for all $i \in I$. It follows that $T_{\mathcal{TW}_\alpha} T_{\mathcal{Z}_\alpha}^* = T_{\mathcal{Z}_\alpha} T_{\mathcal{TW}_\alpha}^* = S_{\mathcal{TW}_\alpha}$ which finishes the proof.

Definition 2.2 Let $\{W_i\}_{i \in I}$ and $\{\widetilde{W}_i\}_{i \in I}$ be closed subspaces in \mathcal{H} and $\varepsilon > 0$. If for every $f \in \mathcal{H}$ we have

$$\sum_{i \in I} \alpha_i^2 \|\pi_{W_i}(f) - \pi_{\widetilde{W}_i}(f)\|^2 \leq \varepsilon \|f\|^2.$$

Then we say that $\{(\widetilde{W}_i, \alpha_i)\}_{i \in I}$ is a ε -perturbation of $\{(W_i, \alpha_i)\}_{i \in I}$.

Theorem 2.7 Let $\mathcal{W}_\alpha = \{(W_i, \alpha_i)\}_{i \in I}$, $\mathcal{Z}_\beta = \{(Z_i, \beta_i)\}_{i \in I}$ be Bessel fusion sequences with Bessel fusion bounds D_1, D_2 respectively for \mathcal{H} . Let $\widetilde{\mathcal{W}}_\alpha = \{(\widetilde{W}_i, \alpha_i)\}_{i \in I}$ be a ε -perturbation of \mathcal{W}_α and $\varepsilon D_2 < 1$. If \mathcal{W}_α and \mathcal{Z}_β are dual fusion frames, then $\widetilde{\mathcal{W}}_\alpha$ and \mathcal{Z}_β are also approximate dual fusion frames for \mathcal{H} .

Proof. By Proposition 2.1 from [4] $\widetilde{\mathcal{W}}_\alpha$ is a Bessel fusion sequence for \mathcal{H} . Now for all $f \in \mathcal{H}$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \|f - T_{\widetilde{\mathcal{W}}_\alpha} T_{\mathcal{Z}_\beta}^*(f)\|^2 \\ &= \|T_{\mathcal{W}_\alpha} T_{\mathcal{Z}_\beta}^*(f) - T_{\widetilde{\mathcal{W}}_\alpha} T_{\mathcal{Z}_\beta}^*(f)\|^2 \\ &= \sup_{\|g\|=1} |\langle T_{\mathcal{W}_\alpha} T_{\mathcal{Z}_\beta}^*(f) - T_{\widetilde{\mathcal{W}}_\alpha} T_{\mathcal{Z}_\beta}^*(f), g \rangle|^2 \\ &\leq \sup_{\|g\|=1} \left(\sum_{i \in I} \alpha_i \beta_i \|\pi_{W_i}(f) - \pi_{\widetilde{W}_i}(f)\| \|\pi_{Z_i}(g)\| \right)^2 \\ &\leq \sup_{\|g\|=1} \sum_{i \in I} \alpha_i^2 \|\pi_{W_i}(f) - \pi_{\widetilde{W}_i}(f)\|^2 \\ &\quad \times \sum_{i \in I} \beta_i^2 \|\pi_{Z_i}(g)\|^2 \leq \varepsilon D_2 \|f\|^2. \end{aligned}$$

From this the result follows at once.

3 RIP for g-frames

In this section we generalize the restricted isometry property for g -frames. We denote that \mathcal{K} is a Hilbert space and \mathcal{H}_N is a Hilbert space with dimension N and $\{e_j\}_{j=1}^N$ an orthonormal basis for \mathcal{H}_N . Moreover, the Hilbert-Schmidt norm of operator $T \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H}_N, \mathcal{K})$ is defined by

$$\|T\|_{HS}^2 = \sum_{j=1}^N \|Te_j\|^2.$$

Proposition 3.1 Let $\{\Lambda_i\}_{i \in I}$ be a g -frame for \mathcal{H} with respect to $\{W_i\}_{i \in I}$ with g -frame bounds A and B and \mathcal{H} be finite-dimensional. Then

$$A \leq \frac{\sum_{i \in I} \|\Lambda_i\|_{HS}^2}{\dim \mathcal{H}} \leq B.$$

Proof. Since

$$\sum_{i \in I} \|\Lambda_i\|_{HS}^2 = \sum_{j=1}^N \langle S_\Lambda e_j, e_j \rangle$$

and $A \dim \mathcal{H} \leq S_\Lambda \leq B I_{\mathcal{H}}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} A \dim \mathcal{H} &= A \sum_{j=1}^N \|e_j\|^2 \\ &\leq \sum_{j=1}^N \langle S_\Lambda e_j, e_j \rangle \\ &\leq B \sum_{j=1}^N \|e_j\|^2 = B \dim \mathcal{H}. \end{aligned}$$

This yields

$$A \dim \mathcal{H} \leq \sum_{i \in I} \|\Lambda_i\|_{HS}^2 \leq B \dim \mathcal{H}.$$

From this the claim follows immediately.

