Association of HSV1/2 Infection and Pemphigus Disease

Mohammad Rahmati-Roodsari 1, Saeid Reza Rahmdar 1, Mohammed Alfaragi 2, Mohammad Saeedi 2,3*, Sara Rahmati Roodsari 3, Raheleh Sadat Sajadi Nia 1

1Shahid Beheshti University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, IR Iran
2Skin Research Center, Shahid Beheshti University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, IR Iran
3Infectious Diseases and Tropical Medicine Research Center, Shahid Beheshti University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, IR Iran

*Corresponding author: Mohammad Saeedi, Skin Research Center, Shahid Beheshti University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, IR Iran. Tel: +98-2177862865, Fax:+98-2122745058, E-mail: msaeedi/md@gmail.com.

Received: June 13, 2013; Revised: July 21, 2013; Accepted: October 19, 2013

Background: Pemphigus is known as an autoimmune bullous disease of the skin and mucous membranes that is relatively prevalent in Iran. The herpes virus is one of the suspected factors that might have role in induction or exacerbation of pemphigus.

Objectives: Present study was performed to assess the prevalence of HSV1/2 infection in pemphigus patients referred to Shohada-e-Tajrish and Loghman-e-Hakim hospitals during 2010-2011.

Patients and Methods: Forty pemphigus patients enrolled in the study. A lesional skin or mucosal biopsy was taken from each patient and analyzed by PCR for detection of HSV1/2. The PCR results were positive or negative, and then the frequency of HSV1/2 infections in pemphigus patients was calculated.

Results: Ten patients (25%) had a history of orolabial herpes infection. The results of all samples were negative for HSV1 except one sample which showed the positive result for HSV2 in a patient with history of herpes infection. He was considerably resistant to treatment pemphigus vulgaris with about 60 skin lesions and 20 mucosal lesions. Adding Acyclovir to his treatment regime for ten days resulted in full recovery.

Conclusions: Prevalence of HSV1/2 in mucocutaneous biopsies from pemphigus patients is 2.5% and possibly HSV1/2 has no role in the pathogenesis of pemphigus lesions. But resistant cases to treatment might be suspected to HSV.
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1. Background

Several investigators suggested a trigger role for viral infections in development of several autoimmune diseases such as rheumatoid arthritis, lupus erythematosus and multiple sclerosis. For many years, it was assumed that viral infection, especially Herpes virus may have role in induction or exacerbation of pemphigus patients. Physicians have tried to find virus in blood and mucocutaneous lesions of pemphigus patients (1-3). Several previous studies reported that viral infections including Herpes simplex virus (HSV), Varicella zoster virus (VZV), Epstein-Barr virus (EBV), Cytomegalovirus (CMV), Human Herpes virus 8 (HHV 8) and Human Immunodeficiency virus (HIV) may have trigger role in activation or exacerbation of pemphigus.

Initially, Karin in 1974, reported two HSV patients in a study on 59 pemphigus patients (4). Ruocoo et al. reported that of ten pemphigus patients, who had HSV associated with their diseases, seven patients had positive viral culture and two patients had positive serology (5). Tufano et al. used PCR for detecting HSV1/2 DNA sequence in peripheral blood smear sample and skin lesions of pemphigus patients (6).

It has been tried to perform several procedures such as viral culture or polymerase chain reaction (PCR) for detection of an infective agents in patients with pemphigus. We have not yet found any causative factor for pemphigus.

2. Objectives

Present study was performed for assessment of HSV1/2 in skin or mucosal lesions of patients with pemphigus using PCR procedure in Shohada-e-Tajrish and Loghman Hakim hospitals.

3. Patients and Methods

This cross sectional study was performed from September 2010 to November 2011 on patients with pemphigus in two skin clinics of Shohada-e-Tajrish and Loghman Hakim hospitals. Forty patients were included into the study. Diagnosis was confirmed by histologic and direct immunofluorescence (DIF) studies in new cases. Patients
who used Captopril or Penicillamin were excluded from the study.
Severity of pemphigus was assessed according to Dr. Victoria’s classification (by number, location and type of lesions) and patients were classified as mild, moderate and severe types (7, 8).

