A Placebo-Controlled Study of Raloxifene Added to Risperidone in Men with Chronic Schizophrenia
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Abstract- Selective estrogen receptor modulators (SERMs) such as raloxifene have already shown beneficial effects on negative, positive and general psychopathology symptoms in postmenopausal women with schizophrenia. The purpose of the present investigation was to assess the efficacy of raloxifene as an adjuvant agent in the treatment of men with chronic schizophrenia in an 8-week double-blind and placebo-controlled trial. In a randomized, double-blind and placebo-controlled study, forty-six male patients diagnosed with schizophrenia (DSM-IV-TR), were randomized to either raloxifene (120 mg/day) or placebo in addition to risperidone (6 mg/day) for eight weeks. The assessment was performed using the positive and negative symptom scale (PANSS) at baseline, and at weeks 2, 4, 6 and 8. Extrapyramidal symptom rating scale (ESRS) at baseline, weeks 1, 2, 4, 6, 8 and Hamilton depression rating scale (HDRS) at baseline and week 8 were also used to assess extrapyramidal symptoms and depression simultaneously. Forty-two patients completed the trial. The raloxifene group showed significantly greater improvement on the negative subscale (P<0.001), the general psychopathology subscale (P=0.002) and total PANSS score (P<0.001) in comparison to the placebo group at the endpoint. There was no significant difference in the reduction of positive symptoms score between the two group (P=0.525). Extrapyramidal symptom rating scale and Hamilton depression rating scale and frequency of other adverse effects were comparable between two groups.

This study indicates raloxifene as a potential adjunctive treatment strategy for chronic schizophrenia in men.
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Introduction

Schizophrenia is a chronic and progressively debilitating disease that irreversibly affects cognitive, social, and vocational performance and is one of the leading causes of disability-adjusted life years (DALYs) worldwide (1,2). The disease occurs in all populations with global prevalence in the range of 1.4 to 4.6 per 1,000 and incidence rates in the range of 0.16-0.42 per 1,000 people (3). Despite its lower prevalence in comparison to other psychiatric disorders, it signifies a major burden for families, healthcare systems and societies. The median healthcare cost is estimated to be around 1.1% of the total health care expenditure of a nation (4,5).

Gender differences in epidemiology, incidence and prevalence of schizophrenia are well established (6). Consistently, incidence in males is 1.4 times higher (7), and males experience earlier onset, poorer premorbid function and social course with more severe negative symptoms, cognitive deficits and greater structural brain and neurophysiological abnormalities (8-12). Furthermore, they are indicated to be less responsive to existing antipsychotic treatments (13,14).

Although pharmacologic management with either first or second generation antipsychotics are the basis of schizophrenia treatment, over time clinicians have noticed that classical antipsychotics are mostly ineffective against the negative symptoms and cognitive dysfunctions that are prominent in male patients with chronic course of this disease (15). Therefore, the need for innovative and more effective treatment strategies like poly-pharmacy is absolutely clear (16,17). Recent animal and human studies concerning gender differences
revealed the substantial impact of estradiol as a sex hormone on the central nervous system and neurotransmitters assumed to be involved in schizophrenia pathogenesis giving rise to the estrogen hypothesis (18,19). It is hypothesized that estradiol has a modulating effect on the dopaminergic and serotonergic system (20-23). Studies reported estradiol levels in schizophrenic women to be significantly reduced in comparison to the normal population (24,25). In addition, patients experience symptom recurrence during low estradiol level phases (26). Accordingly, authors proposed a protective role for estrogen in women (11,27-29). These findings led to the therapeutic use of estradiol. Subsequent clinical trials also proved adjuvant estrogen therapy in schizophrenic women to be promising actually (30-34). Unfortunately, long-term use of estrogen is limited and has the drawback of potential adverse effects on men particularly feminization and infertility (35,36) as it is hazardous to gynecological tissue in women along with higher risk of stroke and coronary heart disease (37,38).

Selective estrogen receptor modulators (SERMs) as estrogen both agonists and antagonists also appeared to have an estradiol-like impact on brain neurochemistry and adjuvant therapy to antipsychotics but without peripheral adverse effects of estradiol (39,40). Raloxifene as a first generation SERM showed efficacy in improving cognition and psychopathologic symptoms in postmenopausal women (41-43).

