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ABSTRACT

Introduction
Due to the whole network of polyposis registers worldwide and early prophylactic treatment, survival of familial adenomatosis (FAP) patients is improved. Extracolonic manifestations are remarkable feature of FAP. Two extracolonic manifestations (duodenal adenomatosis, leading duodenal cancer and desmoid tumours) play a very important role in the reasons of death in polyposis population.

Materials and methods
Data from the Lithuanian Polyposis Register, containing 90 FAP cases from 38 unrelated families (as stated on 2004.01.01) was used. Indirect ophthalmoscopy (fundoscopy) was performed on 19 FAP patients, orthopantomography - on 22, upper gastrointestinal tract endoscopy on 21, distal small bowel examined in 11. 42 patients were examined for desmoid tumours and epidermoid cysts.

Results
In 18 (94.7%) of 19 examined CHRPE was detected, in 15 (68.2%) of 22 -mandibular osteomas. Out of 21 examined, 3 (14.3%) had fundic gland polyposis and 14 (66.7%) duodenal adenomas. Distal ileal polyposis was present in 8 (72.7%) of the 11 patients. Out of 42 live FAP patients, 4 (7.1%) had desmoid tumours and 8 (14.3%) had epidermoid cysts.

Conclusions
Extracolonic manifestations are typical in FAP. Some of them have negative impact on morbidity and mortality of FAP patients and demand special surveillance and treatment.
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INTRODUCTION
Familial adenomatous polyposis (FAP) is a disease inherited in an autosomal dominant fashion, which due to a mutation on chromosome five results in the development of up to several thousands of colorectal adenomas and a number of extracolonic manifestations. All untreated patients develop colorectal cancer. If there are no systematic attempts to approach this disease, all responsibility has to be taken by a doctor, who incidentally diagnoses FAP. This has been proven to be inadequate in the control of this disease, as whenever contact with the FAP family is lost, the possibility of the diagnosis of colorectal cancer is more likely than the diagnosis of FAP itself and early prophylactic treatment. Although in up to 40 percent of patients FAP could be caused by a spontaneous mutations (1-3), at least in the remaining 60 percent the possibility of colorectal cancer could be diminished to zero. The first FAP register was started by Lockhart-Mummery P in 1925 (4), and today a whole network of FAP registers exists worldwide.

Polyposis register in Lithuania has been established in 1995, and up till now it remains the only national register for FAP in Eastern Europe and states of former Soviet Union. At the moment (by 1st January, 2004), Lithuanian Polyposis Register contains information on 90 FAP cases from 38 unrelated families.

Due to the whole network of polyposis registers worldwide and early prophylactic treatment, survival of familial adenomatosis patients is
improved. In turn, several extracolonic manifestations (as duodenal adenomatosis, leading to duodenal cancer and desmoid tumours) play a very important role in the reasons of death in polyposis population.

This article evaluates extracolonic expression of familial adenomatous polyposis, based on the data of the Lithuanian Polyposis Register.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

Indirect ophthalmoscopy (fundoscopy) was performed on 19 FAP patients: 7 men and 12 women, age range 15 - 50 years with an average of 30 years. A control group included 100 unselected controls, 64 men and 36 women, age range 18 - 58 years with an average of 35 years, who underwent the same examination for prophylactic purposes. All patients were examined by the same ophthalmologist.

Orthopantomography was performed on 22 FAP patients, 13 women and 9 men, age range 15-50 years with an average of 30 years. A control group included 100 unselected controls, 83 men and 17 women, age range 7 - 67 years with an average of 32 years, who underwent the same examination because of trauma (most) or for other reasons. All orthopantomograms were evaluated by the same radiologist.

Upper gastrointestinal endoscopy was performed on 21 FAP patients, 9 women and 12 men, age range 15-50 years with an average of 31 years. A control group included 3,700 unselected patients, who underwent the same examination due to other disorders in the same period. All patients were examined by one endoscopist (N.E.S.).

Examination of the terminal part of the small bowel (ileum) was performed on 11 patients (methods: colonoscopy with distal ileoscopy, surveillance after subtotal colectomy and ileorectal anastomosis or removal of distal part of ileum surgically with the right part of colon), 7 women and 4 men, age range 16 - 45 years with an average of 32 years.

