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Abstract

Multi-objective optimization is the simultaneous consideration of two or more objective functions that are completely or partially in conflict with each other. The optimality of such optimizations is largely defined through the Pareto optimality. Multiple objective integer linear programs (MOILP) are special cases of multiple criteria decision making problems. Numerous algorithms have been designed to solve MOILP and multiple objective mixed integer linear programs. However, MOILP have not received the algorithmic attention that continuous problems have. This paper uses the data envelopment analysis (DEA) technique to find a well-dispersed non-dominated vectors of multiple objective mixed integer linear programming (MOMILP) problem with bounded or unbounded feasible region, while the previous methods consider only problems with bounded feasible region. To this end, it uses the $L_1$—norm and the modified slack-based measure (MSBM) model. The proposed method does not need the filtering procedures and it ranks the elements of well-dispersed non-dominated vectors of MOMILP problem. The proposed algorithm is illustrated by using two numerical examples.
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1 Introduction

Since the most real-life problems include conflicting objectives, multiple objective optimization provides a means for obtaining more realistic models [1, 2, 8]. Multiple objective mixed integer linear programming (MOMILP) problem is an important research area as many practical situations require discrete representations by integer variables and many decision makers have to deal with several objectives [16]. Some noteworthy practical environments where the MOILP problems find their applications are supply chain design, logistics planning, scheduling and financial planning.

Numerous algorithms have been designed to solve MOILP [5, 10, 11, 13, 14, 16] and MOMILPs [9, 13]. Sylva and Crema’s [13] proposed an algorithm to find well-dispersed subsets of non-dominated vectors for MOMILP with bounded feasible region. But, in some cases feasible region of a MOMILP problem is unbounded. Therefore, a MOMILP problem can have infinite objective values [15]. These cases have not been considered in [11] and [13].

Data envelopment analysis (DEA), provides a nonparametric methodology for evaluating the efficiency of each of a set of comparable Decision Making Units (DMUs), relative to one another. Charnes et al. [4], CCR model, proposed the DEA technique, which allows any DMU to select
their most favorable weights while requiring the resulting ratios of the sum of weighted outputs to the sum of weighted inputs of all DMUs to be less than or equal to a constant value. After introducing the first model in DEA, the CCR model by Charnes et al. [4], Banker et al. [3] developed the DEA technique by providing the BCC model. Nowadays DEA has allocated a wide variety of research in Operations Research to itself. For instance, Jahanshahlo et al. [7] used DEA technique to find efficient solutions of a 0-1 multi objective programming problem.

In this paper, we use the modified slack-based measure (MSBM) model [12] as a DEA technique and $L_1$-norm to propose an algorithm to find a well-dispersed non-dominated vectors of MOMILP problem with bounded and unbounded feasible regions. The density of the well-dispersed of non-dominated vector can be determined by using decision maker opinions.

The paper is organized as follows. Section 2 presents a brief background about MOMILP problem. Section 3 introduces the proposed method to find the well-dispersed subsets of non-dominated vectors MOMILP problem. Illustration with two numerical examples are given in Section 4. Finally, the concluding results are presented.

2 Preliminaries

2.1 MOMILP problem

An MOMILP problem is a special case of multi objective programming problem and can be defined as follows:

$$\{C_1W, \ldots, C_sW\}$$

s.t. $A_iW \leq b_i, \quad i = 1, \ldots, m$ \hspace{1cm} (2.1)

$W \geq 0, w_j \in Z^+, j \in J$

where $C_r = (c_{1r}, \ldots, c_{sr}) (r = 1, \ldots, s)$, $A_i = (a_{i1}, \ldots, a_{in}) (i = 1, 2, \ldots, m)$, $J \subseteq \{1, \ldots, n\}$, $Z^+ = \{0, 1, 2, \ldots\}$ and $W = (w_1, \ldots, w_n)^T$. The set $X$, which is defined as follows:

$$X = \left\{ W \mid A_iW \leq b_i, i = 1, \ldots, m, \right. \qquad \left. W \geq 0, w_j \in Z^+, j \in J \right\}$$