Thus the development of innovative adjunctive hormone therapies, such as SERMs may offer new strategies for treating men suffering from schizophrenia (36,44).

This study, as an 8-week, double-blind, randomized, placebo-controlled trial, aimed to evaluate the use of raloxifene as an adjunctive treatment for psychotic symptoms in men diagnosed with schizophrenia.

Materials and Methods

Study design

This study was designed as an eight-week, randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled, and parallel-group clinical trial. The study was conducted in outpatient clinics at Roozbeh Psychiatric Hospital, affiliated with Tehran University of Medical Sciences (TUMS) and Razi Hospital affiliated with University of Social Welfare and Rehabilitation from July 2013 to July 2014. The protocol was approved by the Institutional Review Board (IRB) of Tehran University of Medical Sciences and carried out in agreement with the declaration of Helsinki and its subsequent revisions. After complete explanation of the study details, written informed consent was obtained from eligible patients and their legally authorized representatives, informing the participants of their rights to withdraw from the trial at any time without any interruption in their healthcare benefits.

This trial was registered at the Iranian Clinical Trials Registry (IRCT201211211556N47; www.irct.ir).

Participants

Patients diagnosed with schizophrenia who met the diagnostic criteria for schizophrenia based on the Diagnostic and Statistical Manual (DSM)-IV-TR were recruited for this study.

Patients were all male within the age range of 18-55 years. The diagnosis was established by means of chart review and semi-structured clinical interview for DSM-IV-TR Axis I disorders (SCID). The patients were included if they (1) met DSM-IV-TR criteria for schizophrenia, (2) had minimum Positive and Negative Symptoms Scale (PANSS) score of 60 and (3) Minimum disease duration of at least 2 years.

Patients were excluded if they had any of the following criteria: (1) additional axis I diagnosis according to the DSM-IV-TR (2), other neurologic or organic illnesses based on clinical examinations or laboratory findings (3), Intelligent Quotient (IQ) of less than 70 (mental retardation) based on clinical judgment (4), any alcohol or substance dependence (except nicotine or caffeine) within past 6 months of screening (5), previous treatment with oral antipsychotics in the past week or long-acting antipsychotics in the past month, 6-ECT therapies in the past 2 weeks (6), endocrine abnormalities (7), acute or chronic liver disease or kidney dysfunction (8), history of thrombosis or thromboembolism (9), history of uncontrolled bleeding (10), or history of cerebrovascular accident. In addition, Patients with a score of 14 on a 17-item Hamilton Depression Rating Scale (HDRS) or a score of 4 on depression item of PANSS were excluded from the study since significant depression can cause misinterpretation of the negative symptoms. Suicidal ideation based on clinical judgment or a score of 2 on suicide item of HDRS was considered as another exclusion criterion.

Interventions

Participants were randomly assigned to receive risperidone (6 mg/day in 3 divided doses) (Janssen Pharmaceuticals, Toronto, Canada; 2mg tablet)
combined with either placebo (N=23) or 120 mg/day of raloxifene (Eli Lilly) (N=23) for 8 weeks.

Placebo tablets were identical in appearance to raloxifene, and no further change in treatment doses was permitted.

Bipyridine up to 6mg/day PO was administered in case of any symptoms of dystonia or Parkinsonism, and Propranolol was prescribed with the needed dose in the case of akathisia.

Outcomes

Patients were evaluated by PANSS total, positive and negative subscales criteria at baseline, and weeks 2, 4, 6 and 8.

Extrapyramidal Symptoms were assessed using Extrapyramidal Symptoms Rating Scale (ESRS) (part 1: Parkinsonism, dystonia, dyskinesia; the sum of 11 items) at baseline, weeks 1, 2, 4, 6 and 8. Prior to initiation of the intervention, all patients were assessed for PANSS and any extrapyramidal side effects at baseline (day 0).

The primary outcome was the difference in PANSS total score reduction between two groups from baseline to week 8. The secondary outcome measures the difference of change in PANSS subscale score between two groups. Depressive symptoms were also checked with HDRS scale at baseline and at week 8.