Theorem 3.1 Let $\Lambda = \{\Lambda_i\}_{i=1}^M$ be a g -frame for \mathcal{H}_N with respect to $\{W_i\}_{i=1}^M$. Then

- (i) The optimal g -frame bounds of Λ are the smallest and biggest eigenvalues of g -frame operator S_Λ .
- (ii) If $\{\lambda_i\}_{i=1}^N$ is a representation of eigenvalues of S_Λ . Then

$$\sum_{j=1}^N \lambda_j = \sum_{i=1}^M \|\Lambda_i\|_{HS}^2$$

and

$$\lambda_j = \sum_{i=1}^M \|\Lambda_i e_j\|^2,$$

where $\{e_j\}_{j=1}^N$ is the orthonormal basis consisting of eigenvectors of S_Λ .

Proof. To prove (i), since S_Λ is a self-adjoint, \mathcal{H}_N has an orthonormal basis include eigenvectors of S_Λ . Let $\{e_j\}_{j=1}^N$ be an orthogonal basis of \mathcal{H}_N include of eigenvectors of S_Λ . Let $\{\lambda_j\}_{j=1}^N$ be eigenvalues of $\{e_j\}_{j=1}^N$. Then for any $f \in \mathcal{H}_N$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{i=1}^M \|\Lambda_i f\|^2 = \langle S_\Lambda f, f \rangle \\ &= \langle \sum_{j=1}^N \langle f, e_j \rangle S_\Lambda e_j, f \rangle \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^N \langle f, e_j \rangle \langle S_\Lambda e_j, f \rangle \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^N \langle f, e_j \rangle \langle \lambda_j e_j, f \rangle \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^N \lambda_j |\langle f, e_j \rangle|^2. \end{aligned}$$

Now from

$$\lambda_{\min} \leq \lambda_i \leq \lambda_{\max}, \quad (1 \leq i \leq N)$$

we obtain

$$\lambda_{\min} \|f\|^2 \leq \sum_{i=1}^M \|\Lambda_i f\|^2 \leq \lambda_{\max} \|f\|^2.$$

To prove (ii) we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{j=1}^N \lambda_j &= \sum_{j=1}^N \langle \lambda_j e_j, e_j \rangle \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^N \langle S_\Lambda e_j, e_j \rangle = \sum_{j=1}^N \sum_{i=1}^M \|\Lambda_i e_j\|^2 \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^M \sum_{j=1}^N \|\Lambda_i e_j\|^2 = \sum_{i=1}^M \|\Lambda_i\|_{HS}^2. \end{aligned}$$

We also have

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda_j &= \langle \lambda_j e_j, e_j \rangle = \langle S_\Lambda e_j, e_j \rangle \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^M \|\Lambda_i e_j\|^2. \end{aligned}$$

Corollary 3.1 Let $\{\Lambda_i\}_{i=1}^M$ be an A -tight g -frame for \mathcal{H}_N with respect to $\{W_i\}_{i=1}^M$ and $\|\Lambda_i\|_{HS} = 1$ for all $1 \leq i \leq M$. Then $A = \frac{M}{N}$.

Proof. This is a direct result from Proposition 3.1.

Definition 3.1 Let $\Lambda_i \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H}, W_i)$ for all $i \in I$. Then

- (i) $\{\Lambda_i\}_{i \in I}$ is called an orthonormal g -system for \mathcal{H} with respect to $\{W_i\}_{i \in I}$, if $\Lambda_i \Lambda_j^* g_j = \delta_{ij} g_j$ for all $i, j \in I, g_j \in W_j$.
- (ii) If $\mathcal{H} = \{\Lambda_i^*(W_i)\}_{i \in I}$, then we say that $\{\Lambda_i\}_{i \in I}$ is g -complete.
- (iii) We say that $\{\Lambda_i\}_{i \in I}$ is a g -orthonormal basis for \mathcal{H} with respect to $\{W_i\}_{i \in I}$, if it is a g -orthonormal g -complete system for \mathcal{H} with respect to $\{W_i\}_{i \in I}$.
- (iv) $\{\Lambda_i\}_{i \in I}$ is called a g -Riesz basis for \mathcal{H} with respect to $\{W_i\}_{i \in I}$, if $\{\Lambda_i\}_{i \in I}$ is g -complete and there exist real numbers $0 < A \leq B < \infty$ such that:

$$\begin{aligned} A \sum_{j \in J} \|g_j\|^2 &\leq \|\sum_{j \in J} \Lambda_j^* g_j\|^2 \\ &\leq B \sum_{j \in J} \|g_j\|^2, \end{aligned}$$

for all finite subset $J \subset I$ and $g_j \in W_j$. Moreover, $\{\Lambda_i\}_{i \in I}$ is called an ε - g -Riesz basis for \mathcal{H} , if $A = \frac{1}{1+\varepsilon}$ and $B = 1 + \varepsilon$ for some $\varepsilon > 0$. Also $\{\Lambda_i\}_{i \in I}$ is an ε - g -Riesz sequence if $\{\Lambda_i\}_{i \in I}$ is an ε - g -Riesz basis for $\{\Lambda_i^*(W_i)\}_{i \in I}$.

The next proposition is similar to a result of Bodmann, Cahill and Casazza [6] to the situation of g -frames.