3.1. Sample Preparing and PCR Procedure

A lesional sample was taken with punch biopsy from bullae or skin erosions or bucal mucosa and was sent in normal salin medium for real-time PCR to the standard laboratory in Tehran. High purified DNA was extracted, washed with buffer and maintained at -20 °C before PCR procedure. According to the producer, company recommendation, buffer, 0.5 μmol/L primer, 200 dNTP μmol/L, 1.2 μmol/L MgCl and 0.5% betain with DNA polymerase enzyme were inserted in several temperatures for completion of PCR cycle.

For HSV1/2 determination, 5’-TACATGCGGTCTACGCGGGG-3’ and 5’-CAGTACGGTGAGGACAAAG-3’ were used. PCR products were transferred on agar gel containing Ethidiumbromid 2%. Positive control test was performed on HSV1/2 containing clone for accuracy confirmation of PCR procedure. Negative control was performed on healthy general population.

3.2. Statistical Analysis

Study data were analyzed with SPSS version 19.0 and qualitative variables were presented with frequencies and quantitative ones were presented with mean and standard deviation. T-test and Chi-square statistical tests were used for data analysis with 95% accuracy level. P-values which were less than 0.05 were considered statistically significant.

4. Results

Forty pemphigus patients (26 female) enrolled in the study. Mean age of patients was 45.85 (23-69) years. Pemphigus vulgaris was seen in 38 (95%) patients and pemphigus foliaceus was observed only in 2 (5%) patients. According to Dr. Victoria’s classification for pemphigus intensity, 27 (67.5%) patients were in mild, 8 (20%) patients in moderate and 5 (12.5%) were in severe group.

HSV positive result was observed only in one patient. He was a 61 years old male with positive PCR for HSV2 of his abdominal skin lesion and negative for HSV1. He had a severe disease and highly resistant to treatment. Despite receiving 2 mg/kg of prednisolone, the sign of improvements was not observed. Adding acyclovir for ten days (400 mg/TDS) resulted in full recovery.

Results of PCR procedure in all of mild and moderate patients were negative for both of HSV1 and HSV2 lesions. HSV2 was positive only in one patient with severe intensity and HSV1 were negative in all of patients with severe pemphigus. Pemphigus intensity had significant with results of PCR procedure (P = 0.02) but prevalence of HSV1/2 had no significant association with type of pemphigus lesions.

Thirty seven (92.5%) patients were new cases and had not received treatment at the time of biopsy and diagnosis. One patient (23 years old women) was treated with Prednisolone 50 mg/d and Azathioprine 50 mg/BD for a month and the diseases recurrence occurred in her mucosal lesions and one patient (46 years old women) was treated with prednisolone 10 mg/d and had recurrence since last three weeks. Two female patients had negative results in PCR procedure for HSV1/2. Skin pemphigus vulgaris had suddenly recurred in a 52 male patient who was under the control since last year and at the time of study, he did not receive any treatment. He had negative PCR results for HSV1/2. All of patients with past history of pemphigus were categorized as the newly-diagnosed patients and did not receive any immunosuppressive treatment and HSV2 result was positive only in one of them and HSV1 was negative in all of patients.

Treatment with immunosuppressive agents had not significant association with incidence of HSV1/2 in included patients. Among 40 pemphigus patients, 10 (25%) patients had genital or bucal herpetic signs and one of them had positive result of HSV2 in PCR procedure. History of herpetic involvement in study patients had no significant association with results of PCR procedure (Table 1).

5. Discussion

Immunohistochemistry, electronic microscopic study, specific antigen detection and culture of virus were suggested for diagnosis of viral infection. Culture of virus has more sensitivity in vesicular lesions of primary disorders in immunosuppressive patients. The main advantage of PCR is independency of microbial infection in tissue samples. PCR results are prepared in one day and are suitable for patients with severe disorders without time saving for diagnosis with culture of virus or other methods (9). Due to the high rate of false positive in this method, results must be confirmed according to clinical pattern of the disease.

Mean age in our study was about 46 years old and was lower than global age range (50-60 years old) of pemphigus patients. Only Indian patients have lower age of onset for pemphigus than Iranian patients (10). Mean age of Iranian patients in another study in Razi Hospital was also lower than global age indicated that Iranian pemphigus patients had lower age of onset than people of western countries (11). Female patients consisted 65% of our patients and this rate was similar to results of other epidemiological studies (12).