Adverse events and safety

A thorough physical examination and ECG were performed at screening and each post-baseline visit when vital signs of the participants were recorded and monitored. All patients were encouraged to inform their health care providers and subsequently the research team about any unexpected adverse events at any time during this project. In order to provide more safety, open-ended questioning about any probable side effects followed by completion of a systematic 25-item questionnaire checklist of a broad range of alarming symptoms or complaints were carried out during each visit. Extrapyramidal side effects were also evaluated with ESRS (part 1: Parkinsonism, dystonia, dyskinesia; the sum of 11 items) in addition to a thorough physical examination at baseline and all post-baseline visits. The behavior appraisal and adverse effects checklist were completed by independent raters.

Facing any side effect, an expert psychiatrist was responsible to decide whether the patient is eligible to continue with the same drug dose or continue with reduced dose of drug or discontinuation of the drug is warranted.

Sample size

Based on our previous studies, a difference of 5 on PANSS total score, a standard deviation (SD) of 5, a two-sided significance of 5%, and a power of 80% was considered and a sample size of 32 was first calculated. In consideration of a 20% attrition rate, 40 patients (20 in each group) were targeted as our final sample size.

Randomization and blinding

In order to randomize the enrolled participants, a computer random number generator was used to assign patients randomly and equally in a 1:1 ratio in blocks of four, receiving either raloxifene or placebo. Allocation concealment was done using sequentially numbered, sealed, opaque packages. Both randomization and allocation were carried out by independent persons who were not involved elsewhere in the study. Patients, nurses, and physicians responsible for referring the patients, and the statistician, as well as the investigators who rated the patients and administered the drugs, were all blinded to the allocation. Placebo tablets were identical to raloxifene tablets in shape, odor, size, and color. They were all kept in identical containers and were administered by an investigational drug pharmacist.

Statistical analysis

IBM SPSS Statics version 20 (IBM Corporation) was used for data analysis. Continuous variables are reported as mean (SD) and categorical variables as a number (percent). Mean differences (MD) are described with 95% confidence intervals [MD (95% CI)]. Independent sample T-test was used in order to compare the mean score changes of PANSS, HDRS and ESRS between the two groups from baseline to the trial endpoint. General linear model repeated measures was employed to evaluate the interaction of time X treatment for PANSS and ESRS scores between the two groups, assuming the study groups (raloxifene vs. placebo) as the between-subject variable and study measurements as the within-subject factor (time). In case of significant Mauchly’s test of sphericity, Greenhouse-Geisser correction for degrees of freedom was performed. Statistically, significant P-value was defined as <0.05.

Results

Baseline characteristics

From a total of sixty-four patients screened for this study, 46 patients were randomly assigned to this trial of
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risperidone plus raloxifene and risperidone plus placebo with 23 patients in each group. Forty-two patients (21 in each group) completed the trial. (Figure 1). Baseline characteristic of patients is summarized in table 1. There was no significant difference in baseline PANSS total and subscale scores, and ESRS and HDRS score between the two groups of patients.

![Flow diagram of the trial](image)