Proposition 3.2 Let $\{\Lambda_i\}_{i \in I}$ be an ε - g -Riesz sequence for \mathcal{H} with respect to $\{W_i\}_{i \in I}$ and let $\{I_j\}_{j=1}^L$ be a partition of I . Then

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{1+\varepsilon} \sum_{j=1}^L \|\sum_{k \in I_j} \Lambda_k^* g_{jk}\|^2 &\leq \sum_{j=1}^L \sum_{k \in I_j} \|g_{jk}\|^2 \\ &\leq (1+\varepsilon) \sum_{j=1}^L \|\sum_{k \in I_j} \Lambda_k^* g_{jk}\|^2, \end{aligned}$$

for every $1 \leq j \leq L$ and any sequence $\{g_{jk}\}_{k \in I_j} \in (\sum_{k \in I_j} \oplus W_k)_{\ell^2}$. Also

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{(1+\varepsilon)^2} \sum_{j=1}^L \left\| \sum_{k \in I_j} \Lambda_k^* g_{jk} \right\|^2 &\leq \left\| \sum_{j=1}^L \sum_{k \in I_j} \Lambda_k^* g_{jk} \right\|^2 \\ &\leq (1+\varepsilon)^2 \sum_{j=1}^L \left\| \sum_{k \in I_j} \Lambda_k^* g_{jk} \right\|^2. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. Let $1 \leq j \leq L$ and $\{g_{jk}\}_{k \in I_j} \in (\sum_{k \in I_j} \oplus W_k)_{\ell^2}$

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{1}{1+\varepsilon} \sum_{j=1}^L \left\| \sum_{k \in I_j} \Lambda_k^* g_{jk} \right\|^2 \\ &\leq \frac{1}{1+\varepsilon} \sum_{j=1}^L (1+\varepsilon) \sum_{k \in I_j} \|g_{jk}\|^2 \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^L \sum_{k \in I_j} \|g_{jk}\|^2 \leq \sum_{j=1}^L (1+\varepsilon) \left\| \sum_{k \in I_j} \Lambda_k^* g_{jk} \right\|^2 \\ &= (1+\varepsilon) \sum_{j=1}^L \left\| \sum_{k \in I_j} \Lambda_k^* g_{jk} \right\|^2. \end{aligned}$$

This yields

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{1}{(1+\varepsilon)^2} \sum_{j=1}^L \left\| \sum_{k \in I_j} \Lambda_k^* g_{jk} \right\|^2 \\ &\leq \frac{1}{1+\varepsilon} \sum_{j=1}^L \sum_{k \in I_j} \|g_{jk}\|^2 \leq \left\| \sum_{j=1}^L \sum_{k \in I_j} \Lambda_k^* g_{jk} \right\|^2 \\ &\leq (1+\varepsilon) \sum_{j=1}^L \sum_{k \in I_j} \|g_{jk}\|^2 \\ &\leq (1+\varepsilon)^2 \sum_{j=1}^L \left\| \sum_{k \in I_j} \Lambda_k^* g_{jk} \right\|^2. \end{aligned}$$

It is known that if $\{\Lambda_i\}_{i \in I}$ is a g -Riesz basis for \mathcal{H} with respect to $\{W_i\}_{i \in I}$ with g -Riesz constants A and B , then $\{\Lambda_i\}_{i \in I}$ is a g -frame for \mathcal{H} with respect to $\{W_i\}_{i \in I}$ with same bounds A and B . The next lemma is analogous to Lemma 3.3 in [6] to the situation of g -frames.

Lemma 3.1 Let $\Lambda = \{\Lambda_i\}_{i \in I}$ be an ε - g -Riesz basis for \mathcal{H} with respect to $\{W_i\}_{i \in I}$. Then for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$

$$\begin{aligned} H &\leq S_\Lambda^n \leq (1+\varepsilon)^n I_{\mathcal{H}} \text{ and} \\ \frac{1}{(1+\varepsilon)^n} I_{\mathcal{H}} &\leq S_\Lambda^{-n} \leq (1+\varepsilon)^n I_{\mathcal{H}}. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. Since $\{\Lambda_i\}_{i \in I}$ is an ε - g -Riesz basis for \mathcal{H} with respect to $\{W_i\}_{i \in I}$, so this family is a g -frame for \mathcal{H} with bounds $\frac{1}{1+\varepsilon}, 1+\varepsilon$ respectively. Hence $\frac{1}{1+\varepsilon} \leq \|S_\Lambda\| \leq (1+\varepsilon)$ and $\frac{1}{1+\varepsilon} \leq \|S_\Lambda^{-1}\| \leq (1+\varepsilon)$. On the other hand for any $f \in \mathcal{H}$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$ we have $\|S_\Lambda^{-1}\|^{-n} \|f\| \leq \|S_\Lambda^n f\| \leq \|S_\Lambda\|^n \|f\|$. From this we have $\|S_\Lambda^{-1}\|^{-n} I_{\mathcal{H}} \leq S_\Lambda^n \leq \|S_\Lambda\|^n I_{\mathcal{H}}$. Consequently

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{(1+\varepsilon)^n} I_{\mathcal{H}} &\leq \|S_\Lambda^{-1}\|^{-n} I_{\mathcal{H}} \leq S_\Lambda^n \\ &\leq \|S_\Lambda\|^n I_{\mathcal{H}} \leq (1+\varepsilon)^n I_{\mathcal{H}}. \end{aligned}$$

This shows that $\frac{1}{(1+\varepsilon)^n} I_{\mathcal{H}} \leq S_\Lambda^n \leq (1+\varepsilon)^n I_{\mathcal{H}}$ and so $\frac{1}{(1+\varepsilon)^n} I_{\mathcal{H}} \leq S_\Lambda^{-n} \leq (1+\varepsilon)^n I_{\mathcal{H}}$.