(2.2)

is called the set of feasible solutions of problem (2.1). Corresponding to each $W \in X$ the vector $Y$ is defined as follows [6]:

$$Y = (y_1, \ldots, y_s)^T = (C_1W, \ldots, C_sW)^T. \hspace{1cm} (2.3)$$

Definition 2.1 The vector $Y = (y_1, \ldots, y_s)^T$ dominates the vector $Y^o = (y^o_1, \ldots, y^o_s)^T$ if for each $r (r = 1, \ldots, s)$, $y_r \geq y^o_r$ and there is at least one $l$ such that $y_l > y^o_l$.

Definition 2.2 Let $F = \{Y \mid Y = (C_1W, \ldots, C_sW)^T, W \in X\}$. $F$ is called the values space of objective functions in problem (2.1).

Let $g_r = C_rW^*_r (r = 1, \ldots, s)$, where $W^*_r$ is the optimal solution of the following single objective mixed integer programming problem:

$$g_r = \max_{W \in X} C_rW$$

(2.4)

Let $X$ be bounded and $g = (g_1, \ldots, g_s)^T = (C_1W^*_1, \ldots, C_sW^*_s)^T$. $g$ is called the ideal vector of model (2.1) [6]. As can be seen, for each $W \in X$ as a feasible solution of problem (2.1), the vector $g$ dominates the vector $Y = (C_1W, \ldots, C_sW)^T \neq g$.

2.2 MSBM model

The objective values of an MOMILP problem are physical quantities and they have true zero points. Hence, we can use the MSBM model with natural negative/positive data to find the efficient solutions of an MOMILP problem. Consider $n$ DMUs $(DMU_j, j = 1, \ldots, n)$ where $DMU_j$ consumes the inputs $x_j = (x_{1j}, \ldots, x_{mj})^T$ to produce the outputs $y_j = (y_{1j}, \ldots, y_{sj})^T$. Sharp et al. [12] defined the MSBM model for the case of variable...
returns to scale (VRS) technology as follows:

$$
\max \rho^*_o = \frac{1 + \sum_{r=1}^{s} v_r s^+_r / p^+_r}{1 - \sum_{i=1}^{m} w_i s^-_i / p^-_i}
$$

subject to:

$$\sum_{j=1}^{n} \lambda_j x_{ij} + s^-_i = x_{io}, \quad i = 1, \ldots, m$$

$$\sum_{j=1}^{n} \lambda_j y_{rj} - s^+_r = y_{ro}, \quad r = 1, \ldots, s$$

$$\sum_{j=1}^{n} \lambda_j = 1$$

$$\lambda_j, s^-_i, s^+_r \geq 0, \quad j = 1, \ldots, n,$$

$$i = 1, \ldots, m, \quad r = 1, \ldots, s$$

where \( \sum_{r=1}^{s} v_r = 1 \), \( \sum_{i=1}^{m} w_i = 1 \), \( p^+_o = \max_j \{ y_{rj} \} - y_{ro}, \quad r = 1, \ldots, s \), and \( p^-_i = x_{io} - \min_j \{ x_{ij} \}, \quad i = 1, \ldots, m \).