**Table 1. Demographic characteristics of the patients**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variables</th>
<th>Placebo (n=21)</th>
<th>Raloxifene (n=21)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age, mean±SD (years)</td>
<td>32.4±7.8</td>
<td>31.4±5.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Marital status, n, %</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Single</td>
<td>15 (72%)</td>
<td>17 (80%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Married</td>
<td>6 (28%)</td>
<td>4 (20%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Divorced</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Illiterate</td>
<td>1 (4.7%)</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Level of education, n, %</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Illiterate</td>
<td>1 (4.7%)</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Primary school</td>
<td>15 (71.4%)</td>
<td>18 (85.7%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High school diploma</td>
<td>4 (19%)</td>
<td>3 (14.2%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>University degree</td>
<td>1 (4.7%)</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Smoking, n, %</td>
<td>18 (85.7%)</td>
<td>20 (95.2%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Duration, mean±SD (month)</td>
<td>96.2±45.9</td>
<td>89.3±70.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Type of schizophrenia, n, %</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Paranoid</td>
<td>14 (66.6%)</td>
<td>13 (61.9%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Residual</td>
<td>8 (38%)</td>
<td>10 (47.6%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Disorganized</td>
<td>7 (33.3%)</td>
<td>6 (28.5%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Undifferentiated</td>
<td>8 (38%)</td>
<td>8 (38%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Risperidone</td>
<td>14 (66.6%)</td>
<td>13 (61.9%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Haloperidol</td>
<td>8 (38%)</td>
<td>10 (47.6%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fluphenazine</td>
<td>7 (33.3%)</td>
<td>6 (28.5%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Olanzapine</td>
<td>8 (38%)</td>
<td>8 (38%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prior antipsychotic medications, n, %</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total PNSS, mean±SD</td>
<td>100.7±15.5</td>
<td>101.6±13.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Positive mean±SD</td>
<td>30.3±6.7</td>
<td>29.7±5.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Negative mean±SD</td>
<td>24.1±7.5</td>
<td>23.5±6.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>General Psychopathology, mean±SD</td>
<td>46.3±6.9</td>
<td>48.4±9.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Extrapyramidal Symptoms Rating Scale, mean±SD</td>
<td>1.1±3.3</td>
<td>1.1±3.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hamilton Depression Rating Scale, mean±SD</td>
<td>7.9±1.7</td>
<td>8.0±1.7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
PANSS total score
Baseline total score didn’t differ significantly between the two groups [MD: (95 %CI) =0.9 (-8.2 to 10), t (40) =0.201, P=0.84]. Repeated measures ANOVA indicated a significant effect for time-treatment interaction [Greenhouse-Geisser: F (2.324, 92.957) = 11.980, P<0.001] (Figure 2A). By week 8, patients in the raloxifene group experienced significantly greater reduction in their PANSS Total score than the placebo group [MD: (95 %CI) = -15.81 (-22.3 to -9.4), t(40) = -4.972, P<0.001] (Table2).

PANSS positive symptoms
Baseline positive subscale score didn’t differ significantly between the two groups [MD: (95 %CI) = -0.6 (-4.3 to 3.1), t (40) = -0.312, P= 0.756]. Repeated measure ANOVA showed a non-significant effect for time-treatment interaction [Greenhouse-Geisser: F (2.549, 101.95) = 0.678, P= 0.544] (Figure 2B). By week 8, patients in the raloxifene group didn’t differ significantly in reduction of their positive subscale score than the placebo group [MD: (95 %CI) = -1.6 (-6.5 to 3.4), t (40) = -0.641, P= 0.525] (Table2).

PANSS negative symptoms
Baseline negative subscale didn’t differ significantly between the two groups. [MD: 95%CI = -0.7 (-4.9 to 3.6), t (40) = -0.315, P = 0.754]). Repeated-measures ANOVA showed significant effect for time-treatment interaction [Greenhouse-Geisser: F (1.766, 70.637) =
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12.509, P<0.001] [(Figure 2C). By week 8, patients in the raloxifene group experienced a significantly greater reduction in negative subscale score than patients in the placebo group [MD: (95 %CI) = -6.3 (-9.4 to -3.3), t(40) = -4.183, P<0.001] (Table2).

When the PANSS negative subscale change was predicted by multiple linear regression analysis, it was found that the treatment group \( (\beta = -0.57, t = -4.11, P < 0.001) \) was independent significant predictors. Changes in the PANSS positive subscale \( (\beta = 0.19, t = 0.71, P = 0.49) \), Hamilton Depression Score \( (\beta = 0.19, t = 0.71, P = 0.48) \) and ESRS \( (\beta = 0.01, t = 0.11, P = 0.91) \) scores could not significantly predict the change in PANSS negative subscale. Treatment group (raloxifene or placebo) was the strongest predictor of any negative symptom changes over the course of this trial.

