Evaluation of Polymerase Chain Reaction for Diagnosis of “Tuberculous Pleurisy”
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ABSTRACT

**Background:** Differential diagnosis between tuberculous pleurisy (TBP) and non-tuberculosis pleural effusion represents a critically important clinical problem. In recent years, several noninvasive methods have been found for diagnosis of tuberculous pleurisy. This study aimed to evaluate the value of detection of the genome of Mycobacterium tuberculosis (MTB) by polymerase chain reaction (PCR) method for the diagnosis of tuberculous pleurisy and compare the results with those of conventional methods.

**Materials and Methods:** In this cross-sectional study, we studied 62 patients (42 men and 20 women) with pleural effusion in Ghaem Hospital, affiliated to Mashhad University of Medical Sciences from January 2006 to June 2007.

**Results:** A total of 20 patients had tuberculous pleurisy (45.4%), 15 patients had malignant pleural effusion (34%), 3 patients had pleural effusion with various “non-tuberculosis non-malignant” etiologies (6.8%) and 6 patients had transudative pleural effusion (13.6). The sensitivity, specificity, positive predictive value and negative predictive value of PCR in tuberculous pleurisy were 85%, 100%, 100% and 88.8%, respectively.

**Conclusion:** The value of PCR test and pleural biopsy was similar in the diagnosis of TBP. However, PCR detected MTB in pleural effusion when conventional pleural biopsy failed to do so. *(Tanaffos2011; 10(1): 12-18)*
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INTRODUCTION

Tuberculosis (TB) is a major cause of morbidity and mortality worldwide, infecting approximately one third of the world’s population (1). WHO reported 9 million new cases and 2 million deaths from tuberculosis in 2004(2), half of the new TB cases are seen in Asia (3). Although pleural effusion occurs in an average of 30% of patients with TB worldwide (4), the prevalence of tuberculous pleurisy(TBP) in the United States and Spain was reported to be 4% and 23%, respectively (5,6).
TBP occurs not only as a result of a delayed hypersensitivity reaction to *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* (MTB) antigens but also due to MTB entering the pleural space. Increased vascular permeability and decreased protein clearance cause pleural effusion (7). TBP is almost always exudative and lymphocyte- predominant and it is important to differentiate it from other causes of exudative and lymphocyte- predominant pleural effusions.

The diagnosis of TBP is not always easy:

- PPD test is negative in 30% of patients during the first visit, although a positive test does not support the diagnosis due to the high prevalence of tuberculosis in Iran and unknown results of BCG vaccination in newborns.
- Direct smear of pleural fluid has limited diagnostic value because of the need for at least 10,000 / ml of organisms (8). Therefore, in the best case scenario, it is positive in less than 10% of cases (9-14). Culture takes 6 weeks but only needs 10-100 live tubercle bacilli. As a result, pleural fluid culture is a more sensitive method, but the diagnostic value of culture has reported to be 12-70% in the most precise studies (10).
- Granuloma is found in 50 to 97% of patients and culture of tissue samples can be diagnostic in 30 - 80% of cases (8, 10-13, 15-17). Thus, the diagnostic value is not 100% and we should keep it in mind that needle biopsy is an invasive procedure occasionally associated with complications such as pneumothorax and hemothorax.
- Sputum smear and culture have limited diagnostic values for TBP (18).

Therefore, we need a non-invasive diagnostic method that only requires pleural fluid to detect TBP. This study aimed to evaluate the diagnostic value of detecting the genome of MTB by polymerase chain reaction (PCR) in pleural fluid for the diagnosis of TBP.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

This cross-sectional study was performed from January 2006 to June 2007 aiming to assess the value of PCR in the diagnosis of TBP. A total of 62 patients with clinical and radiological diagnosis of pleural effusion referred to the respiratory clinic or admitted to the respiratory ward of Ghaem University Hospital, affiliated to Mashhad University of Medical Sciences, enrolled in this study. An informed consent was obtained from all patients and the Ethics Committee of Ghaem Hospital approved this study. Clinical signs and symptoms, demographic data, and radiological results were all recorded.