Corresponding to each feasible solution of model (2.1), say \( W_j \), the vector \( y_j \) is defined as \( y_j = (y_{1j}, \ldots, y_{nj})^T \) where, \( y_{rj} = C_r W_j = \sum_{k=1}^{n} c_{rk} w_k, \quad r = 1, \ldots, s \). We need the DEA techniques to determine a well-dispersed subsets of non-dominated vectors of problem (2.1). To this end, corresponding to \( W_j \) as a feasible solution of model (2.1) we consider a DMU, say \( DMU_j \), with \( s \) outputs \( y_j \) and 1 input. If \( m = 1 \) and \( x_{1j} = 1 \) for \( j = 1, \ldots, n \), then \( s^-_i = 0 \) and model (2.5) is converted the following model:

$$\rho^* = \max \rho = 1 + \sum_{r=1}^{s} v_r s^+_r / p^+_r$$

subject to:

$$\sum_{j=1}^{n} \lambda_j y_{rj} - s^+_r = y_{ro}, \quad r = 1, \ldots, s$$

$$\sum_{j=1}^{n} \lambda_j = 1$$

$$\lambda_j, s^+_r \geq 0, \quad j = 1, \ldots, n, \quad r = 1, \ldots, s$$

(2.6)

(2.6) (i.e., MSBM-efficient) if and only if \( 1/\rho^*_o = 1 \), i.e. \( s^+_r = 0, \quad r = 1, \ldots, s \).

The following theorem states a relationship between MSBM-efficacy and non-dominated vector of MOMILP problem.

**Theorem 2.1** Let \( DMU_o \) be efficient by using model (2.6), then \( W_o \) as the corresponding feasible solution of model (2.1) is an efficient solution of model (2.1).

**Proof:** Let \( 1/\rho^*_o = 1 \) and by contradiction suppose that \( W_o \) is not an efficient solution of (2.1). Therefore, there exists \( W \) such that

$$C_r(W) \geq C_r(W_o), \quad r = 1, \ldots, s$$

and the inequality holds strictly for at least one index. That is, there exists \( p \in \{1, \ldots, s\} \) such that \( C_p(W) > C_p(W_o) \), i.e., \( y_{op} > y_{op} \). Hence, there is a feasible solution of model (2.6), say \( (\lambda_o = 1, \lambda_j = 0, j \neq o, s^+_s = 0, r \neq p, s^+_p > 0) \) such that \( 1/\rho^*_o = 1/(1 + s^+_p) < 1 \). This is a contradiction. \( \square \)

We need the dual of model (2.6) as follows to determine the supporting hyperplane of production possibility set (PPS) which has been created by the constructed DMUs.

$$\max g_p = \sum_{r=1}^{s} u_r y_{ro} + u_o$$

subject to:

$$\sum_{r=1}^{s} u_r y_{rj} + u_o \leq 0, \quad j = 1, \ldots, n$$

$$u_o \text{ free, } u_r \geq v_r / p^+_r, \quad r = 1, \ldots, s.$$

(2.7)

Let \((u^*, u^*_o) = (u^*_1, \ldots, u^*_n, u^*_o)\) be an optimal solution of model (2.7). When \( DMU_o \) is efficient in model (2.7) \( u^* Y + u^*_o = 0 \) is the supporting hyperplane on the PPS constructed by efficient DMUs. By using \( p \) DMUs (i.e., \( Y_1, \ldots, Y_p \)) the PPS is defined as follows [7]:

$$PPS = \{ Y \mid Y \leq \sum_{j=1}^{p} \lambda_j Y_j, \quad \sum_{j=1}^{p} \lambda_j = 1, \quad \lambda_j \geq 0, \quad j = 1, \ldots, p \}.$$
3 Well-dispersed subsets of non-dominated vectors for MOMILP problem

This paper uses the DEA technique to find a well-dispersed non-dominated vectors of MOMILP problem with bounded or unbounded feasible region, while the previous methods [11] consider only problems with bounded feasible region. To obtain a well-dispersed subsets of non-dominated vectors of problem (2.1), a feasible solution, say \( W \in X \), is specified such that \( g - Y = (g_1 - C_1 W, \ldots, g_s - C_s W)^T \) is minimized. To this end, the following MOMILP problem is solved:

\[
\begin{align*}
\min & \quad \{g_1 - C_1 W, \ldots, g_s - C_s W\} \\
\text{s.t.} & \quad W \in X.
\end{align*}
\]  
(3.8)