Proposition 3.3 Let $\{\Lambda_i\}_{i \in I}$ be an ε - g -Riesz sequence for \mathcal{H} with respect to $\{W_i\}_{i \in I}$. Then

$$|\langle f, g \rangle| \leq 2\varepsilon + \varepsilon^2,$$

for all partition $\{I_1, I_2\}$ of I and $f \in \{\Lambda_i(W_i)\}_{i \in I_1}, g \in \{\Lambda_i^*(W_i)\}_{i \in I_2}$ with $\|f\| = \|g\| = 1$.

Proof. Let $F_1 \subseteq I_1, F_2 \subseteq I_2$ be arbitrary finite subsets, $g_i \in W_i (i \in F_1 \cup F_2)$ and $\varphi = \sum_{i \in F_1} \Lambda_i^* g_i$ and $\psi = \sum_{i \in F_2} \Lambda_i^* g_i$ with conditions $\|\varphi\| = \|\psi\| = 1$. Then for any $|\lambda| = 1$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \varphi, \lambda \psi \rangle &= \frac{2\langle \varphi, \lambda \psi \rangle + 2}{2} - 1 \\ &= \frac{\|\varphi + \lambda \psi\|^2}{2} - 1 \leq \frac{(1+\varepsilon)}{2} \sum_{i \in F_1 \cup F_2} \|g_i\|^2 - 1 \\ &= \frac{(1+\varepsilon)}{2} \left(\sum_{i \in F_1} \|g_i\|^2 + \sum_{i \in F_2} \|g_i\|^2 \right) - 1 \\ &\leq \frac{(1+\varepsilon)^2}{2} (\|\varphi\|^2 + \|\psi\|^2) - 1 = 2\varepsilon + \varepsilon^2. \end{aligned}$$

This yields

$$|\langle \varphi, \psi \rangle| = \max_{|\lambda|=1} \langle \varphi, \lambda \psi \rangle \leq 2\varepsilon + \varepsilon^2,$$

which implies that $|\langle f, g \rangle| \leq 2\varepsilon + \varepsilon^2$.

Definition 3.2 For every $1 \leq i \leq M$, let $\Lambda_i \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H}_N, W_i)$. Then we say that the family $\{\Lambda_i\}_{i=1}^M$ has the restricted isometry property with constant $0 < \varepsilon < 1$ for sets of size $s \leq N$, if for every $I \subseteq \{1, 2, \dots, M\}$ with $|I| \leq s$, the family $\{\Lambda_i\}_{i \in I}$ is an ε - g -Riesz sequence for \mathcal{H}_N with respect to $\{W_i\}_{i \in I}$.

The next theorem is a generalization of Theorem 4.2 in [6] to the g -frames situation.

Theorem 3.2 Let $\{\Lambda_i\}_{i=1}^M$ be a tight g -frame for \mathcal{H}_N with respect to $\{W_i\}_{i=1}^M$ with the restricted isometry property with constant $0 < \varepsilon < 1$ for sets of size $s \leq N$. Suppose that $\{I_j\}_{j=1}^L$ is an arbitrary partition of $\{1, 2, \dots, M\}$ with $|I_j| \leq s$. Define $V_j = \{\Lambda_i^*(W_i)\}_{i \in I_j}$ for all $1 \leq j \leq L$, then $\{V_j\}_{j=1}^L$ is a fusion frame for \mathcal{H}_N with fusion frame bounds $\frac{\sum_{i=1}^M \|\Lambda_i\|_{HS}^2}{(1+\varepsilon)N}$, $\frac{(1+\varepsilon) \sum_{i=1}^M \|\Lambda_i\|_{HS}^2}{N}$ and

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{1+\varepsilon} \sum_{i \in I_j} \|\Lambda_i f\|^2 &\leq \|\pi_{V_j} f\|^2 \\ &\leq (1+\varepsilon) \sum_{i \in I_j} \|\Lambda_i f\|^2. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. By the hypothesis $\{\Lambda_i\}_{i \in I_j}$ is a g -frame for V_j with respect to $\{W_i\}_{i \in I_j}$ for all $1 \leq j \leq L$ with g -frame bounds $\frac{1}{1+\varepsilon}$, $1+\varepsilon$ respectively. Let S_j be g -frame operator of $\{\Lambda_i\}_{i \in I_j}$ and $\{e_i\}_{i=1}^N$ be the orthonormal basis of eigenvectors of S_j with eigenvalues $\{\lambda_i\}_{i=1}^N$, then $\lambda_i = 0$ for all $|I_j| < i \leq N$ and $\frac{1}{1+\varepsilon} \leq \lambda_1 \leq \lambda_2 \leq \dots \leq \lambda_{|I_j|} \leq 1+\varepsilon$. Since $\{e_i\}_{i=1}^{|I_j|}$ is an orthonormal basis for V_j , hence $\pi_{V_j} f = \sum_{i=1}^{|I_j|} \langle f, e_i \rangle e_i$, for any $f \in \mathcal{H}_N$. Now we have