42 live patients were examined for desmoid tumours and epidermoid cysts. Examination included anamnesis and physical examination, followed (for desmoids) by abdominal sonoscopy and computer tomography as well as histological confirmation when possible.

**RESULTS**

**Congenital hypertrophy of retinal pigment epithelium (CHRPE).** 19 patients were examined using indirect ophthalmoscopy, and in 18 (94.7%) CHRPE was detected (Figure 1). The number of these lesions varied from 1 to 14 with an average of 6.1. In all cases these lesions were bilateral, and in no patients were they single in both eyes. Sizes varied from minute to a little larger than one optic disc diameter (DD); most were 1/3 DD. None of the 100 controls had CHRPE.

![Figure 1: Congenital hypertrophy of the retinal pigment epithelium in a 25 year old male FAP patient.](image)

**Mandibular osteomas.** Orthopantomography was performed on 22 FAP patients and 100 unselected controls. In 15 (68.2%) FAP patients (Figure 2) and three (3%) controls mandibular osteomas were detected (difference statistically significant: OR=22.73, confidence intervals 5.48-109.07; P<0.001). In FAP osteomas numbered from one to seven with an average of 2.7 per FAP patient. In controls all lesions were solitary. In only 6 (40%) of FAP patients with osteomas these lesions were solitary. Size varied from 2 to 12 mm with an average of 5 mm.
Gastroduodenal polyps. Upper gastrointestinal endoscopy was performed on 21 FAP patients. Only in 3 (14.3%) fundic gland polyposis was detected (Figure 3). In one (4.8%) patient gastric adenoma was detected and endoscopically removed. The number of gastric adenomas was compared to findings in 3,700 controls, where in 42 (1.1%) adenomas were detected and no statistically significant difference was found [OR=4.2, P=0.13 (Fisher Test), P>0.2].

Duodenal adenomas were detected in 14 (66.7%) patients (Figure 4); they varied in size from 1 to 6 mm and numbered between 4 and 50; histologically - tubular adenomas.

Duodenal adenomatosis was classified according to Spigelman classification, and is illustrated in the Table.

Table. Duodenal adenomatosis according to Spigelman

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Stage</th>
<th>Number of patients (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>I</td>
<td>7 (50%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>II</td>
<td>5 (35.7%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>III</td>
<td>2 (14.3%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IV</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Desmoid tumours. Desmoid tumours have been detected in 4 (7.1%) out of 42 examined patients (3 female, 1 male, age 22, 21, 28 and 36) (Figure 6): two in the abdominal wall, and two intraabdominal. Both patients for abdominal wall tumours were successfully treated with Sulindak and tamoxifen. One patient for intraabdominal desmoid was operated on as an emergency case for bowel obstruction, a short small bowel (jejunum) segment and 5 × 6 × 8 cm desmoid tumour located in small bowel mesentery were removed (no recurrence in 18 months). One patient with large multiple abdominal wall tumours up to 12-14 cm in diameter
did not respond to Sulindac and tamoxifen, later cytostatics and radiotherapy as well did not show benefits, and he is under observation and treatment at the moment. Histology was confirmed in two (with intraabdominal desmoids) of 4 patients with desmoids (postoperative specimen in one, needle biopsy in another).

Epidermoid cysts were detected by inspection alone or as well history of being removed in 6 (14.3%) of 42 examined patients (Figure 7).

Figure 6: CT scan on a large intraabdominal desmoid tumour in a 24 year old FAP male patient, which occurred 1 year after prophylactic subtotal colectomy.

Figure 7: Epidermoid cysts in a 34 year old FAP patient: patient history revealed another over 10 epidermoid cysts previously removed.

DISCUSSION

One or more extracolonic manifestation was present in a majority of our patients. CHRPE was first described in sporadic cases by Reese AB and Jones IS⁵; these patients had no other underlying diseases. And it was noted, that in such cases lesions are as a rule solitary. Even though we did not find any CHRPE in a control group, Lyons LA⁶ noted CHRPE to be present in 1 out of 50 in a general population. Solitary lesions were present only in one eye in 3 of our patients. In all of these patients, multiple lesions were noted in the other eye. Size of the CHRPE in our study group was in accordance to other authors⁷⁻¹⁰ – most of them were up to one optic disc diameter, and only few little larger. There are reports, that if both CHRPE and mandibular osteoma are present in an asymptomatic first degree relative to a FAP patient, FAP should be strongly suspected¹¹.