According to \( g_r \geq C_r W \) \( (r = 1, \ldots, s, W \in X) \) and by using the L₁–norm we have:

\[
\begin{align*}
\min_{W \in X} \sum_{r=1}^{s} |g_r - C_r W| &= \min_{W \in X} \sum_{r=1}^{s} (g_r - C_r W) \\
&= \sum_{r=1}^{s} g_r + \min_{W \in X} \sum_{r=1}^{s} (-C_r W) \\
&= \sum_{r=1}^{s} g_r - \max_{W \in X} \sum_{j=1}^{n} \sum_{r=1}^{s} c_{rj} w_j.
\end{align*}
\]

Hence, to find some efficient solutions of the MOMILP problem the following mixed linear integer programming problem is solved:

\[
\begin{align*}
\theta_o^* &= \max \sum_{r=1}^{s} C_r W \\
\text{s.t.} & \quad W \in X \\
\sum_{r=1}^{s} C_r W &\leq \theta_o^* - \phi \\
\sum_{r=1}^{s} C_r W u_{rd}^* + u_d^* &> -Mt_{rd}, \\
& \quad r = 1, \ldots, s, d = 1, \ldots, h \\
\sum_{r=1}^{s} t_{rd} &\leq p - 1, d = 1, \ldots, h \\
t_{rd} &\in \{0, 1\}, r = 1, \ldots, s, d = 1, \ldots, h.
\end{align*}
\]  
(3.9)

\[
\theta_o^* = \max \sum_{r=1}^{s} C_r W
\]

\[
\text{s.t.} \quad W \in X
\]

\[
\sum_{r=1}^{s} C_r W \leq \theta_o^* - \phi
\]

\[
\sum_{r=1}^{s} C_r W u_{rd}^* + u_d^* > -Mt_{rd},
\]

\[
r = 1, \ldots, s, d = 1, \ldots, h
\]

\[
\sum_{r=1}^{s} t_{rd} \leq p - 1, d = 1, \ldots, h
\]

\[
t_{rd} \in \{0, 1\}, r = 1, \ldots, s, d = 1, \ldots, h.
\]

(3.10)

By using \( Y_h \) inequality (3.10) is converted to

\[
\sum_{r=1}^{s} C_r W u_{rd}^* + u_d^* > -Mt_{rd},
\]

\[
r = 1, \ldots, s, d = 1, \ldots, h
\]

\[
\sum_{r=1}^{s} t_{rd} \leq p - 1, d = 1, \ldots, h
\]

\[
t_{rd} \in \{0, 1\}, r = 1, \ldots, s, d = 1, \ldots, h.
\]

(3.11)

When \( t_d = 1 \) the constraint \( \sum_{r=1}^{s} C_r W u_{rd}^* + u_d^* > -Mt_{rd} \) is redundant and the constraint \( \sum_{r=1}^{s} t_{rd} \leq p - 1 \) implies that at least one of the constraints \( \sum_{r=1}^{s} C_r W u_{rd}^* + u_d^* > -Mt_{rd} \) is not redundant [7].

Let \( A_1 = \{W_1^*, \ldots, W_p^*\} \) be the set of optimal solutions of problem (3.9) and \( Y_d = (C_1 W_d^*, \ldots, C_s W_d^*)^T \) \((d = 1, \ldots, p)\). These solutions are used to construct the PPS and the constructed PPS is used to find the other members of the well-dispersed subset of the non-dominated vectors for MOMILP problem.

Theorem 3.1 The optimal solutions of problem (3.9) are efficient solutions of model (2.1).