**PANSS general psychopathology**

The baseline general pathology subscale score didn’t differ significantly between the two groups [MD: (95 %CI) = 2.1 (-2.8 to 7.1), t (40) = 0.869, \( P = 0.390 \)]. Repeated measures ANOVA indicated a significant effect for time-treatment interaction [Greenhouse-Geisser: \( F (1.762, 70.484) = 7.017, P = 0.002 \) (Figure 2D). By week 8, patients in the raloxifene group experienced significantly greater reduction in general psychopathology subscale score than the placebo group [MD: (95 %CI) = -7.9 (-12.8 to -3.1), t(40) = -3.327, \( P = 0.002 \)](Table2).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Scores (mean±SD)</th>
<th>Change</th>
<th>( t(40) )</th>
<th>( P ) value</th>
<th>Effect size (Cohen's d)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Negative</td>
<td>Raloxifene</td>
<td>12±5.2</td>
<td>-4.183</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Placebo</td>
<td>5.6±4.5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Positive</td>
<td>Raloxifene</td>
<td>10.4±7.1</td>
<td>-0.641</td>
<td>0.525</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Placebo</td>
<td>8.8±8.7</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>General</td>
<td>Raloxifene</td>
<td>20.9±7.8</td>
<td>-3.327</td>
<td>0.002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>psychopathology</td>
<td>Placebo</td>
<td>13±7.7</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total PANSS</td>
<td>Raloxifene</td>
<td>49.3±8.4</td>
<td>-4.972</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Placebo</td>
<td>33.5±11.9</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 2. Changes from baseline in the PANSS scores at week 8**

**Hamilton depression rating scale**

Baseline HDRS scores didn’t differ between the two groups [MD: (95 %CI) = 0.2 (-0.9 to 1.3), t (40) = 0.360, \( P = 0.721 \)]. By week 8, patients in the raloxifene group didn’t differ significantly in HDRS score than the placebo group [MD: (95 %CI) = 0.1 (-0.9 to 1.2), t(40) = 0.283, \( P = 0.778 \)].

**Extrapyramidal symptom rating scale**

Baseline ESRSS scores didn’t differ between the two groups [MD: (95 %CI) = 0.0 (-1.9 to 2.0), t (40) = 0.49, \( P = 0.961 \)]. Repeated measures ANOVA showed a non-significant effect for time-treatment interaction [Greenhouse-Geisser: \( F (2.518, 100.729) = 0.175, P = 0.884 \)]. By week 8, patients in the raloxifene group didn’t differ significantly in reduction of their ESRRS score than the placebo group [MD: (95 %CI) = -3.2 to 1.0), t(40) = -1.094, \( P = 0.281 \)]

**Table 3. Frequency of the side effects in the two study groups**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Side effect</th>
<th>Placebo</th>
<th>Raloxifene</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sweating n, %</td>
<td>5 (23.8%)</td>
<td>3 (14.2%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Skin Rash n, %</td>
<td>2 (9.5%)</td>
<td>4 (19%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dizziness, n, %</td>
<td>2 (9.5%)</td>
<td>5 (23.8%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weight gain n, %</td>
<td>5 (23.8%)</td>
<td>6 (28.5%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Headache, n, %</td>
<td>3 (14.2%)</td>
<td>4 (19%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dry mouth, n, %</td>
<td>5 (23.8%)</td>
<td>6 (28.5%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diarrhea, n, %</td>
<td>1 (4.7%)</td>
<td>2 (9.5%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Adverse effects**

Other than extrapyramidal symptoms, eight side effects were recognized throughout the study. Applying the fisher’s exact test, the frequency of side effects didn’t differ significantly between the raloxifene and the placebo group (Table 3).

**Discussion**

In recent years adjuvant therapy and polypharmacy for schizophrenia has been a major concern while being applied to several other chronic diseases. The results of this study indicate that raloxifene as an add-on to risperidone has significant beneficial effect on the primary negative and psychopathologic symptoms of schizophreniain men. Regarding the primary negative symptoms, we should consider factors like positive, extrapyramidal and depressive symptoms to be confounding to the changes occurring in negative symptoms (45,46). Although the patients should be adequately stabilized before entering the trial, changes in positive, extrapyramidal and depressive symptoms were actually minimal. Therefore, it is safe to attribute
improvement in negative symptoms to a reduction in primary negative symptoms.