In 15 (68.2%) out of 22 FAP patients and in 3 (3%) out of 100 unselected controls mandibular osteomas were detected. This significant difference emphasises the importance of this lesion in FAP population. Both the percentage of FAP patients and healthy controls with osteoma are in accordance with other studies¹²⁻¹⁹. We applied Kubo K²⁰ criteria for evaluation of osteomas on orthopantomography. Both size and number of osteomas is similar to previous reports¹²,¹⁷. It is important to note, that in FAP patients osteomas were multiple (on an average 2.7 osteoma per patient), and exactly multiple mandibular osteomas are distinct feature of FAP. Fundic gland polyposis has been detected only in 14.3% of patients. This percentage is much less then usually described – up to a half of FAP patients have this cystic dilation of the gastric fundal glands²¹,²². This feature is extremely related to FAP: only one report from Iida M in 1984²³ diagnosed fundic gland polyposis in 0.085% of examined non-FAP patients. Gastric polyps in FAP show no distinction compared to general population. The different situation is with duodenal polyposis. It has been first reported in 1935²⁴. Prophylactic screening for FAP and early colectomy increased survival of polyposis patients worldwide, and patterns of mortality changed. Duodenal cancer today is one of the major causes of death of FAP patients²⁵. In 1989, Spigelman AD with co-authors²⁶ published a classification of duodenal polyposis, based on endoscopical and histological criteria, which now is very widely applied. The main.
attention in this classification is concentrated to polyp number, size of the polyps, histological type of adenoma (tubular, villous), and level of dysplasia: larger polyps and bigger in number, with villous changes and severe dysplasia would mean large stage. Spigelman stage I or II would probably demand surveillance alone, stage III is likely to necessitate medical or endoscopic treatment, and stage IV – often surgical approach. We detected duodenal adenomatosis in 66.7% of our patients, and that is very much in accordance with recent, largest and most important to date report from Nordic registries and the Netherlands, where duodenal adenomatosis was detected in 65% \(^{(27)}\). We had no cases of duodenal cancer – and none of our patients had Spigelman IV stage. This is very likely to be related with the average young age of our patients (average -31 year). It's as well obvious, that duodenal cancer is likely to develop from Spigelman stage IV duodenal cancer in St. Marks study\(^{(28)}\), 3 of 11 in Swedish study\(^{(29)}\). We detected duodenal adenomatosis in 66.7% of our patients, and that is very much in accordance with the Danish data.

Small intestinal involvement in FAP did not get much attention until recently. Ohsato et al in 1977\(^{(30)}\) was first to try to evaluate systematically small bowel status. Further reports determined, that ileal polyps are present in most of the patients with FAP, either determined during intraoperative enteroscopy\(^{(31)}\) or after ileoproctostomy\(^{(32)}\). We detected ileal polyps in two thirds of our patients. Even though aggressive adenomatous changes are reported in terminal ileum\(^{(33}, 34)\) and risks of malignant transformation is encountered\(^{(35)}\), true risk of developing small bowel cancer, as well as appropriate schemes of surveillance and treatment are yet to be determined.

Desmoid tumours is second very important expression of FAP. To date, it is another of most frequent reasons of death of polyposis patients. These tumours do not metastasize, but quite often cause a lot of problems due to local invasive growth. We detected desmoid tumours in 7.1% of FAP patients, and that is about the average reported by the major series\(^{(36-40)}\). The only study reporting 14% of FAP patients developing desmoids comes from Finland\(^{(41)}\). Surgery for desmoid disease usually leads to large resection, and recurrence is often. Role of radiotherapy is minute. Cytostatics might be of help, but the front line treatment of desmoid disease today is a combination of antiestrogenic drug (e.g. tamoxifen) and anti-inflammatory medicine (Sulindac)\(^{(38,41,42)}\).

Reports concerning incidence of epidermoid cysts in FAP are very variable: British report of 39 (53%) of 74\(^{(43)}\) having epidermoid cysts is in contrast to 8 (3%) of 243 in Danish series\(^{(3)}\). We detected 14.3% FAP patients having multiple epidermoid cysts, and it is more in accordance with the Danish data.

Extracolonic manifestations are typical in FAP. Some of them have negative impact on morbidity and mortality of FAP patients and demand special surveillance and treatment.

References