Proof: The proof is similar to that of Theorem 2.3 in [6] and is omitted. □

Let \( D_o = \{W_1^*, \ldots, W_p^*\} \) be the set of optimal solutions of problem (3.9) and \( Y_d = (C_1 W_d^*, \ldots, C_s W_d^*)^T \) \((d = 1, \ldots, p)\). These solutions are used to construct the PPS and the constructed PPS is used to find the other members of the well-dispersed subset of the non-dominated vectors for MOMILP problem.
following problem is solved:

$$\theta^*_{q+1} = \max \sum_{r=1}^{s} C_r W$$

s.t. \( W \in X \)

$$\sum_{r=1}^{s} C_r W \leq \theta^*_q - \phi$$

$$\sum_{r=1}^{s} C_r W u^*_s + u^*_q > -Mt_r d,$$

$$r = 1, \ldots, s, d = 1, \ldots, k$$

$$\sum_{r=1}^{s} t_r d \leq s - 1, d = 1, \ldots, k$$

$$t_r d \in \{0, 1\}, r = 1, \ldots, s, d = 1, \ldots, k.$$  

(3.12)

**Theorem 3.2** The optimal solutions of problem (3.12) are efficient solutions of model (2.1).

*Proof:* Let \( \hat{W}^* \) be an optimal solution of model (3.12) and by contradiction assume that it is not an efficient solution of model (2.1). Therefore, there is a feasible solution of model (2.1), say \( W' \), such that

$$C_r W' \geq C_r \hat{W}^*, r = 1, \ldots, s, \exists l \in \{1, \ldots, s\},$$

$$C_l W' > C_l \hat{W}^*.$$  

(3.13)

By multiplying \( u^*_r \) in \( C_r W^o \geq C_r \hat{W}^* \) (\( r = 1, 2, \ldots, s \)) and summing them, we will have [7]:

$$\sum_{r=1}^{s} u^*_r C_r W^o \geq \sum_{r=1}^{s} u^*_r C_r W^{o}_d, p = 1, \ldots, l$$

$$\sum_{r=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{s} u^*_r C_{rj} W^o \geq \sum_{r=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{s} u^*_r C_{rj} W^{o}_{d}, p = 1, \ldots, l$$

$$\sum_{r=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{s} u^*_r C_{rj} W^o_{d} > u^*_{qp} - t_r M,$$

$$p = 1, \ldots, l, r = 1, \ldots, s.$$  

Also, \( W^o \) holds in the inequalities

$$\sum_{j=1}^{n} a_{ij} w_{j} \leq b_i, \; i = 1, 2, \ldots, m.$$  

Therefore, \( W^o \) is a feasible solution of the problem (3.12). From (3.13), we have

$$\sum_{r=1}^{n} C_r W^o > \sum_{r=1}^{n} C_r W^o_{d}, (ZW^o > ZW^o_{d})$$  

which is a contradiction. \( \square \)

Let \( D_{p-1} = \{W^*_1, \ldots, W^*_p \} \) be the subset of the well-dispersed efficient solution of problem until \((p - 1)\)th iteration and \( W^*_{p} \) be an optimal solution of problem (3.11) and \( A = \{W^*_{k+1} \} \). To find the other well-dispersed efficient solution of problem (2.1), corresponding to \( W^*_{k+1} \), we add the following constraints to problem (3.11):

$$\sum_{r=1}^{s} t_{rq} \leq s - 1, q = 1, \ldots, k$$

$$\delta_{rq} \geq \varepsilon, r = 1, \ldots, s, q = 1, \ldots, k$$  

where \( \varepsilon \) is a very small positive real number. Therefore, the \( p^{th} \) iteration’s problem is as follows:

$$\max \sum_{r=1}^{s} C_r W$$

s.t. \( W \in X \)

$$\sum_{r=1}^{s} C_r W \leq \theta^*_p - \phi$$

$$C_r W \geq \delta_{rq} + C_r W^* - M t_{rq},$$

$$r = 1, \ldots, s, q = 1, \ldots, k, k + 1$$

$$\sum_{r=1}^{s} t_{rq} \leq s - 1, q = 1, \ldots, s,$$

$$q = 1, \ldots, k, k + 1$$  

(3.14)

When \( X \) is bounded, this process is continued until problem (3.14) becomes infeasible.