Role of viral infection in pemphigus pathogenesis has been assessed in some other studies. Theoretically, it was believed that infections can induce autoimmunity by
some pathways such as activation of antigen presenting cells and activation of Toll like receptors (4, 13, 14). Role of HSV in pathogenesis of pemphigus is challenging. In some pemphigus patients, HSV infection can induce recurrence of their lesions. Cross reaction between viral antigens and host antigens and changing in immune system due to viral infection can induce the recurrence (10). Prevalence of HSV1/2 in our patients was 2.5%. This low prevalence shows that HSV1/2 probably has no role in pemphigus pathogenesis.

Although one patient with positive findings for HSV2 in PCR procedure had positive history of herpes involvement, this history was not associated with findings of PCR procedure. In similar study in Razi Hospital in Tehran, there was higher rate of positive history compared with present study, but none of them had positive findings in PCR procedure for HSV (15). Although HSV1/2 infection is common infection, detecting DNA of virus in blood vessel samples has been occurred rarely in few people and was not suitable for virus detection (16). We used DNA detection in skin lesions because it had been proved in some of previous study as suitable marker in some viral disorders such as Erythema multiform and Herpes simplex (17).

In the study of Marzano similar to ours, only one of 33 patients has positive PCR for HSV (18). Differences in prevalence of HSV are also related to sampling method. It is believed that skin dermal layer had higher frequency of virus compared with epidermal layer (19).

Present study had some limitations; first of all; we evaluated only two types of pemphigus disorders and due to lower prevalence of other pemphigus types, we could not prepare enough sample size from other types. Next, we had performed only PCR procedure for study samples without any serological evaluation, we thought that due to wide spectrum of changes and non-specificity for skin lesions, serological assessments was not suitable for accurate method such as PCR. Third; we had not evaluated other viruses that might have role in pemphigus pathogenesis. Fourth; due to the role of genetic factor that had impact on immune system in virus pathogenesis, HLA

---

**Table 1. Prevalence of HSV According to Clinical State of Pemphigus Disease**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>No. (%)</th>
<th>HSV1</th>
<th>HSV2</th>
<th>P Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Type of pemphigus</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vulgaris</td>
<td>38 (95)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.82</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Foliaceous</td>
<td>2 (5)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Sex</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>26 (65)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>14 (35)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Biopsy location</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mucosal</td>
<td>7 (17.5)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Skin</td>
<td>33 (82.5)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Disease intensity</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.028</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mild</td>
<td>27 (67.5)</td>
<td>Negative</td>
<td>Negative</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moderate</td>
<td>8 (20)</td>
<td>Negative</td>
<td>Negative</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Severe</td>
<td>5 (12.5)</td>
<td>Negative</td>
<td>Positive</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Involvement type</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mucosal</td>
<td>7 (17.5)</td>
<td>Negative</td>
<td>Negative</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Skin</td>
<td>6 (15)</td>
<td>Negative</td>
<td>Negative</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Skin-mucosal</td>
<td>27 (67.5)</td>
<td>Negative</td>
<td>Negative</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Treatment type</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Corticosteroid therapy</td>
<td>2 (5)</td>
<td>Negative</td>
<td>Negative</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stop corticosteroid therapy</td>
<td>1 (2.5)</td>
<td>Negative</td>
<td>Negative</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New cases</td>
<td>37 (92.5)</td>
<td>Negative</td>
<td>Positive</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Past history of herpes</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>10 (25)</td>
<td>Positive</td>
<td>Negative</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>30 (75)</td>
<td>Negative</td>
<td>Negative</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
assessment in patients with HSV positive and comparing them with other patients will be our purpose.

Conclusions: Occult HSV infection in patients with bullous lesion is common phenomena might be evaluated in patients with treatment failure and recurrence of pemphigus. Although we didn’t know that HSV colonization was considered as a source of coming infection (20), Physicians must distinguish between HSV colonization and active infection. Due to its specific situation, HSV, in most of case is underestimated (21). In patients who use immunosuppressive agents, severe form of HSV may occur. Topical corticosteroid in pemphigus patients can change the disorder and scar formation (4, 14, 20).
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