**Study method:**

It was a prospective double blind study and all patients who were clinically suspected of having exudative pleural effusion entered the study. Presence of pleural effusion was confirmed, thoracocentesis was performed and at least 50 ml of pleural fluid was collected in a syringe. A portion of the sample was examined for acid-fast staining, culture, and measurement of glucose, LDH, protein and cholesterol. Five ml of liquid in “EDTA” was sent for DNA isolation and PCR for “IS6110” insertion sequence of MTB. Based on Light's criteria and comparison of serum and pleural fluid protein and LDH, the samples were divided into exudative and transudative subgroups. In patients with transudative pleural effusion, we only performed systemic examination of the liver, kidney, heart, and thyroid and pleural biopsy was not performed. We performed needle pleural biopsy by “Abrams needle” for all patients with exudative pleural effusion. The results of PCR tests were kept confidential in a secure file and those working on the PCR, biopsy or microbiologic examination were not aware of the results of others. Diagnosis of TBP was made based on:
• Exudative pleural effusion
Plus one or more of the following findings:
• Granuloma in pleural biopsy and ruling out other
causes of granulomatous pleuritis by clinical
examination (for Rheumatoid Arthritis) and
radiological findings (for Sarcoidosis)
• Finding acid-fast positive bacilli in pleural fluid,
sputum, or bronchoalveolar lavage (BAL)
• Positive culture for MTB in “pleural fluid”,
“sputum”, or “BAL”
Plus
• Appropriate and acceptable clinical response to
anti-TB treatment

DNA Extraction and PCR
Enzymatic DNA extraction was performed for
pleural effusion as previously reported (19-21).
Briefly, 5 ml of pleural sample was collected in 500
µl of 10% EDTA (1 mg/ml). For samples with high
viscosity, equal volume of NaOH 4% was added and
vortex for homogenizing. Samples were incubated
for 30 min in a 37 °C water bath and centrifuged for
30 min at 1,500 rpm. The supernatant was
completely discarded. Then the pH was adjusted to
7.5. Equal volumes of concentrated pleural fluid
samples were lysed in tris-ethylenediaminetetraacetic
acid buffer (Tris-Cl, 1 M; ethylenediaminetetraacetic
acid, 0.5mM; pH, 8.0) and 10% sodium dodecyl
sulfate, in the presence of 5µl of proteinase K and 1µl
of glycogen and then incubated in a 55 °C water bath
for 2 h. The DNA was purified in phenol-chloroform
and then precipitated with ethanol at -20°C
overnight.

For non-viscous pleural samples, the sample was
centrifuged at 3000 rpm for 20 min. Supernatant was
transferred into a clean microfuge tube and
centrifuged to collect the pellet.

For increased sensitivity in molecular testing of
TB, a nested PCR reaction was performed (22). A
PCR reaction solution was prepared by mixing 15µl
of a previously mixed reaction mixture (1 × PCR
buffer, 1.5 mM MgCl2, 200µM each of
deoxyribonucleoside triphosphates, 0.5 mM of each
forward primer and reverse primer, 0.5 U of Taq
DNA polymerase enzyme and 10µl of the target
DNA to create a total of 25µl). Then, the thermal
cycler (Techne, England) was programmed for 30
cycles with initial denaturation at 94°C for 5 min,
denaturation at 94°C for 45 sec, annealing at 56°C
for 45 sec, extension at 72°C for 45 sec, and final
extension at 72°C for 5 min.

For the second PCR reaction, 1µl of the amplified
products from the first PCR was used as template and
mixed with 24µ1 of a freshly prepared reaction
mixture. This was followed by the same procedures
used to obtain the first PCR product, but annealing
was performed at 50°C for 30 sec.

RESULTS
We studied 62 patients, 42 men and 20 women,
with a mean age of 58.6 years (range 16 to 100
years). Fifty six patients had exudative (90.3%) and
6 cases had transudative pleural effusion (%9.7).

Fifteen patients with exudative pleural effusion
were excluded from the study because we did not
find any specific diagnosis or we were unable to
follow them.

In 3 patients, pleural biopsy was not diagnostic
and sputum and BAL results were negative.
Therefore, considering the presence of exudative
pleural effusion, lack of risk factors for cancer, no
smoking history and positive PPD test, anti TB
treatment was started for patients which resulted in
good clinical response, complete absorption of the
pleural effusion, resolution of clinical symptoms in
less than 6 weeks and no sign of recurrence or
development of cancer in the lungs or other organs during the 12 months follow-up after the completion of anti TB treatment.