**Theorem 3.3** Each optimal solution of problem (3.14) is an efficient solution for MOMILP problem.

*Proof:* The proof is similar to that of Theorem 2.4 in [6] and is omitted. \( \square \)

Using the above discussions, in the following cases an MOMILP problem has well-dispersed subset of efficient solutions.

1. When \( X \) is nonempty and bounded.

2. When \( X \) is unbounded and there is no \( d \neq 0 \) such that \( A_i d \leq 0, \; i = 1, \ldots, m, \) \( C_r d \geq 0, r = 1, \ldots, s \) with at least one \( p (p \in \{1, \ldots, s\}) \) such that \( C_r d > 0 \) and \( d_j \in Z^+ \) for \( j \in J, [15] \).

Therefore, to find a well-dispersed subsets of non-dominated vectors for MOMILP problem with bounded and unbounded feasible regions we consider the following Algorithm.
3.1 The proposed Algorithm

Stage 0: Solve the system $A_i d \leq 0$, $i = 1, \ldots, m, C_r d \geq 0, r = 1, \ldots, s$, with at least one $p \in \{1, 2, \ldots, s\}$ such that $C_p d > 0$ and $d_j \in Z^+$ for $j \in J$. If this system has solution, then there is no efficient solution for problem (2.1) and go to stage 3. Otherwise go stage 1.

Stage 1:

Step 1-1: Let $k = 0$ and solve problems (2.4) and specify $G_o = \{W_1^k, \ldots, W_h^k\}$. If $G_o$ is empty go to step 1-2, otherwise let $k = h$ and go to step 1-3.

Step 1-2: Determine the optimal solutions of problem (3.9) and let $G_o = \{W_1^\beta, \ldots, W_h^\beta\}$ as optimal solutions set of model (3.9) and let $\beta = k$.

Step 1-3: Determine an optimal solution of problem (3.11) and let $A = \{W_1^\beta+1\}$.

Step 1-4: If $A$ is not empty, let $G_1 = G_o \cup A$ and go to stage 2. Otherwise, stop, $G_o$ is a well-dispersed subset of efficient solutions of (2.1),

Stage 2:

Step 2-1: Determine an optimal solution of problem (3.14), say $W_{k+1}^*$ and let $B = \{W_{k+1}^*\}$.

Step 2-2: If $B$ is not empty, let $G_k = B_1 \cup B$ and go to stage 2. Otherwise, stop, the set $G_k$ is the well-dispersed subset of efficient solutions of (2.1).

Stage 3: End.

If $W_p^*$ and $W_{p+1}^*$ are the two well-dispersed non-dominated vectors of MOMILP which have been obtained in $p^{th}$ and $(p+1)^{th}$ iterations, respectively, then the distance of $Y_p$ is less than $Y_{p+1}$ from $g$ by using $L_1$ norm and so the rank of $W_p^*$ is higher than $W_{p+1}^*$. Hence, the elements of the well-dispersed subsets of non-dominated vectors of MOMILP problem are ranked by using the proposed algorithm.

4 Examples

The proposed algorithm is illustrated for MOMILP problems with bounded and unbounded feasible regions.

Example 4.1 Consider the following MOMILP problem:

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{max} & \quad w_1 + w_2 \\
\text{max} & \quad 4w_1 + 3w_2 \\
\text{s.t.} & \quad -3w_1 + 2w_2 \leq 6 \\
& \quad -6w_1 + 10w_2 \leq 60 \\
& \quad w_1, w_2 \in Z^+.
\end{align*}
\]

It can be seen, there is $d = (d_1, d_2) = (1)$ such that $A_i d \leq 0$, $i = 1, 2, C_r d > 0, r = 1, 2, d_1 \leq 0$ and $d_2 \in Z^+$, where $A_1 = (\begin{pmatrix} -3 & 2 \end{pmatrix}, A_2 = (\begin{pmatrix} -6 & 10 \end{pmatrix})$, $C_1 = (1, 1)$ and $C_2 = (4, 3)$. That is, the feasible region is unbounded and the objective functions can become infinite together. Therefore, there is not any efficient solution for this problem.