$$\begin{aligned} S_j f &= S_j \left(\sum_{i=1}^N \langle f, e_i \rangle e_i \right) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^N \langle f, e_i \rangle S_j e_i = \sum_{i=1}^{|I_j|} \langle f, e_i \rangle \lambda_i e_i \end{aligned}$$

which implies that

$$\langle S_j f, f \rangle = \sum_{i=1}^{|I_j|} \lambda_i |\langle f, e_i \rangle|^2.$$

Thus we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{1+\varepsilon} \sum_{i \in I_j} \|\Lambda_i f\|^2 &= \frac{1}{1+\varepsilon} \langle S_j f, f \rangle \\ &= \sum_{i \in I_j} \frac{\lambda_i}{1+\varepsilon} |\langle f, e_i \rangle|^2 \leq \|\pi_{V_j} f\|^2 \\ &\leq \sum_{i \in I_j} \lambda_i (1+\varepsilon) |\langle f, e_i \rangle|^2 \\ &= (1+\varepsilon) \langle S_j f, f \rangle = (1+\varepsilon) \sum_{i \in I_j} \|\Lambda_i f\|^2. \end{aligned}$$

It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{1+\varepsilon} \sum_{j=1}^L \sum_{i \in I_j} \|\Lambda_i f\|^2 &\leq \sum_{j=1}^L \|\pi_{V_j} f\|^2 \\ &\leq (1+\varepsilon) \sum_{j=1}^L \sum_{i \in I_j} \|\Lambda_i f\|^2. \end{aligned}$$

Now by Proposition 3.1 we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\sum_{i=1}^M \|\Lambda_i\|_{HS}^2}{(1+\varepsilon)N} \|f\|^2 &\leq \sum_{i=1}^L \|\pi_{V_j} f\|^2 \\ &\leq \frac{(1+\varepsilon) \sum_{i=1}^M \|\Lambda_i\|_{HS}^2}{N} \|f\|^2. \end{aligned}$$

Corollary 3.1 Under the assumptions of Theorem 3.2 if

$$\{1, 2, \dots, M\} \subseteq \{1, 2, \dots, M\}$$

and there exists a family $\{J_j\}_{j=1}^L$ such that $\sum_{j=1}^L |J_j| \leq s$ and $J_j \subseteq I_j$ for all $1 \leq j \leq L$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{(1+\varepsilon)^2} \sum_{j=1}^L \left\| \sum_{i \in J_j} \Lambda_i^* g_i \right\|^2 &\leq \left\| \sum_{j=1}^L \sum_{i \in J_j} \Lambda_i^* g_i \right\|^2 \\ &\leq (1+\varepsilon)^2 \sum_{j=1}^L \left\| \sum_{i \in J_j} \Lambda_i^* g_i \right\|^2. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. This follows from the Proposition 3.2. The following theorem will give another method for obtaining a fusion frame from an unit norm tight frame for \mathcal{H}_N without having the restricted isometry property. Another form of this result can be found in [6] Theorem 4.2.

Theorem 3.3 Let $\{f_i\}_{i=1}^M$ be a unit norm tight frame of vectors for \mathcal{H}_N and let $\{I_j\}_{j=1}^L$ be a partition of $\{1, 2, \dots, M\}$. Define $W_j = \{f_i\}_{i \in I_j}$, then the family $\{W_j\}_{j=1}^L$ is a fusion frame for \mathcal{H}_N with fusion frame bounds $\frac{AM}{N}$ and $\frac{BM}{N}$ where

$$A = \min_{j=1}^L \min_{k=1}^{\dim W_j} \frac{1}{\lambda_{jk}}, \quad B = \max_{j=1}^L \max_{k=1}^{\dim W_j} \frac{1}{\lambda_{jk}}$$

and $\{\lambda_{jk}\}_{k=1}^{\dim W_j}$ is the family of eigenvalues of frame operator associated to $\{f_i\}_{i \in I_j}$.

Proof. Let S_j be the frame operator associated to $\{f_i\}_{i \in I_j}$ and let $\{e_{jk}\}_{k=1}^N$ be the orthonormal

basis for \mathcal{H}_N of eigenvectors of S_j with eigenvalues $\{\lambda_{jk}\}_{k=1}^N$. Then $\lambda_{jk} = 0$ for any $\dim W_j < k \leq N$ and $\{e_{jk}\}_{k=1}^{\dim W_j}$ is an orthonormal basis for W_j . Thus

$$\langle S_j f, f \rangle = \sum_{k=1}^{\dim W_j} \lambda_{jk} |\langle f, e_k \rangle|^2.$$