Therefore, these 3 patients were considered as having TBP. However, we excluded them from the statistical analysis because they did not match the inclusion criteria. PCR was negative in all 3 patients.

Accordingly, patients were divided into 4 categories (Figure 1):

1) Tuberculosis pleural effusion (TBP): 20 cases (45.4%)

2) Malignant pleural effusion: 15 cases (34%)

3) Non-malignant non-tuberculosis, exudative pleural effusion with definite diagnosis: 3 cases (6.8%)

4) Transudative pleural effusion: 6 cases (13.6%)

![Figure 1. Distribution of patients in 4 categories](image)

**Group 1: Patients with TBP:**

The diagnosis of PTB was confirmed in 20 patients (45.4% of patients). The mean age of patients was 60 years (range 18 to 70 years). There were 16 males and 7 females.

- Granuloma was found in the pleural biopsy of 17 patients (74%) which in one case was associated with caseous necrosis. In one of these patients, MTB was found by Zheil Neelson staining and culture of pleural fluid (4% of patients with TBP). Sputum smear was positive in 2 cases in this group. Therefore, only 15 patients were diagnosed solely by pleural biopsy.

- In 5 patients in this group, sputum or BAL was reported positive for MTB. As mentioned above, pleural biopsy was diagnostic in only 2 of these patients. In the other 2, the diagnosis of TBP was only based on sputum smear and culture. In the fifth patient sputum was negative, pleural biopsy was not diagnostic and the diagnosis of TBP was based on bronchoalveolar lavage (BAL). Culture was positive for MTB in all 5 patients.

**PCR test results in patients with TBP:**

In this study, 20 patients had TBP based on standard criteria:

- The PCR test was positive in 17 patients (% 85) and negative in 3 patients.
- In all 5 patients with positive sputum or BAL, pleural fluid PCR was also positive.
- PCR was positive in only one patient whose pleural fluid smear and culture were positive as well.
- Among 15 patients who were considered as having TBP only based on finding granuloma in their pleural biopsy, pleural fluid PCR was reported positive in 12 of them (82.3%)

The total number of patients with TBP was 20 people (Table 1).

- Pleural biopsy showed granuloma in 17 cases (85%)
- PCR test was positive in pleural fluid of 17 cases (% 85)
- Positive sputum smear or BAL in 5 cases (%)21.7
- Positive smear and culture of pleural fluid in 1 patient (4%)
**Polymerase Chain Reaction in Tuberculous Pleurisy**

Table 1. Evaluation of the value of different diagnostic methods for the diagnosis of pleural tuberculosis

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Diagnostic</th>
<th>Total</th>
<th>Percent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Granuloma in pleural biopsy</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>Sensitivity = 85%, Specificity = 100% PPV = 100%, NPV = 88.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Positive PCR of pleural fluid</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>Sensitivity = 85%, Specificity = 100%, PPV = 100%, NPV = 88.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BK in sputum and/or BAL</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>Sensitivity = 25%, Specificity = 100%, PPV = 100%, NPV = 61.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BK in pleural fluid + positive culture</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Sensitivity = 5%, Specificity = 100%, PPV =100%, NPV = 55.8%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Group 2: Patients with malignant pleural effusion:**

Definitive diagnosis of malignant pleural effusion was made in 15 patients. The mean age of these patients was 64.7 years (range 42 to 100 years). They were 60% males and 40% females.

In 11 patients the diagnosis was solely based on finding malignant cells in pleural tissue biopsy (73%).

In 1 patient the diagnosis was solely based on finding malignant cells (6%) in pleural fluid.

In 2 patients, the diagnosis of malignancy was based on lymph node biopsy.

In 1 patient the diagnosis was made based on finding malignant cells in bronchial biopsy during bronchoscopy (6%).

In 2 patients despite the malignant pleural biopsy, sputum study was positive for BK.

Pleural fluid study showed that all these patients except one had exudative pleural effusion. Pleural biopsy in this patient was normal but the diagnosis of malignancy was based on bronchial biopsy. Cytopathologic examination of pleural fluid showed malignant cells only in 26% of patients.