Example 4.2 Consider the following MOMILP problem:

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{max} & \quad -2w_1 + w_2 \\
\text{max} & \quad w_1 - 3w_2 \\
\text{s.t.} & \quad -4w_1 + w_2 \leq 4 \\
& \quad -9w_1 + 5w_2 \leq 45 \\
& \quad w_1 \geq 0, w_2 \in Z^+.
\end{align*}
\]

There is $d$, say $d = (d_1, d_2) = (1)$, such that $A_i d \leq 0, i = 1, 2, d_1 \geq 0, d_2 \in Z^+$ where $A_1 = (\begin{pmatrix} -4 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ and $A_2 = (\begin{pmatrix} -9 & 5 \end{pmatrix})$. That is, feasible region of this problem is unbounded. But, there is no recession direction such that $C_r d \geq 0, r = 1, 2, \exists p \in \{1, 2\}, C_p d > 0, d_1 \geq 0, d_2 \in Z^+$, where $A_1 = (\begin{pmatrix} -1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, A_2 = (\begin{pmatrix} -4 & 6 \end{pmatrix}, C_1 = (2, 1)$ and $C_2 = (1, -3)$. Therefore, this problem has efficient solution.

Stage 1, Step 1-1: Consider the following single objective integer programming problems:

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{max} & \quad -2w_1 + w_2 \\
\text{s.t.} & \quad -4w_1 + w_2 \leq 4 \\
& \quad -9w_1 + 5w_2 \leq 45 \\
& \quad w_1 \geq 0, w_2 \in Z^+.
\end{align*}
\]

and

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{max} & \quad w_1 - 3w_2 \\
\text{s.t.} & \quad -4w_1 + w_2 \leq 4 \\
& \quad -9w_1 + 5w_2 \leq 45 \\
& \quad w_1 \geq 0, w_2 \in Z^+.
\end{align*}
\]

$W_1^* = (2.25, 13)^T$ is optimal solution of the problem (4.16) and $Y^{1} = (8.5, -36.75)^T$ is its objectives values vector. But, optimal value of the problem (4.17) is infinite and this problem doesn’t has optimal solution. Therefore, $G_o = \{(2.25, 13)^T\}$.

Step 1-2: The corresponding problem of $G_o$ is
as follows:

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{max} & \quad -w_1 - 2w_2 \\
\text{s.t.} & \quad -4w_1 + w_2 \leq 4 \\
&\quad -9w_1 + 5w_2 \leq 45 \\
&\quad -2w_1 + w_2 - \delta_1 + 100t_{11} \geq 8.5 \\
&\quad w_1 - 3w_2 - \delta_2 + 100t_{12} \geq -36.75 \\
&\quad t_{11} + t_{12} \leq 1 \\
&\quad t_{11}, t_{12} \in \{0, 1\}, w_1 \geq 0, \ w_2 \in Z^+ \\
&\quad \delta_1, \delta_2 \geq \varepsilon.
\end{align*}
\]

\(W^*_2 = (0, 0)^T\) is optimal solution of the problem (4.18) and \(Y^2 = (0, 0)^T\) is the corresponding objectives values vector. Therefore, \(A = \{(0, 0)^T\} \).