Now for any $f \in \mathcal{H}_N$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \min_{1 \leq k \leq \dim W_j} \frac{1}{\lambda_{jk}} \sum_{i \in I_j} |\langle f, f_i \rangle|^2 \\ &= \min_{1 \leq k \leq \dim W_j} \frac{1}{\lambda_{jk}} \langle S_j f, f \rangle \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^{\dim W_j} \frac{\lambda_{jk}}{\max_{1 \leq k \leq \dim W_j} \lambda_{jk}} |\langle f, e_{jk} \rangle|^2 \\ &\leq \|\pi_{W_j}\|^2 \\ &\leq \sum_{k=1}^{\dim W_j} \frac{\lambda_{jk}}{\min_{1 \leq k \leq \dim W_j} \lambda_{jk}} |\langle f, e_{jk} \rangle|^2 \\ &= \max_{1 \leq k \leq \dim W_j} \frac{1}{\lambda_{jk}} \langle S_j f, f \rangle \\ &= \max_{1 \leq k \leq \dim W_j} \frac{1}{\lambda_{jk}} \sum_{i \in I_j} |\langle f, f_i \rangle|^2. \end{aligned}$$

This yields

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{j=1}^L \sum_{i \in I_j} \min_{1 \leq k \leq \dim W_j} \frac{1}{\lambda_{jk}} |\langle f, f_i \rangle|^2 \\ &\leq \sum_{j=1}^L \|\pi_{W_j} f\|^2 \\ &\leq \sum_{j=1}^L \sum_{i \in I_j} \max_{1 \leq k \leq \dim W_j} \frac{1}{\lambda_{jk}} |\langle f, f_i \rangle|^2. \end{aligned}$$

Put

$$A = \min_{j=1}^L \min_{k=1}^{\dim W_j} \frac{1}{\lambda_{jk}}, \quad B = \max_{j=1}^L \max_{k=1}^{\dim W_j} \frac{1}{\lambda_{jk}}.$$

Then

$$\frac{AM}{N} \|f\|^2 \leq \sum_{j=1}^L \|\pi_{W_j} f\|^2 \leq \frac{BM}{N} \|f\|^2.$$

The next corollary generalizes Theorem 3.3 to the g-frames situation which the proof leave to interested readers.

Corollary 3.3 Let $\{\Lambda_i\}_{i=1}^M$ be a tight g-frame for \mathcal{H}_N with respect to $\{W_i\}_{i=1}^M$ and let $\{I_j\}_{j=1}^L$ be a partition of $\{1, 2, \dots, M\}$. Define

$$V_j = \{\Lambda_i^*(W_i)\}_{i \in I_j}.$$

Then the family $\{V_j\}_{j=1}^L$ is a fusion frame for \mathcal{H}_N with fusion frame bounds

$$\frac{A \sum_{i=1}^M \|\Lambda_i\|_{HS}^2}{N} \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{B \sum_{i=1}^M \|\Lambda_i\|_{HS}^2}{N},$$

where

$$A = \min_{j=1}^L \min_{k=1}^{\dim V_j} \frac{1}{\lambda_{jk}}, \quad B = \max_{j=1}^L \max_{k=1}^{\dim V_j} \frac{1}{\lambda_{jk}}$$

and $\{\lambda_{jk}\}_{k=1}^{\dim V_j}$ is the family of eigenvalues of g-frame operator associated to $\{\Lambda_i\}_{i \in I_j}$.

4 Stability of g-frames

Our purpose of this section is to study the conditions which under removing some element from a g-frame, again we obtain another g-frame. The next theorem gives an erasure result of g-frames so that Theorem 4.3 obtained in [5] is a special case of it.

Theorem 4.1 Let $\Lambda = \{\Lambda_i\}_{i \in I}$ be a g-frame for \mathcal{H} with respect to $\{W_i\}_{i \in I}$ with g-frame bounds A and B and let $J \subset I$. Then $\{\Lambda_i\}_{i \in I-J}$ is a g-frame for \mathcal{H} with respect to $\{W_i\}_{i \in I-J}$ with bounds

$$\frac{A^2}{B} \|(I_{\mathcal{H}} - \sum_{i \in J} S_{\Lambda}^{-1} \Lambda_i^* \Lambda_i)^{-1}\|^{-2} \quad \text{and} \quad B,$$

if and only if $I_{\mathcal{H}} - \sum_{i \in J} S_{\Lambda}^{-1} \Lambda_i^* \Lambda_i$ be a bounded invertible operator on \mathcal{H} .

Proof. For any $f \in \mathcal{H}$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} f &= \sum_{i \in I} S_{\Lambda}^{-1} \Lambda_i^* \Lambda_i f \\ &= \sum_{i \in J} S_{\Lambda}^{-1} \Lambda_i^* \Lambda_i f + \sum_{i \in I-J} S_{\Lambda}^{-1} \Lambda_i^* \Lambda_i f. \end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$I_{\mathcal{H}} - \sum_{i \in J} S_{\Lambda}^{-1} \Lambda_i^* \Lambda_i = \sum_{i \in I-J} S_{\Lambda}^{-1} \Lambda_i^* \Lambda_i.$$