Pleural fluid PCR in patients with malignant pleural effusion:

In both patients with biopsy proven malignant pleural effusion, BK was also found in their sputum and PCR of their pleural fluid was positive. Therefore, presence of both cancer and tuberculosis was confirmed in these two patients.

In other patients with malignant pleural effusion, PCR was negative.

**Group 3: Patients with transudative pleural effusion:**

Pleural effusion was transudative in 6 patients. The mean age of these patients was 55.2 years (range 41 to 80 years).

Heart failure, chronic renal failure, nephrotic syndrome and acute eosinophilic pleurisy due to nitrofurantoin were considered as the causes of pleural effusion in these patients. PCR was negative in all of them.

**Group 4: Patients with exudative, non-TB, non malignant pleural effusion with specific diagnosis:**

There were 3 patients in this group:
- Pleural effusion after esophageal surgery
- Acute polyserositis
- Post CABG (coronary artery bypass graft) pleural effusion

The study did not prove tuberculosis or malignancy in these patients. PCR test was negative in all these patients.

**DISCUSSION**

In our Study, the mean age of patients with TBP was 60 years which was more than that of similar studies like 56 years in a study by Epstein et al. (11) and 28 years in a study by Aho et al.(23).

Only in one of our TBP patients BK was found in pleural fluid and the pleural fluid culture was positive in the same patient (% 4). Based on other studies, direct staining of pleural fluid is positive in less than 10% of cases (9-14) and the diagnostic value of pleural fluid culture is 12-70% (10). This difference
is probably due to different causes of TBP in the areas with high prevalence of TB like Iran, which is hypersensitivity to the organism rather than BK entering the pleural space. The other etiology may be the use of conventional methods for culture. We know that inoculation of pleural fluid and using liquid culture media or BACTEC system can improve the sensitivity of mycobacterial culture (24).

Diagnostic value of pleural biopsy is not 100%. In other studies granuloma was found in 50-97% of cases (8,10-13,15-17). In our study, pleural biopsy showed granuloma in 85% of patients. In 3 patients, despite the absence of granuloma in pleural biopsy, definite diagnosis of TBP was made by sputum and BAL which shows the inadequate value of pleural biopsy in the diagnosis of TBP. Traditionally, sputum smear is done only in people with abnormal chest radiography, but recently the sputum examination of patients with TBP even with normal radiography was performed and interesting results were obtained (18). In our study, sputum examination was done only in those with abnormal chest x-ray. In 4 patients sputum was reported positive for BK. Interestingly, pleural biopsy showed granuloma in only 2 of these patients. In the other 2 patients, biopsy showed malignant pleural effusion. PCR was positive in all these 4 patients; thus, we ruled out the probability of a false positive test.

The value of PCR test and pleural biopsy was completely similar in the diagnosis of TBP and both tests were associated with a significant percentage of false negative results.

PCR test was positive in 14 out of 17 patients who had granuloma in biopsy (82.3%); probably PCR would have been positive for all patients if we had also used other sequences rather than IS1160. A review article published in 2007 showed that studies that used IS6110 insertion sequence had sensitivity from 31% to 81%. The sensitivity of PCR in our study was 85% (24).

We did not culture the pleural biopsy specimens. If we had, it might have increased the diagnostic yield of pleural biopsy (24).

Positive PCR test in the presence of BK in the lungs (BK positive sputum) and in the absence of granuloma, confirmed that the PCR test in cases with non-diagnostic biopsy can lead to TB diagnosis.

In 5 of our study patients, sputum, BAL or pleural fluid was positive for MTB. As mentioned above, pleural biopsy was diagnostic only in 2 of these patients, but PCR was positive in all of them.

Positive PCR test in the presence of a pleural biopsy that confirmed malignancy showed that PCR test can help in the diagnosis of accompanying TB in these patients. Similar to our study, Czystowska recently reported a patient with carcinomatous cells and positive PCR of pleural effusion (25).

CONCLUSION

The diagnostic value of PCR test and pleural biopsy was similar in the diagnosis of TBP but PCR detected MTB in pleural effusion when conventional pleural biopsy failed to do so. We recommend using PCR in all patients suspected of having tuberculous pleurisy if the pleural biopsy is not diagnostic in an endemic area for tuberculosis like Iran.
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