**Step 1-3:** \(G_1 = A \cup G_o = \{(2.25, 13)^T, (0, 0)^T\}\)

**Stage 2, Iteration 1**

**Step 2-1:** To obtain a set of efficient solutions with a appropriate density we let \(\phi = 0.5\) (the value of \(\phi\) can be obtained by using decision maker opinions) and to obtain a member of well-dispersed subset of efficient solutions the corresponding problem is as follows:

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{max} & \quad -w_1 - 2w_2 \\
\text{s.t.} & \quad -4w_1 + w_2 \leq 4 \\
&\quad -9w_1 + 5w_2 \leq 45 \\
&\quad -w_1 - 2w_2 \leq -0.5 \\
&\quad -2w_1 + w_2 - \delta_1 + 100t_{11} \geq 8.5 \\
&\quad w_1 - 3w_2 - \delta_2 + 100t_{12} \geq -36.75 \\
&\quad t_{11} + t_{21} \leq 1 \\
&\quad -2w_1 + w_2 - \delta_3 + 100t_{21} \geq 0 \\
&\quad w_1 - 3w_2 - \delta_4 + 100t_{22} \geq 0 \\
&\quad t_{21} + t_{22} \leq 1 \\
&\quad t_{11}, t_{12}, t_{21}, t_{22}, t_{31}, t_{32} \in \{0, 1\} \\
&\quad w_1 \geq 0, \ w_2 \in Z^+ \\
&\quad \delta_1, \delta_2, \delta_3, \delta_4, \delta_5 \geq \varepsilon.
\end{align*}
\]

\(W^*_3 = (0.5, 0)^T\) is optimal solution of the problem (4.19) and \(Y^3 = (-1, 0.5)^T\) is its objectives values vector. Hence, \(B = \{(0, 5, 0)^T\} \).

**Iteration 2**

**Step 2-1:** The corresponding problem of \(G_1\) is as follows:

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{max} & \quad -w_1 - 2w_2 \\
\text{s.t.} & \quad -4w_1 + w_2 \leq 4 \\
&\quad -9w_1 + 5w_2 \leq 45 \\
&\quad -w_1 - 2w_2 \leq -1 \\
&\quad -2w_1 + w_2 - \delta_1 + 100t_{11} \geq 8.5 \\
&\quad w_1 - 3w_2 - \delta_2 + 100t_{12} \geq -36.75 \\
&\quad t_{11} + t_{21} \leq 1 \\
&\quad -2w_1 + w_2 - \delta_3 + 100t_{21} \geq 0 \\
&\quad w_1 - 3w_2 - \delta_4 + 100t_{22} \geq 0 \\
&\quad t_{21} + t_{22} \leq 1 \\
&\quad t_{11}, t_{12}, t_{21}, t_{22}, t_{31}, t_{32} \in \{0, 1\} \\
&\quad w_1 \geq 0, \ w_2 \in Z^+ \\
&\quad \delta_1, \delta_2, \delta_3, \delta_4, \delta_5 \geq \varepsilon.
\end{align*}
\]

\[
(4.20)
\]

\(W^*_4 = (0, 1)^T\) is an optimal solution of problem (4.20) and \(Y^4 = (1, -3)^T\) is its objectives values vector. Therefore, \(B = \{(0, 1)^T\} \). Using the other single objective integer problems we find that for each \(n \in Z^+, W^* = (0, n)^T\) is an efficient solution of the problem (4.15). Hence, the number of efficient solution of this problem is infinite and the proposed approach finds a well-dispersed subset of efficient solutions as \{(2.25, 13)^T, (0, 0)^T, (0.5, 0)^T, (0, 1)^T, (0, 2)^T\}.

**5 Conclusion**

This paper used the DEA technique and proposed an algorithm to find a well-dispersed subsets of non-dominated vectors of MOMILP problems with bounded and unbounded feasible regions, while the previous methods [11] consider only problems with bounded feasible region. In each iteration of the proposed algorithm, at least one well-dispersed efficient solution of MOMILP problem is found. The elements of the well-dispersed subsets of non-dominated vectors of MOMILP problem are ranked by using the proposed algorithm, so it does not need filtering procedures. The proposed method illustrated by two numerical examples.
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