Moreover we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \| (I_{\mathcal{H}} - \sum_{i \in J} S_{\Lambda}^{-1} \Lambda_i^* \Lambda_i) f \| \\
 &= \left\| \sum_{i \in I-J} S_{\Lambda}^{-1} \Lambda_i^* \Lambda_i f \right\| \\
 &= \sup_{\|g\|=1} | \langle \sum_{i \in I-J} S_{\Lambda}^{-1} \Lambda_i^* \Lambda_i f, g \rangle | \\
 &= \sup_{\|g\|=1} | \sum_{i \in I-J} \langle \Lambda_i f, \Lambda_i S_{\Lambda}^{-1} g \rangle | \\
 &\leq \sup_{\|g\|=1} \sum_{i \in I-J} \| \Lambda_i f \| \| \Lambda_i S_{\Lambda}^{-1} g \| \\
 &\leq \sup_{\|g\|=1} \left(\sum_{i \in I-J} \| \Lambda_i f \|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\sum_{i \in I-J} \| \Lambda_i S_{\Lambda}^{-1} g \|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
 &\leq \sup_{\|g\|=1} \sqrt{B} \| S_{\Lambda}^{-1} g \| \left(\sum_{i \in I-J} \| \Lambda_i f \|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
 &\leq \frac{\sqrt{B}}{A} \left(\sum_{i \in I-J} \| \Lambda_i f \|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Now if $I_{\mathcal{H}} - \sum_{i \in J} S_{\Lambda}^{-1} \Lambda_i^* \Lambda_i$ is invertible on \mathcal{H} . Then

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \frac{A^2}{B} \| (I_{\mathcal{H}} - \sum_{i \in J} S_{\Lambda}^{-1} \Lambda_i^* \Lambda_i)^{-1} \|^{-2} \| f \|^2 \\
 &\leq \frac{A^2}{B} \| (I_{\mathcal{H}} - \sum_{i \in J} S_{\Lambda}^{-1} \Lambda_i^* \Lambda_i) f \|^2 \\
 &\leq \sum_{i \in I-J} \| \Lambda_i f \|^2.
 \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, since Λ_i is a g -frame hence $\{\Lambda_i\}_{i \in I-J}$ is a g -Bessel sequence. It follows that $\{\Lambda_i\}_{i \in I-J}$ is a g -frame. Conversely, suppose that $\{\Lambda_i\}_{i \in I-J}$ is a g -frame for \mathcal{H} with respect to $\{W_i\}_{i \in I-J}$, with g -frame bounds A and B . We first show that $I_{\mathcal{H}} - \sum_{i \in J} S_{\Lambda}^{-1} \Lambda_i^* \Lambda_i$ is injective. Let

$$\begin{aligned}
 & (I_{\mathcal{H}} - \sum_{i \in J} S_{\Lambda}^{-1} \Lambda_i^* \Lambda_i) f = 0 \Rightarrow \\
 & S_{\Lambda}^{-1} \left(\sum_{i \in I-J} \Lambda_i^* \Lambda_i f \right) = \sum_{i \in I-J} S_{\Lambda}^{-1} \Lambda_i^* \Lambda_i f = 0
 \end{aligned}$$

hence $\sum_{i \in I-J} \Lambda_i^* \Lambda_i f = 0$. It follows that

$$\begin{aligned}
 A \| f \|^2 &\leq \sum_{i \in I-J} \| \Lambda_i f \|^2 \\
 &= \sum_{i \in I-J} \langle \Lambda_i f, \Lambda_i f \rangle \\
 &= \langle \sum_{i \in I-J} \Lambda_i^* \Lambda_i f, f \rangle = 0
 \end{aligned}$$

which implies that $f = 0$. Also, if $(I_{\mathcal{H}} - \sum_{i \in J} S_{\Lambda}^{-1} \Lambda_i^* \Lambda_i)^* f = 0$ then $\sum_{i \in I-J} \Lambda_i^* \Lambda_i S_{\Lambda}^{-1} f = 0$ and therefore $S_{\Lambda}^{-1} f = 0$, it follows that $f = 0$. This finishes the proof.

Corollary 4.1 Let $\{\Lambda_i\}_{i \in I}$ be a g -frame for \mathcal{H} with respect to $\{W_i\}_{i \in I}$ and let $J \subset I$. If there exists $0 \neq f_0 \in \mathcal{H}$ such that $\sum_{i \in J} S_{\Lambda}^{-1} \Lambda_i^* \Lambda_i f_0 = f_0$. Then $\{\Lambda_i\}_{i \in I-J}$ is not a g -frame for \mathcal{H} .

Proof. If there exists $0 \neq f_0 \in \mathcal{H}$ such that $\sum_{i \in J} S_{\Lambda}^{-1} \Lambda_i^* \Lambda_i f_0 = f_0$, then $\sum_{i \in I-J} S_{\Lambda}^{-1} \Lambda_i^* \Lambda_i f_0 = 0$, hence $\sum_{i \in I-J} \Lambda_i^* \Lambda_i f_0 = 0$. It follows that

$$\begin{aligned}
 \sum_{i \in I-J} \| \Lambda_i f_0 \|^2 &= \sum_{i \in I-J} \langle \Lambda_i f_0, \Lambda_i f_0 \rangle \\
 &= \langle \sum_{i \in I-J} S_{\Lambda}^{-1} \Lambda_i^* \Lambda_i f_0, f_0 \rangle = 0
 \end{aligned}$$

Therefore $\{\Lambda_i\}_{i \in I-J}$ is not a g -frame.