Recently, several studies explored raloxifene add-on effect on schizophrenic symptoms mostly in women. Usall et al., in a 12-week double-blind, randomized placebo-controlled trial in postmenopausal women, unveiled the addition of 60mg/day raloxifene to regular antipsychotic treatment to be useful in improving negative, positive and general psychopathologic symptoms. Moreover, Kulkarni et al., in a combined 12-week double-blind randomized control trial indicated the potential therapeutic dose of raloxifene HCl in postmenopausal women where use of 120 mg/day oral raloxifene HCl led to significantly greater recovery in total and general PANSS psychopathology compared with both 60 mg/ day raloxifene HCl and placebo (39). Kianimehr et al., showed in an 8-week, parallel-group, placebo-controlled trial in postmenopausal women that 120 mg/day raloxifene as an adjunctive treatment to risperidone was only superior in improvement of positive symptoms in comparison to placebo group and it was not effective in treating negative and general psychopathology symptoms (41).

Raveendranathan et al., also tried adjuvant raloxifene on a 29-year-old menstruating woman with drug-resistant schizophrenia who experienced significant improvement in socio-occupational function, with a reduction in symptom severity, over 7 months (40). The potential therapeutic efficacy of estrogens on schizophrenic symptoms has been already recognized (30-34). Raloxifene also has shown the same neuroprotective effects like estrogen on the central nervous system (47).

In addition, as an estrogen receptor agonist in the brain, raloxifene has the same results on neurotransmitter and neuronal systems including serotonergic and dopaminergic systems in frontal cortex, striatum and basal ganglia, which have been identified to be affected in schizophrenia (22,23,48,49). Elena Huerta-Ramos et al., in a 12-week, double-blind, randomized, placebo-controlled study of postmenopausal women indicated that 60mg/day adjuvant raloxifene effect on patient neuropsychological function results denoted significant differences in some aspects of memory, attention and executive functioning (50). Kulkarni et al., reported three cases and in each case the woman selected had participated in large, double-blind, randomized controlled trials exploring hormone modulation. There was beneficial effect achieved on cognition, verbal memory and psychomotor speed in one case who had received 120mg/day adjuvant therapy over 12weeks (51). Yaffe et al., in a randomized, placebo-controlled trial among postmenopausal women with osteoporosis indicated that raloxifene at a dose of 120 mg/day, but not 60 mg/day, results in reduced risk of cognitive impairment in postmenopausal women (52).

Estrogen Supplementation in men is relatively uncommon, but estrogen augmentation may prove effective and have comparable protective benefits for men as it does for women with schizophrenia (44). Sherwin et al., by a 12-week randomized, placebo-controlled, cross-over study in men with mild cognitive impairment (MCI), indicated that treatment with estrogen may improve verbal memory in men with MCI. Kulkarni et al., conducted a 14-day placebo-controlled trial in 53 men with schizophrenia evaluating the efficacy of 2 mg oral estradiol valerate as an adjunct to atypical antipsychotic treatment, which triggered more rapid reduction in general psychopathology (36).

In the current study, no adverse effect was detected in the raloxifene group compared to the placebo group, but long term estrogen use may have potential risks such as gynecomastia, feminization and infertility (53) in male patients along with venous thromboembolism and lethal stroke in either sex (54).

Conclusively, it is desired that raloxifene, as a selective estrogen receptor modulator (SERM, as tissue-specific estrogen receptor agonists or antagonists, be able to provide men with the benefits of estrogen in the brain without potential peripheral adverse effects. The results of this study should be interpreted with consideration of its limitations. Small sample size and short observation period are the major limitations of this study. These results may be confirmed in more prolonged trials with larger sample sizes to ensure study power. Also, it should be mentioned that PANSS is limited to assessing behavioral problems; therefore, patient cognitive function improvement is not measurable. In conclusion, this double-blind, placebo-controlled clinical trial revealed raloxifene as a tolerable adjunct to risperidone with improvement in primary negative symptoms of schizophrenia in male patients. Additional studies with long-term follow-up periods seem to be essential to reinforce the clinical use of adjuvant raloxifene in male schizophrenic patients.
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