Corollary 4.2 Let $\{\Lambda_i\}_{i \in I}$ be a A -tight g -frame for \mathcal{H} with respect to $\{W_i\}_{i \in I}$ and let $J \subset I$. If there exists $0 \neq f_0 \in \mathcal{H}$ such that $\sum_{i \in J} \Lambda_i^* \Lambda_i f_0 = A f_0$, then $\{\Lambda_i\}_{i \in I-J}$ is not a g -frame for \mathcal{H} .

5 Conclusion

In this paper, we proved that the sum of any Bessel sequence with Bessel bound less than one with a Parseval frame is a frame and computed its optimal bounds. We also showed that a Bessel sequence is an inner summand of a frame and changed every Bessel sequence to a dual frame by summing it with any Parseval frame. Moreover, we proved that any pair of g -Bessel sequences can be extended to pair of dual g -frames. This result, generalizes a result of Christensen, Oh Kim and Young Kim in [9] to the situation of g -frames. We defined the restricted isometry property for g -frames and generalized some results from [6] to g -frames.

Acknowledgements

The authors would like to thank the editors and anonymous reviewers for their constructive comments and suggestions.

References

- [1] M. Azhini, M. Beheshti, *Some results on continuous frames for Hilbert Spaces*, Int. J. Indust. Math. 2 (2010) 37-42.
- [2] M. Azhini, N. Haddadzadeh, *Fusion frames in Hilbert modules over pro- C^* -algebras*, Int. J. Indust. Math. 5 (2013) 109-118.
- [3] M. R. Abdollahpour, A. Najati, *Approximation of the inverse g -frame operator*, Proc. Indian Acad. Sci. (math. Sci.) 121 (2011) 143-154.
- [4] M. S. Asgari, A. Khosravi, and bases of subspaces in Hilbert spaces, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 308 (2005) 541-553.
- [5] M. S. Asgari, *On the stability of Fusion Frames (Frames of Subspaces)*, Acta Math. Sci. Ser. B. 31 (2011) 1633-1642.
- [6] B. G. Bodmann, J. Cahill, P. G. Casazza, *Fusion frames and the restricted isometry property*, Num. Func. Anal. Optim. 33 (2012) 770-790.
- [7] P. G. Casazza, G. Kutyniok, *Frames of subspaces. in "Wavelets, Frames and Operator Theory" (College Park, MD, 2003)*, Contemp. Math. 345 Amer. Math. Soc. Providence, RI (2004) 87-113.
- [8] O. Christensen, *Introduction to Frames and Riesz Bases*, Birkhauser, Boston (2003).
- [9] O. Christensen, H. Q. Kim, R. Y. Kim, *Extensions of Bessel sequences to dual pairs of frames*, Appl. Comput. Harmon. Anal. (2013) (to appear).
- [10] I. Daubechies, A. Grossmann, Y. Meyer, *Painless nonorthogonal expansions*, J. Math. Phys. 27 (1986) 1271-1283.
- [11] R. J. Duffin, A. C. Schaeffer, *A class of nonharmonic Fourier series*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 72f (2) (1952) 341-366.
- [12] D. F. Li, W. Sun, *Expansion of frames to tight frames*, Acta Math. Sin. (Engl. Ser.) 25 (2009) 287292.
- [13] W. Sun, *G-frames and G-Riesz bases*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 322 (2006) 437-452.



Mohammad Sadegh Asgari is an Associated professor of Mathematics at Central Tehran Branch IAU. He received his B.Sc in mathematics from Arak Teacher Education University in 1990 and he received his M.Sc from Shahid Beheshti University in 1993 in mathematical analysis and his PhD received from Science and Research Branch IAU in 2003 in functional analysis. His research interests include wavelet theory, frame theory, operator algebras and operator theory. He has published more than 30 peer reviewed papers and 2 books.



Golsa Kavian is an Assistant professor of Mathematics at Islamic Azad University, Roudehen Branch. She received her B.Sc in mathematics from Lorestan University in 2006 and she received her M.Sc from Lorestan University in 2008 in mathematical analysis and her PhD received from Islamic Azad University, Central Tehran Branch in 2014 in functional analysis. Her main research interests include wavelet theory, frame theory.

SID



سرویس های ویژه



سرویس ترجمه تخصصی



کارگاه های آموزشی



بلاگ مرکز اطلاعات علمی



عضویت در خبرنامه



فیلم های آموزشی

کارگاه های آموزشی مرکز اطلاعات علمی جهاد دانشگاهی



PROPOSAL
پروپوزال

پروپوزال نویسی و پایان نامه نویسی

دکتره تهرانی

کارگاه آنلاین
پروپوزال نویسی و پایان نامه نویسی



روش تحقیق و مقاله نویسی علوم انسانی

دکتره تهرانی

کارگاه آنلاین
روش تحقیق و مقاله نویسی علوم انسانی



ISI
Scopus

آشنایی با پایگاه های اطلاعات علمی بین المللی و ترند های جستجو

دکتره تهرانی

کارگاه آنلاین آشنایی با پایگاه های اطلاعات علمی بین المللی و ترند های جستجو